Product irregularity strength of certain graphs

Marcin Anholcer [*]

Poznań University of Economics, Al. Niepodległości 10, 61-875 Poznań, Poland

Abstract

Consider a simple graph G with no isolated edges and at most one isolated vertex. A labeling $w : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ is called *product - irregular*, if all product degrees $pd_G(v) = \prod_{e \ni v} w(e)$ are distinct. The goal is to obtain a product - irregular labeling that minimizes the maximal label. This minimal value is called *the product irregularity strength* and denoted $ps(G)$. We give the exact values of $ps(G)$ for several families of graphs, as complete bipartite graphs $K_{m,n}$, where $2 \leq m \leq n \leq \binom{m+2}{2}$, some families of forests, including complete d-ary trees, and other graphs with $\delta(G) = 1$.

Keywords: Product-irregular labeling, product irregularity strength, tree.

Math. Subj. Class.: 05C05, 05C15, 05C78

1 Introduction

Assume we are given simple undirected graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ with neither loops nor isolated edges and with at most one isolated vertex. Let us define integer labelling $w : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$. For every vertex $v \in V(G)$ we define the *product degree* as

$$pd_G(v) = \begin{cases} \prod_{e \ni v} w(e), & d_G(v) > 0, \\ 0, & d_G(v) = 0 \end{cases} \qquad (1.1)$$

(where $d_G(v)$ denotes the degree of vertex v in G).

We call w *product-irregular* if for every pair of vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, $u \neq v$

$$pd_G(u) \neq pd_G(v). \qquad (1.2)$$

The *product irregularity strength* $ps(G)$ of G is the smallest value of s that allows some product-irregular labelling.

---

[*]http://kbo.ue.poznan.pl/anholcer
E-mail address: m.anholcer@ue.poznan.pl (Marcin Anholcer)

The concept was introduced by M. Anholcer in [4]. As we can see, it is the multiplicative version of the well known *irregularity strength* introduced by Chartrand et al. in [5] and studied by numerous authors (the best result for general graphs can be found in Kalkowski, Karoński and Pfender [6], while e.g. trees and forests have been studied e.g. by Aigner and Triesch [1], and Amar and Togni [3]). On the other hand, the problem of founding the product irregularity strength of graph is connected with the product antimagic labellings investigated e.g. by Pikhurko [7]. Indeed, the result from the last publication implies that for sufficiently large graphs

$$ps(G) \leq |E(G)|.$$

Let n_d denote the number of vertices of degree d, where $\delta(G) \leq d \leq \Delta(G)$. In [4] M. Anholcer showed that

$$ps(G) \geq \max_{\delta(G) \leq d \leq \Delta(G)} \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{d}{e} n_d^{1/d} - d + 1 \right\rceil \right\}.$$

This reduces to

$$ps(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{r}{e} n^{1/r} - r + 1 \right\rceil.$$

in the case of r-regular graph. Note that these bounds are not tight. Also the bounds on $ps(C_n)$ were given, where C_n is cycle on n vertices. It was proved that if $n > 17$, then

$$ps(C_n) \geq \left\lceil \left(\frac{n}{1 - \ln 2} \right)^{1/2} \right\rceil,$$

while for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists n_0 such that for $n > n_0$

$$ps(C_n) \leq \lceil (1 + \varepsilon) \sqrt{2n} \ln n \rceil.$$

Similarly the upper bounds on the irregularity strength of grids and toroidal grids were proved:

$$ps(T_{n_1 \times n_2 \times \cdots \times n_k}) \leq \lceil (1 + \varepsilon) \sqrt{2} (\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sqrt{n_j}) \ln (\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_j) \rceil,$$

$$ps(G_{n_1 \times n_2 \times \cdots \times n_k}) \leq \lceil (1 + \varepsilon) \sqrt{2} (\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sqrt{n_j}) \ln (\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_j) \rceil.$$

In [9] Skowronek-Kaziów showed, that

Proposition 1.1. *For every $n \geq 3$*

$$ps(K_n) = 3.$$

Let us recall that n_d denotes the number of vertices of degree d in G, where $\delta(G) \leq d \leq \Delta(G)$. In this paper we are going to give the exact value of $ps(G)$ for complete bipartite graphs $K_{m,n}$, where $2 \leq m \leq n \leq \binom{m+2}{2}$, and some families of graphs with $\delta(G) = 1$. The main results are as follows.

Proposition 1.2. *Let m and n be two integers such that $2 \leq m \leq n$. Then*

$$ps(K_{m,n}) = 3$$

if and only if $n \leq \binom{m+2}{2}$. Otherwise $ps(K_{m,n}) \geq 4$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $D \geq 3$ be arbitrary integer. For almost all forests F such that*

(i) $\Delta(F) = D$, $n_2 = 0$ and $n_0 \leq 1$,

(ii) *if we remove all the pendant edges, then in the resulting forest F', $n_2 = 0$,*

the product irregularity strength equals to

$$ps(F) = n_1.$$

The proofs of the above results are given in two following sections.

2   Complete bipartite graphs

Proof of Proposition 1.2

Let $K_{m,n} = (U, V, E)$, where $U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$, $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $E = \{\{u_i, v_j\}, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. If we used only labels 1 and 2, we would be able to obtain at most $n + 1$ distinct products, $1, 2, \ldots, 2^n$ while we have $n + m \geq n + 2$ vertices. Thus $ps(K_{n,n}) \geq 3$. On the other hand, assume that we are using only the labels 1, 2 and 3. The number of possible multisets of m elements is equal to $\binom{m+2}{2}$, and it is the maximal number distinct products for the vertices in V. Thus it is impossible to find product-irregular labeling of $K_{m,n}$ if $|V| = n > \binom{m+2}{2}$. Now we are going to prove that labels 1, 2 and 3 are enough if $m \leq n \leq \binom{m+2}{2}$.

Let us consider the set of all $\binom{m+2}{2}$ multisets of m elements equal to either 1, 2 or 3. Let us denote the elements of jth multiset, where $1 \leq j \leq \binom{m+2}{2}$, with a_j^i, where $1 \leq i \leq m$. Assume they are arranged in non decreasing order, i.e. in such a way that $a_j^i \leq a_j^{i+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \binom{m+2}{2}$ and $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. Now we arrange the obtained sequences in decreasing lexicographic order, i.e. in such a way that for every $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq \binom{m+2}{2}$ there exists i_0, $1 \leq i_0 \leq m$ such that $a_{j_1}^i = a_{j_2}^i$ if $1 \leq i < i_0$ and $a_{j_1}^{i_0} > a_{j_2}^{i_0}$. Now if $m < n$, then we put

$$w(\{u_i, v_j\}) = a_j^i, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Observe that the weighted degrees in V are distinct, as the respective multisets are. It is also straightforward to see that the degrees in U are distinct, as the numbers of factors equal to 3 are. Moreover the number of factors different than 1 in the weighted degrees in V are equal at most m, while in U they equal at least $m + 1$. Thus finally the obtained labeling is product-irregular.

If $m = n$, then we label any $K_{n-1,n}$ subgraph of $K_{n,n}$ as above and then put 1 on all the edges incident to the remaining vertex. As in the case $m = n - 1$ none of the vertices obtains the weighted degree 1, the resulting labeling is product-irregular. $\qquad\square$

3   Graphs with $\delta(G) = 1$

Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let us consider a forest F. We distinguish two kinds of non pendant vertices. The *external vertex* is such a vertex that at least one of its neighbours is pendant vertex. The *internal vertex* has no pendant vertices in the neighbourhood.

The product degree of every pendant vertex is equal to the label of the only edge incident to it. Thus $ps(F) \geq n_1$. So in order to prove the theorem we have to show that there exists a product-irregular labelling of F with n_1 labels.

The proof consists of two parts. First using the Probabilistic Method (more precisely the Linearity of Expectation, see e.g. [2], pp.13-21) we will prove the existence of partial labeling that distinguishes the product degrees of internal vertices. Then, by labeling the pendant edges we will extend the product-irregular labeling on whole forest F.

Let us choose the label for every non-pendant edge uniformly at random from the set of all odd primes p, $n_1^{1/2} < p \leq n_1$. The number of such primes $\pi_{1/2}$ equals

$$\pi_{1/2} = \pi(n_1) - \pi(n_1^{1/2}) > \frac{n_1 - 2.51012 n_1^{1/2}}{\ln n_1}$$

provided $n_1 \geq 17$ (see e.g. [8]).

Let us enumerate in any way all the m_1 pairs of non-adjacent internal vertices and m_2 pairs of adjacent internal vertices. As for every forest we have

$$n_1 \geq 2 + \sum_{i=3}^{D} (i-2)n_i > \sum_{i=3}^{D} n_i,$$

it follows that the total number of internal vertices n_{int} satisfies the inequality

$$n_{int} < \frac{n_1}{2}.$$

Thus

$$m_2 \leq n_{int} - 1 < \frac{n_1}{2}$$

and

$$m_1 \leq \binom{n_{int}}{2} < \frac{n_1^2}{4}.$$

For every i, $1 \leq i \leq m_1 + m_2$, let v_i and u_i be the vertices forming pair i and let X_i be random variable such that

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & pd(u_i) = pd(v_i), \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$E(X_i) = Pr(pd(u_i) = pd(v_i)) \leq \begin{cases} D!\pi_{1/2}^{-2}, & u_i \sim v_i, \\ D!\pi_{1/2}^{-3}, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Let X be random variable counting the "bad" pairs. Of course,

$$E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1+m_2} E(X_i) \leq m_1 D!\pi_{1/2}^{-3} + m_2 D!\pi_{1/2}^{-2} < 1, \tag{3.1}$$

if only n_1 is large enough.

This implies that for almost every forest satisfying given conditions, there exists a labeling $w^\star$ using only the primes lower or equal to n_1 that distinguishes all the internal vertices. Moreover, for every internal vertex v we have

$$pd(v) > n_1$$

and

$$pd(v) \bmod 2 = 1.$$

Let us choose any such labeling. Now we are going to extend $w^\star$ on the pendant edges in order to obtain complete labeling w. We will do it in two steps. Let n_{ext} be the number of external vertices. In the first step, for each such vertex we leave two pendant edges incident to it not labeled. If there are other pendant edges (i.e. for at least one external vertex v, $d(v) > 3$), then we put on them distinct labels from the set $\{1, , \ldots, n_1 - 2n_{ext}\}$ (in any order). Let $pd^\star(v)$ be the product of all edges incident with v that have been labeled. In the second step we order the external vertices with non-decreasing value of $pd^\star(v)$. Then we label two edges incident with i^{th} external vertex ($1 \leq i \leq n_{ext}$) using labels $n_1 - 2n_{ext} + i$ and $n_1 - i + 1$. Observe that the products of the pairs of labels increase with i.

After the second step, the product degrees of external vertices satisfy the conditions:

$$pd(v_i) < pd(v_j) \text{ if } i < j,$$

$$pd(v_i) > n_1$$

and

$$pd(v_i) \bmod 2 = 0.$$

For pendant vertices we have in turn:

$$pd(v_i) \leq n_1$$

and

$$pd(v_i) \neq pd(v_j) \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

As there can be at most one vertex v with $pd(v) = 0$, this finishes the proof. $\qquad \square$

Corollaries

From the above one can deduce the following two corollaries.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $d \geq 2$ be arbitrary integer. Then for almost all the complete d-ary trees*

$$ps(T) = n_1.$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Even if $d = 2$, there is only one vertex of degree 2 (the root) and its product degree is the product of two (not necessarily distinct) primes $p_1, p_2 > n_1^{1/2}$. It distinguishes this vertex from all other internal and pendant vertices. And even if $n_1 \in \{p_1, p_2\}$, it is impossible to obtain the external vertex with same product degree, as the triple $1, p_1, p_2$ cannot appear (pendant edges should be labeled 1 and n_1 this time, what would imply $n_1 \bmod 2 = 0$, contradiction). Thus all the product degrees are distinct. $\qquad \square$

One of the most important facts used in the proof of Theorem 1.3 is that $n_1 > n/2$. However, as it may be easily checked, the inequality analogous to (3.1) will be satisfied even for smaller number of pendant vertices.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $D \geq d \geq 3$ be arbitrary integers. For almost all graphs G such that*

(i) $\delta(G) = 1$, $\Delta(G) = D$,

(ii) *if we remove all the pendant edges, then for the resulting graph G', $\delta(G') = d \geq 4$,*

(iii) $n_1 >> n^{2/(d-1)} \ln n$ *(i.e. $n = o(\frac{n_1^{(d-1)/2}}{\ln n_1})$),*

(iv) *none of the external vertices is adjacent to exactly one pendant vertex,*

the product irregularity strength equals to

$$ps(G) = n_1.$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. The difference is that this time we do not distinguish pairs of adjacent and non-adjacent vertices. The inequality (3.1) takes the form:

$$E(X) < \binom{n}{2} D! \pi_{1/2}^{-d+1} < 1,$$

and the deterministic part of the proof remains unchanged. $\qquad\square$

Two simple observations

Finally let us add two simple observations on some special families of trees.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $K_{1,n}$ be star with n pendant vertices, $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$ps(K_{1,n}) = \max\{3, n\}.$$

Proof. In the case $n = 2$, two labels 1 and 2 are not enough (either we use two equal labels and obtain same product degrees of pendant vertices, or we label the edges with 1 and 2 and obtain two product degrees 2). On the other hand, using labels 2 and 3 we produce product-irregular labeling. If $n \geq 3$, we need at least n labels to distinguish the product degrees of pendant vertices and this is enough, as the product degree of central vertex equals $n! > n$. $\qquad\square$

Centipede Q_n is the graph with $V(Q_n) = \{u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $E(Q_n) = \{\{u_i, v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let Q_n be a centipede, $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$ps(Q_n) = \max\{3, n\}.$$

Proof. If $n = 2$, two labels are not enough as it would be possible to obtain at most three distinct products 1, 2 and 4 and we have four vertices. If $n \geq 3$, we need at least n labels to distinguish all the pendant vertices. So $ps(Q_n) \geq \max\{3, n\}$. The product-irregular labelings realizing this bound are given as follows:

(i) If $n = 2$, put $w(\{u_i, v_i\}) = i, i = 1, 2$ and $w(\{v_1, v_2\}) = 3$. Then $pd(u_1) = 1$, $pd(u_2) = 2$, $pd(v_1) = 3$ and $pd(v_2) = 6$.

(ii) If $n = 3$, put $w(\{u_2, v_2\}) = 1$, $w(\{u_1, v_1\}) = w(\{v_1, v_2\}) = 2$, $w(\{u_3, v_3\}) = w(\{v_2, v_3\}) = 3$. Then $pd(u_1) = 2$, $pd(u_2) = 1$, $pd(u_3) = 3$, $pd(v_1) = 4$, $pd(v_2) = 6$ and $pd(v_3) = 9$.

(iii) If $n \geq 4$, put $w(\{u_1, v_1\}) = n - 1$, $w(\{u_n, v_n\}) = n - 2$, $w(\{u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}\}) = n$, $w(\{u_i, v_i\}) = i - 1, 2 \leq i \leq n - 2$ and $w(\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}) = n, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Then product degrees of pendant vertices are distinct numbers from the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and the product degrees of external vertices - distinct numbers from the set $\{n(n - 2), n(n - 1)\} \cup \{in^2, 1 \leq i \leq n - 3\} \cup \{n^3\}$.

$\square$

Aknowledgments

References

[1] M. Aigner M and Triesch, Irregular assignments of trees and forests, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **3** (1990), 439–449.

[2] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method*, John Wiley & Sons, 2000.

[3] D. Amar and O. Togni, Irregularity strength of trees, *Discrete Math.* **190** (1998), 15–38.

[4] M. Anholcer, Product irregularity strength of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **309** (2009), 6434–6439.

[5] G. Chartrand, M. S. Jacobson, J. Lehel, O. R. Oellermann, S. Ruiz and F. Saba, Irregular networks, *Congressus Numerantium* **64** (1988), 187–192.

[6] M. Kalkowski, M. Karoński, F. Pfender, A new upper bound for the irregularity strength of graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **25** (2011), 1319–1321.

[7] O. Pikhurko, Characterization of product anti-magic graphs of large order, *Graphs Combinator.* **23** (2007), 681–689.

[8] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers, *Illinois J. Math.* **6** (1962), 64–97.

[9] J. Skowronek-Kaziów, Multiplicative vertex-colouring weightings of graphs, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **112** (2012), 191–194.