



Also available at http://amc-journal.eu ISSN 1855-3966 (printed edn.), ISSN 1855-3974 (electronic edn.) ARS MATHEMATICA CONTEMPORANEA 11 (2016) 315–325

Bipartite graphs with at most six non-zero eigenvalues

Mohammad Reza Oboudi *

Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz, 71457-44776, Iran School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran

Received 22 October 2014, accepted 13 November 2015, published online 2 January 2016

Abstract

In this paper we characterize all bipartite graphs with at most six non-zero eigenvalues. We determine the eigenvalues of bipartite graphs that have at most four non-zero eigenvalues.

Keywords: Eigenvalues of graphs, bipartite graphs. Math. Subj. Class.: 05C31, 05C50

1 Introduction and Main Results

Throughout this paper we will consider only simple graphs. A graph with no isolated vertices is called reduced (also called canonical in the literature) if no two non-adjacent vertices have the same neighborhood. It is well known that the number of vertices of reduced graphs are bounded by some functions of the rank, see [7]. It is not hard to see if a reduced graph has exactly m non-zero eigenvalues, then G has at most $2^m - 1$ vertices. Therefore the number of non-isomorphic reduced graphs with a given number m of non-zero eigenvalues (counted by their multiplicities) is finite. So it is natural to look for a classification of reduced graphs with a given number of non-zero eigenvalues. Note that the number of non-zero eigenvalues of a graph is equal to the rank of the graph which by definition is the rank of the adjacency matrix of the graph. It is not hard to characterize the reduced graphs with rank r, for $r \leq 3$. The classification of reduced graphs of rank 4 and rank 5 can be found in [8] and also in [1] and [2], respectively. Thus it is natural to classify all reduced graphs of rank 6 (see [8] for a characterization according to minimal subgraphs

^{*}This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 94050012). *E-mail address:* mr_oboudi@yahoo.com (Mohammad Reza Oboudi)

of nullity one). Recently, in [9], triangle-free graphs of rank 6 are claimed to be classified in Theorem 4.1. But we find that the graphs G_2 and G_3 in the main result in [9], have rank 8. On the other hand, the main step to find a classification for triangle-free graphs of rank 6 is first to classify bipartite graphs of the same rank (see [9]). In this paper, in a different way from [5] and [9], we completely characterize all bipartite graphs with rank r, where $r \in \{2, 4, 6\}$. In other words, we find all bipartite graphs with at most six non-zero eigenvalues. Also we find the eigenvalues of bipartite graphs of rank 4.

2 Notation and Preliminaries

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. The *order* of G denotes the number of vertices of G. For two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$, the *disjoint union* of G_1 and G_2 denoted by $G_1 + G_2$ is the graph with vertex set $V_1 \cup V_2$ and edge set $E_1 \cup E_2$. The graph rGdenotes the disjoint union of r copies of G. Let u and v be two vertices of a graph G. By uv we mean an edge between u and v. For every vertex $v \in V$, the *open neighborhood* of v is the set $N(v) = \{u \in V : uv \in E\}$. An independent set in a graph is a set of pairwise non-adjacent vertices. For every vertex $v \in V(G)$, the *degree* of v that is denoted by deg(v) is the number of edges incident with v. We denote by $K_n, K_{m,n}, C_n$, and P_n , the complete graph of order n, the complete bipartite graph with part sizes m, n, the cycle of order n, and the path of order n, respectively.

If $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is the set of vertices of a graph G, then the *adjacency matrix* of G, $A(G) = (a_{ij})$, is an $n \times n$ matrix where a_{ij} is 1 if v_i is adjacent to v_j , otherwise $a_{ij} = 0$. Thus A(G) is a symmetric matrix with zeros on the diagonal and all the eigenvalues of A(G) are real. By [v] we mean the column of A(G) that correspond to a vertex v of G, that is the vector of neighbors of v. By the eigenvalues of G we mean those of its adjacency matrix. The multiset of eigenvalues of G is denoted by Spec(G). We let $Spec(G) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$, where $\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of G. The rank of G, denoted by rank(G), is the rank of A(G). In fact, rank(G) is the number of all non-zero eigenvalues of G. We consider the rank of graphs over the field of real numbers. Let H be a bipartite graph with parts X and Y. Suppose that $X = \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$ and $Y = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. By $B(G) = (b_{ij})$ we mean the (0, 1) matrix of size $m \times n$ such that $b_{ij} = 1$ if and only if u_i and v_j are adjacent, otherwise $b_{ij} = 0$. We note that the rank of any bipartite graph is even. More precisely:

Remark 2.1. Let *H* be a bipartite graph with parts *X* and *Y*. Let B = B(H), as mentioned above. Then we have rank(H) = 2 rank(B).

Definition 2.2. A graph G is called *reduced* or *canonical* if it has no isolated vertex and for any two non-adjacent vertices u and v, $N(u) \neq N(v)$. Equivalently, any row of the adjacency matrix is non-zero and no two rows of the adjacency matrix of G are equal.

Definition 2.3. Let G be a graph. We say G is *neighbor-reduced* if G has no isolated vertex and there are no three vertices u, v and w satisfying all the following conditions:

- 1) $\{u, v, w\}$ is an independent set.
- 2) $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$.
- 3) $N(w) = N(u) \cup N(v)$.

Equivalently, any row of the adjacency matrix is non-zero and no row of the adjacency matrix of G is the sum of two other rows.

Definition 2.4. A graph G is called *strongly reduced*, if G is reduced and neighbor-reduced.

We note that there is no connection between Definitions 2.2 and 2.3. For example the path P_5 is reduced but it is not neighbor-reduced while the cycle C_4 is not reduced but it is neighbor-reduced. The cycle C_6 is reduced and neighbor-reduced graph, that is C_6 is strongly reduced. Also the graph H which is shown in Figure 1 is neither reduced (because it has a duplicate vertex) nor neighbor-reduced (because it has P_5 as an induced subgraph (Table 1 of [8])).



Figure 1: A non-reduced and non-neighbor-reduced bipartite graph.



Figure 2: Three bipartite graphs with rank 6 obtained from P_6 with operations \star and \oplus .

Let G be a graph and v be a vertex of G. Suppose that $N(v) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$. By $G \star v$, this operation is well known in the literature as adding a duplicate vertex, we mean the graph obtained by adding a new vertex w and new edges $\{wv_1, \ldots, wv_k\}$ to G. Thus in $G \star v$, N(w) = N(v), that is $G \star v$ is not reduced (see Figure 2). Let S be an independent set of G which is ordered as $S = \{u_1, \ldots, u_t\}$ and $t \ge 2$. We inductively define $G \star S$ as $(G \star u_1) \star S \setminus \{u_1\}$. We note that the operation has the effect of adding even cycles and no odd cycles to the graph; therefore G is bipartite if and only if $G \star v$ is bipartite.

Suppose that u and v are non-adjacent vertices of G such that $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$. By $G \oplus \{u, v\}$ we mean the graph obtained by adding a new vertex w to G and new edges

 $\{wx : x \in N(u)\} \cup \{wy : y \in N(v)\}$. Thus in $G \oplus \{u, v\}$, $N(w) = N(u) \cup N(v)$. See Figure 2. It is easy to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.5. Let G be a graph and u and v be two non-adjacent vertices of G. Then

 $rank(G) = rank(G \star v) = rank(G \oplus \{u, v\}).$

Theorem 2.5 shows that for classifying the graphs of rank r it is sufficient to classify the reduced graphs (or the neighbor-reduced graphs) of rank r. Thus in sequel we consider the reduced graphs.

3 Graphs of Rank 2

In this section we characterize all graphs with rank 2. We note that rank(G) = 0 if and only if $G = nK_1$, for some natural number n. By the fact that the sum of all eigenvalues of a graph is zero, there is no graph with rank 1.

Remark 3.1. Let G be a graph of rank 2. Thus $Spec(G) = \{\alpha, 0, ..., 0, \beta\}$, for some nonzero real numbers α and β . Since for any graph H, $\sum_{\lambda \in Spec(H)} = 0$, we obtain $\beta = -\alpha$. Thus G is bipartite (see Theorem 3.11 of [4]). In the other words, any graph of rank 2 is bipartite.

Theorem 3.2. Let G be a reduced graph. Then rank(G) = 2 if and only if $G = P_2$.

Proof. Assume that rank(G) = 2. By Remark 3.1, G is bipartite. Let X and Y be the parts of G and B = B(G). By Remark 2.1, rank(B) = 1. This shows that all columns of B are the same. In the other words, all vertices of part X have the same neighbors. Since G is reduced, we conclude that |X| = 1. Similarly, |Y| = 1. Thus $G = P_2$.

Theorem 3.3. Let G be a graph. Then rank(G) = 2 if and only if $G = K_{m,n} + tK_1$, for some natural numbers m and n and a non-negative integer t.

Proof. First suppose that rank(G) = 2. If G is reduced, then by Theorem 3.2, $G = P_2 + tK_1$, for some $t \ge 0$. Assume that G is not reduced. By deleting some vertices from G, one can obtain a reduced graph H. By Theorem 2.5, rank(H) = 2. Thus by the connected case, $H = K_2 + tK_1$. Since $G = H \star S$, for some independent set S of G, we obtain that $G = K_{m,n} + tK_1$, for some integers $m, n \ge 1$. The second part of assertion is trivial.

4 Bipartite Graphs of Rank 4 and their Eigenvalues

In this section we investigate about the bipartite graphs with rank 4. We note that by a different method in [5], the bipartite graphs of rank 4 are classified.

Theorem 4.1. Let G be a reduced bipartite graph. Then rank(G) = 4 if and only if $G = 2P_2$ or $G = P_4$ or $G = P_5$.

Proof. One can easily see that $rank(2P_2) = rank(P_4) = rank(P_5) = 4$. It remains to prove the other part. Suppose that G is a reduced bipartite graph. Let X and Y be the parts of G and B = B(G). By Remark 2.1, rank(B) = 2. Let $u, v \in Y$. Suppose that [u] and [v] are two columns of B correspondence to u and v, respectively. Assume that $[u] = \alpha[v]$,

for some real α . It is trivial that $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$. If $\alpha = 0$, then u is an isolated vertex, a contradiction. Thus $\alpha = 1$. This shows that N(u) = N(v), a contradiction. Therefore very two columns of B are independent.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3 be three vertices of X. Since rank(B) = 2 and any two columns of B are independent, for some non-zero real numbers a and b we have

$$[x_3] = a[x_1] + b[x_2]. (4.1)$$

By multiplying the vector j (all components are 1) to both sides of Equation 4.1, we obtain that:

$$deg(x_3) = a \, deg(x_1) + b \, deg(x_2). \tag{4.2}$$

Since $N(x_1) \neq N(x_2)$, without losing the generality let $N(x_1) \not\subseteq N(x_2)$. Assume that $u \in N(x_1) \setminus N(x_2)$. Considering the entries correspondence to u in the vectors $[x_1], [x_2]$ and $[x_3]$ in the Equality (4.1), we obtain $a \in \{0, 1\}$. Since a is non-zero, a = 1. Let w be a neighbor of x_2 . Considering the entries correspondence to w in the Equality (4.1), we have $b \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Since $b \neq 0$, $b = \pm 1$. Thus for any three columns X_1, X_2 and X_3 of B, one of the following holds:

$$X_1 = X_2 + X_3$$
 or $X_2 = X_1 + X_3$ or $X_3 = X_1 + X_2$. (4.3)

We claim that $|X|, |Y| \leq 3$. By contradiction suppose that $|X| \geq 4$. Let x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 be the vertices of X with have the minimum degree among all vertices of X. Suppose that $deg(x_1) \geq deg(x_2) \geq deg(3) \geq deg(x_4)$. Since the sets $\{[x_1], [x_2], [x_3]\}$ and $\{[x_2], [x_3], [x_4]\}$ are dependent, by Equations 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain $[x_1] = [x_2] + [x_3]$ and $[x_2] = [x_3] + [x_4]$. Therefore $[x_1] = 2[x_3] + [x_4]$, a contradiction (by Equation 4.3). Similarly, $|Y| \leq 3$. Since $|X|, |Y| \leq 3$, G has at most 6 vertices. It is not hard to see that G is isomorphic to one of the graphs $2P_2$ or P_4 or P_5 . The proof is complete.

Let $V(2P_2) = \{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2\}$ and $E(2P_2) = \{x_1y_1, x_2y_2\}$. Thus $P_5 = 2P_2 \oplus \{x_1, x_2\}$. Therefore P_5 is not neighbor-reduced. Using this fact and Theorem 4.1 we obtain the following:

Theorem 4.2. Let G be a strongly reduced bipartite graph. Then rank(G) = 4 if and only if $G = 2P_2$ or $G = P_4$.

Using Theorems 2.5 and 4.1 (similar to proof of Theorem 3.3), one can characterize all bipartite graphs of rank 4.

Theorem 4.3 (Theorem 7.1 of [8]). Let G be a bipartite graph with no isolated vertex. Then rank(G) = 4 if and only if G is obtained from $2P_2$ or P_4 or P_5 with the operation \star .

We finish this section by computing the eigenvalues of bipartite graphs of rank 4. First we prove the following lemma. For other proofs, see also page 115 of [4], page 54 of [3] and page 115 of [6].

Lemma 4.4. Let G be a bipartite graph. Let $Spec(G) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$. Then

$$\lambda_1^4 + \dots + \lambda_n^4 = 2m + 8q + 4\sum_{v \in V(G)} \binom{\deg(v)}{2},$$

where m and q are the number of edges and the number of cycles of length 4 of G, respectively.

Proof. It is well-known that for any natural number k, $\lambda_1^k + \cdots + \lambda_n^k$ is equal to the number of closed walks of G with length k (page 81 of [4]). Since G is bipartite, all closed walks of length 4 appear in the subgraphs of G that are isomorphic to P_2, P_3 or C_4 . We note that in any P_2, P_3 and C_4 there are 2, 4 and 8 closed walks of length 4 (which cover all vertices of P_2, P_3 and C_4), respectively. On the other hand, the number of P_3 in G is $\sum_{v \in V(G)} {deg(v) \choose 2}$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.5. Let G be a bipartite graph of rank 4. Let $Spec(G) = \{\alpha, \beta, 0, ..., 0, -\beta, -\alpha\}$. Suppose that v is a vertex of G with deg(v) = t. Then $Spec(G \star v) = \{\gamma, \theta, 0, ..., 0, -\theta, -\gamma\}$, where γ and θ are as following:

$$\gamma, \theta = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + t \pm \sqrt{2(\alpha^4 + \beta^4 + L) - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + t)^2}}{2}}.$$

Also,

$$\gamma, \theta = \sqrt{\frac{m + t \pm \sqrt{2(m^2 - 2\alpha^2 \beta^2 + L) - (m + t)^2}}{2}}$$

where $L = t + 6\binom{t}{2} + 2\sum_{w \in N(v)} deg(w).$

Proof. Assume that m and m' are the number of edges of G and $G \star v$, respectively. Suppose that q and q' are the number of cycles of length 4 of G and $G \star v$, respectively. Thus m' = m + t and $q' = q + {t \choose 2}$. Since G is bipartite, $G \star v$ is bipartite, too. By Theorem 2.5, $rank(G \star v) = 4$. Therefore we have $Spec(G \star v) = \{\gamma, \theta, 0, \dots, 0, -\theta, -\gamma\}$, for some non-zero real numbers γ and θ . Using Lemma 4.5 we obtain

$$2(\gamma^{4} + \theta^{4}) = 2(m+t) + 8\left(q + \binom{t}{2}\right) + 4\sum_{w \in V(G) \setminus N(v)} \binom{\deg(w)}{2} + 4\sum_{w \in N(v)} \binom{\deg(w) + 1}{2} + 4\binom{t}{2}.$$
 (4.4)

Since for any integer $k \ge 0$, $\binom{k+1}{2} = \binom{k}{2} + k$, using Lemma 4.5 we conclude that:

$$\gamma^4 + \theta^4 = \alpha^4 + \beta^4 + t + 6\binom{t}{2} + 2\sum_{w \in N(v)} deg(w).$$
(4.5)

On the other hand, by the fact that for every graph H, $\sum_{\lambda \in Spec(H)} \lambda^2 = 2|E(H)|$, we obtain that $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = m$ and $\gamma^2 + \theta^2 = m + t$. These equalities imply that

$$\gamma^2 + \theta^2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + t.$$
 (4.6)

Let $L = t + 6\binom{t}{2} + 2\sum_{w \in N(v)} deg(w)$. Suppose that $p = \gamma^2$ and $q = \theta^2$. Using Equations 4.5 and 4.6 we obtain

$$pq = \frac{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + t)^2 - (\alpha^4 + \beta^4 + L)}{2}$$
, and $p + q = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + t$.

The latter equalities show that p and q are roots of the following polynomial:

$$x^{2} - (\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} + t)x + \frac{(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} + t)^{2} - (\alpha^{4} + \beta^{4} + L)}{2}$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 4.6. Let $f(G, \lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of G. Suppose that G is a bipartite graph of order n with rank 4 and with $Spec(G) = \{\alpha, \beta, 0, \dots, 0, -\beta, -\alpha\}$. Let m be the number of edges of G. Thus $f(G, x) = x^{n-4}(x^4 - mx^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2)$. Assume that v is a vertex of G with deg(v) = t and $L = t + 6\binom{t}{2} + 2\sum_{w \in N(v)} deg(w)$. Then by the proof of Theorem 4.5

$$f(G \star v, x) = x^{n-3}(x^4 - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + t)x^2 + \frac{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + t)^2 - (\alpha^4 + \beta^4 + L)}{2})$$

5 Bipartite Graphs of Rank 6

In this section we characterize all bipartite graphs of rank 6. We note that all the singular graphs of rank 6 are characterized in [8]. In addition the bipartite singular (reduced) graphs of nullity one are those corresponding to the last four lines of Table 2 in [8], that is the graphs shown in Figure 7 in [8]. These are the graphs G_8 to G_{12} of our paper. The graphs G_1 to G_7 are non-singular and G_{13} has nullity two. As we mentioned in the introduction, in [9] the authors consider the triangle-free graphs of rank 6. But we find that the graphs G_2 and G_3 in Theorem 4.1 of [9], have rank 8. On the other hand, the main step to find a classification for triangle-free graphs of rank 6 is first to classify bipartite graphs of the same rank [9]. In this section by a different way from [9], we completely characterize all bipartite graphs of rank 6. In other words, we find all bipartite graphs with exactly six non-zero eigenvalues.



Figure 3: All strongly reduced bipartite graphs of rank 6.

Theorem 5.1. Let G be a strongly reduced bipartite graph. Then rank(G) = 6 if and only if G is one of the graphs which are shown in Figure 3.

Proof. Let X and Y be the parts of G and B = B(G). Since rank(G) = 6, by Theorem 2.1, rank(B) = 3. We show that $|X|, |Y| \le 4$ (that is G has at most 8 vertices). Let y, y_1, y_2, y_3 be four vertices of Y. Since rank(B) = 3, there exist some real numbers a, a_1, a_2 and a_3 such that $a[y] + a_1[y_1] + a_2[y_2] + a_3[y_3] = 0$. We claim that all the numbers a, a_1, a_2 and a_3 are non-zero. If two coefficients are zero, for example $a_2 = a_3 = 0$, then $N(y) = N(y_1)$, a contradiction (because G is reduced). If one of the coefficients is zero, say a = 0, then as we see in the proof of Theorem 4.2, one of the following holds:

 $[y_1] = [y_2] + [y_3]$ or $[y_2] = [y_1] + [y_3]$ or $[y_3] = [y_1] + [y_2]$, a contradiction (since G is neighbor-reduced). Thus the claim is proved.

Suppose that $deg(y) \ge deg(y_1) \ge deg(y_2) \ge deg(y_3)$. One can see that $N(y) \not\subseteq N(y_i)$, for i = 1, 2, 3. Let

$$[y] = \alpha[y_1] + \beta[y_2] + \gamma[y_3], \text{ where } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \neq 0.$$
(5.1)

Since $N(y) \setminus N(y_1) \neq \emptyset$, by Equation (5.1) we obtain $\beta l_1 + \gamma l_2 = 1$, where $l_1, l_2 \in \{0, 1\}$. This shows that $\beta = 1$ or $\gamma = 1$ or $\beta + \gamma = 1$. Similarly, since $N(y) \not\subseteq N(y_i)$ for i = 2, 3, we have $\alpha = 1$ or $\gamma = 1$ or $\alpha + \gamma = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$ or $\beta = 1$ or $\alpha + \beta = 1$. It is not hard to see that the multiset $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ is one of the following:

$$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}, \{1, 1, 1\}, \{-1, 1, 1\}, \{-2, 1, 1\}, \{-1, 1, 2\}, \{-1, -1, 1\}.$$

Therefore [y] satisfying in one of the following equations:

By multiplying the vector j (all components are 1) to both sides of Equation (5.1) we conclude that

$$deg(y) = \alpha \, deg(y_1) + \beta \, deg(y_2) + \gamma \, deg(y_3). \tag{5.2}$$

Suppose that y_1, y_2, y_3 have the minimum degree among all vertices of Y. Let $deg(y_1) \ge deg(y_2) \ge deg(y_3)$. By Equation (5.2) the cases $L_8, L_{15}, L_{16}, L_{17}$ cannot happen. On the other hand one can see that the cases L_7, L_9, L_{10}, L_{11} can not occur. For example suppose that L_7 holds. So there exists a vertex $y \ne y_1, y_2, y_3$ in Y such $[y] = [y_1] - 2[y_2] + [y_3]$. This shows that $N(y_2) \subseteq N(y_1) \cap N(y_3)$. Since $deg(y_2) \ge deg(y_3), N(y_2) = N(y_3)$, a contradiction (because G is reduced).

Now we claim that $|Y| \leq 4$. By contradiction let $|Y| \geq 5$. Assume that y_1, y_2, y_3 have the minimum degree among all vertices of Y and $deg(y_1) \geq deg(y_2) \geq deg(y_3)$. Suppose that y and y_0 are two vertices of Y (distinct from y_1, y_2, y_3). Thus [y] and $[y_0]$ are satisfying in one of the equations $L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5, L_6, L_{12}, L_{13}, L_{14}$. We show that it is impossible. For example suppose that [y] satisfying in the equation L_i and y_0 in L_j . Clearly, $i \neq j$. For instance let i = 3 and j = 4. That is $[y] = [y_1] + [y_2] - [y_3]$ and $[y_0] = [y_1] - [y_2] + [y_3]$. Thus $[y] + [y_0] = 2[y_1]$, a contradiction (because every three columns of B is independent). As other manner let i = 1 and j = 2. Thus $[y_0] = -2[y]+2[y_2]+2[y_3]$, a contradiction (because it does not appear in equations L_1, \ldots, L_{17}). As a last manner let i = 12 and j = 14. The condition L_{12} implies that $N(y_2) \subseteq N(y_1)$ and $N(y_2) \cap N(y_3) = \emptyset$. On the other hand L_{14} shows that $N(y_3) \subseteq N(y_1)$. Since G is neighbor-reduced, $N(y_1) \neq N(y_2) \cup N(y_3)$. Let $x \in N(y_1) \setminus N(y_2) \cup N(y_3)$. By the equality $[y] = -[y_1] + 2[y_2] + [y_3]$, we find that the component correspondences to x in [y] is -1, a contradiction. Similarly one can check the other value for i and j, where $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14\}$. The claim is proved. That is $|Y| \le 4$. Similarly $|X| \le 4$. Thus *G* has at most 8 vertices. One can see that all of the strongly reduced graphs of rank 6 and with at most 8 vertices are given in Figure 3. The proof is complete.

Remark 5.2. Let b_n and c_n be the number of connected bipartite graphs and connected strongly reduced bipartite graphs of order n, respectively. Then

$$b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 1, b_4 = 3, b_5 = 5, b_6 = 17, b_7 = 44, b_8 = 182,$$

 $c_1 = c_2 = 1, c_3 = 0, c_4 = 1, c_5 = 0, c_6 = 5, c_7 = 5, c_8 = 36.$

We note that among all connected strongly reduced bipartite graphs of order 8, the cycle C_8 is the only graph with rank 6 and the others have rank 8.

Using Theorems 2.5 and 5.1 (similar to proof of Theorems 3.3 and 4.3) we characterize all bipartite graphs of rank 6. See also Theorem 8.3 of [8].

Theorem 5.3. Let G be a bipartite graph with no isolated vertex. Then rank(G) = 6 if and only if G is obtained from one of the graph which is shown in Figure 3 with the operations \star and \oplus .

Now we characterize all bipartite reduced graphs of rank 6.

Theorem 5.4. Let G be a reduced bipartite graph. Then rank(G) = 6 if and only if G is one of the graphs which are shown in Figurer 4.

Proof. It is easy to check that all graphs of Figure 4 are reduced of rank 6. Thus it remains to prove the other part. Let G be a reduced bipartite graph of rank 6. If G is strongly reduced, then by Theorem 5.1, G is one of the graphs G_1, \ldots, G_{13} which are shown in Figure 3. Otherwise, by Theorem 5.3, G is obtained from one of the graphs G_1, \ldots, G_{13} by the operations \star and \oplus . Since G is reduced, G is obtained only by the operation \oplus from G_1, \ldots, G_{13} (note that if H is a non-reduced graph, then for any independent set S of H, $H \star S$ is also non-reduced).

Thus to obtain G it is sufficient to apply the operation \oplus on the graphs G_1, \ldots, G_{13} . Since there is no non-adjacent vertices u and v in $G_5, G_6, G_7, G_{11}, G_{12}$ such that $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$, we can not apply \oplus on the graphs $G_5, G_6, G_7, G_{11}, G_{12}$.

For the other graphs, one can see the following:

- 1) The graphs $G_{1,1}, G_{1,2}, G_{1,3}, G_{1,4}, G_{1,5}, G_{1,6}, G_{1,7}$ and $G_{1,8}$ are obtained from G_1 .
- 2) The graphs $G_{2,1}, G_{2,2}, G_{2,3}$ and $G_{2,4}$ are obtained from G_2 .
- 3) The graph $G_{3,1}$ is obtained from G_3 .
- 4) The graph $G_{4,1}$ is obtained from G_4 .
- 5) The graphs $G_{8,1}, G_{8,2}, G_{8,3}$ and $G_{8,4}$ are obtained from G_8 .
- 6) The graphs $G_{9,1}, G_{9,2}$ and $G_{9,3}$ that are isomorphic to $G_{1,4}, G_{1,6}$ and $G_{1,8}$, respectively, are obtained from G_9 .
- 7) The graph $G_{10,1}$ is obtained from G_{10} .
- 8) The graph $G_{13,1}$ is obtained from G_{13} .

Therefore we obtain 20 reduced graphs from $G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4, G_8, G_9, G_{10}$ and G_{13} . Since G_1, \ldots, G_{13} are also reduced, there are exactly 33 bipartite reduced graphs of rank 6 (see Figure 4).

Similar to other theorems like Theorem 5.3 we can prove one of the main result of this paper.

Theorem 5.5. Let G be a bipartite graph with no isolated vertex. Then rank(G) = 6 if and only if G obtained from one of the graphs which are shown in Figurer 4 with the operation \star .



Figure 4: All reduced bipartite graphs of rank 6.

References

- G. J. Chang, L.-H. Huang and H.-G. Yeh, A characterization of graphs with rank 4, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 434 (2011), 1793–1798, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2010.09.040.
- [2] G. J. Chang, L.-H. Huang and H.-G. Yeh, A characterization of graphs with rank 5, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 436 (2012), 4241–4250, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2012.01.021.
- [3] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson and S. Simić, An introduction to the theory of graph spectra, volume 75 of London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [4] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob and H. Sachs, *Spectra of graphs, Theory and application*, volume 87 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1980.
- [5] Y.-Z. Fan and K.-S. Qian, On the nullity of bipartite graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **430** (2009), 2943–2949, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2009.01.007.
- [6] A. Farrugia and I. Sciriha, The main eigenvalues and number of walks in self-complementary graphs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 62 (2014), 1346–1360, doi:10.1080/03081087.2013.825959.
- [7] A. Kotlov and L. Lovász, The rank and size of graphs, J. Graph Theory 23 (1996), 185–189, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0118(199610)23:2(185::AID-JGT9)3.3.CO;2-X.
- [8] I. Sciriha, On the rank of graphs, in: Combinatorics, graph theory, and algorithms, Vol. I, II (Kalamazoo, MI, 1996), New Issues Press, Kalamazoo, MI, pp. 769–778, 1999.
- [9] L. Wang, Y. Fan and Y. Wang, The triangle-free graphs with rank 6, J. Math. Res. Appl. 34 (2014), 517–528.