

ISSN 1855-3966 (printed edn.), ISSN 1855-3974 (electronic edn.) ARS MATHEMATICA CONTEMPORANEA 14 (2018) 177–186 **https://doi.org/10.26493/1855-3974.1298.937** (Also available at http://amc-journal.eu)

Every finite group has a normal bi-Cayley graph[∗]

Jin-Xin Zhou †

Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, P.R. China

Received 19 January 2017, accepted 27 April 2017, published online 17 August 2017

Abstract

A graph Γ with a group H of automorphisms acting semiregularly on the vertices with two orbits is called a *bi-Cayley graph* over H. When H is a normal subgroup of $Aut(\Gamma)$, we say that Γ is *normal* with respect to H. In this paper, we show that every finite group has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph. This improves a theorem by Arezoomand and Taeri and provides a positive answer to a question reported in the literature.

Keywords: Normal, bi-Cayley, Cartesian product. Math. Subj. Class.: 05C25, 20B25

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, groups are assumed to be finite, and graphs are assumed to be finite, connected, simple and undirected. For the group-theoretic and graph-theoretic terminology not defined here we refer the reader to [5, 23].

Let G be a permutation group on a set Ω and $\alpha \in \Omega$. Denote by G_{α} the stabilizer of α in G, that is, the subgroup of G fixing the point α . We say that G is *semiregular* on Ω if $G_{\alpha} = 1$ for every $\alpha \in \Omega$ and *regular* if G is transitive and semiregular. It is well-known that a graph Γ is a *Cayley graph* if it has an automorphism group acting regularly on its vertex set (see [4, Lemma 16.3]). If we, instead, require that the graph Γ admits a group of automorphisms acting semiregularly on its vertex set with two orbits, then we obtain the so-called *bi-Cayley graph*.

Cayley graph is usually defined in the following way. Given a finite group G and an inverse closed subset $S \subseteq G \setminus \{1\}$, the *Cayley graph* Cay(G, S) on G with respect to S is a

[∗]This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11671030) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2015JBM110).

[†]The author is indebted to the anonymous referee for many valuable comments and constructive suggestions. *E-mail address:* jxzhou@bjtu.edu.cn (Jin-Xin Zhou)

graph with vertex set G and edge set $\{g, sg\} \mid g \in G, s \in S\}$. For any $g \in G$, $R(g)$ is the permutation of G defined by $R(q): x \mapsto xg$ for $x \in G$. Set $R(G) := \{ R(q) | q \in G \}$. It is well-known that $R(G)$ is a subgroup of Aut(Cay(G, S)). In 1981, Godsil [10] proved that the normalizer of $R(G)$ in Aut(Cay(G, S)) is $R(G) \rtimes$ Aut(G, S), where Aut(G, S) is the group of automorphisms of G fixing the set S set-wise. This result has been successfully used in characterizing various families of GRRs, namely, Cayley graphs $Cay(G, S)$ such that $R(G) = \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$ (see, for example, [10, 11]). A Cayley graph Cay (G, S) is said to be *normal* if $R(G)$ is normal in Aut(Cay(G, S)). This concept was introduced by Xu in [24], and for more results about normal Cayley graphs, we refer the reader to [8].

Similarly, every bi-Cayley graph admits the following concrete realization. Given a group H, let R, L and S be subsets of H such that $R^{-1} = R$, $L^{-1} = L$ and $R \cup L$ does not contain the identity element of H. The *bi-Cayley graph* over H relative to the triple (R, L, S) , denoted by $Bicay(H, R, L, S)$, is the graph having vertex set the union of the right part $H_0 = \{h_0 \mid h \in H\}$ and the left part $H_1 = \{h_1 \mid h \in H\}$, and edge set the union of the right edges $\{\{h_0, g_0\} \mid gh^{-1} \in R\}$, the left edges $\{\{h_1, g_1\} \mid gh^{-1} \in L\}$ and the spokes $\{h_0, g_1\} \mid gh^{-1} \in S$. Let $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$. For $g \in H$, define a permutation $BR(q)$ on the vertices of Γ by the rule

$$
h_i^{BR(g)} = (hg)_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_2, h \in H.
$$

Then $BR(H) = \{BR(g) | g \in H \}$ is a semiregular subgroup of Aut(Γ) which is isomorphic to H and has H_0 and H_1 as its two orbits. When $BR(H)$ is normal in Aut(Γ), the bi-Cayley graph $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$ will be called a *normal bi-Cayley graph* over H (see [3] or [27]).

Wang et al. in [22] determined the groups having a connected normal Cayley graph.

Proposition 1.1. *Every finite group* G has a normal Cayley graph unless $G \cong C_4 \times C_2$ or $G \cong \mathbf{Q}_8 \times C_2^r (r \geq 0).$

Following up this result, Arezoomand and Taeri in [3] asked: Which finite groups have normal bi-Cayley graphs? They also gave a partial answer to this question by proving that every finite group $G \not\cong Q_8 \times C_2^r(r \geq 0)$ has at least one normal bi-Cayley graph. At the end of [3], the authors asked the following question:

Question 1.2 ([3, Question]). Is there any normal bi-Cayley graph over $G \cong Q_8 \times C_2^r$ for each $r \geq 0$?

We remark that for every finite group $G \not\cong \mathbb{Q}_8 \times C_2^r (r \geq 0)$, the normal bi-Cayley graph over G constructed in the proof of $[3,$ Theorem 5] is not of regular valency, and so is not vertex-transitive. So it is natural to ask the following question.

Question 1.3. Is there any vertex-transitive normal bi-Cayley graph over a finite group G ?

In this paper, Questions 1.2 and 1.3 are answered in positive. The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.4. *Every finite group has a vertex-transitive normal bi-Cayley graph.*

To end this section we give some notation which is used in this paper. For a positive integer n, denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n, by \mathbb{Z}_n the ring of integers modulo n, by D_{2n} the dihedral group of order $2n$, and by $Alt(n)$ and $Sym(n)$ the alternating group

and symmetric group of degree *n*, respectively. Denote by Q_8 the quaternion group. For two groups M and N, $N \rtimes M$ denotes a semidirect product of N by M. The identity element of a finite group G is denoted by 1.

For a finite, simple and undirected graph Γ, we use $V(\Gamma)$, $E(\Gamma)$ and Aut(Γ) to denote its vertex set, edge set and full automorphism group, respectively, and for any $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$, $u \sim v$ means that u and v are adjacent. A graph Γ is said to be *vertex-transitive* if its full automorphism group Aut(Γ) acts transitively on its vertex set. For any subset B of $V(\Gamma)$, the subgraph of Γ induced by B will be denoted by $\Gamma[B]$.

2 Cartesian products

The *Cartesian product* $X \square Y$ of graphs X and Y is a graph with vertex set $V(X) \times V(Y)$, and with vertices (u, x) and (v, y) being adjacent if and only if $u = v$ and $x \sim y$ in Y, or $x = y$ and $u \sim v$ in X.

A non-trivial graph X is *prime* if it is not isomorphic to a Cartesian product of two smaller graphs. The following proposition shows the uniqueness of the prime factor decomposition of connected graphs with respect to the Cartesian product.

Proposition 2.1 ([12, Theorem 6.6]). *Every connected finite graph can be decomposed as a Cartesian product of prime graphs, uniquely up to isomorphism and the order of the factors.*

Two non-trivial graphs are *relatively prime* (w.r.t. Cartesian product) if they have no non-trivial common factor. Now we consider the automorphisms of Cartesian product of graphs.

Proposition 2.2 ([12, Theorem 6.10]). *Suppose* ϕ *is an automorphism of a connected graph* Γ *with prime factor decomposition* $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \square \Gamma_2 \square \cdots \square \Gamma_k$ *. Then there is a permu-* τ *tation* π *of* $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$ *and isomorphisms* $\phi_i\colon\Gamma_{\pi(i)}\to\Gamma_i$ *for which*

$$
\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (\phi_1(x_{\pi(1)}), \phi_2(x_{\pi(2)}), \dots, \phi_k(x_{\pi(k)})).
$$

Corollary 2.3 ([12, Corollary 6.12]). *Let* Γ *be a connected graph with prime factor decomposition* $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \square \Gamma_2 \square \cdots \square \Gamma_k$. If $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots, \Gamma_k$ *are relatively prime, then* Aut (Γ) = $Aut(\Gamma_1) \times Aut(\Gamma_2) \times \cdots \times Aut(\Gamma_k).$

The following theorem provides a method of constructing normal bi-Cayley graphs.

Theorem 2.4. *Let* X *be a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over a group* H*, and let* Y *be a connected normal Cayley graph over a group* K*. If* X *and* Y *are relatively prime, then* $X \square Y$ *is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over the group* $H \times K$ *.*

Proof. Assume that X and Y are relatively prime. By Corollary 2.3, Aut $(X \square Y)$ = Aut (X) × Aut (Y) . Since X is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over H, one has $BR(H) \trianglelefteq Aut(X)$, and since Y is a connected normal Cayley graph over a group K, one has $R(K) \trianglelefteq Aut(Y)$. Then $BR(H) \times R(K)$ is a normal subgroup of $Aut(X \square Y) =$ $Aut(X) \times Aut(Y)$. Note that $BR(H)$ acts semiregularly on $V(X)$ with two orbits, and $R(K)$ acts regularly on $V(Y)$. It follows that $BR(H) \times R(K)$ acts semiregularly on $V(X) \times V(Y)$ with two orbits, and thereby $X \square Y$ is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over the group $H \times K$. \Box

3 Normal bi-Cayley graphs over $Q_8 \times C_2^r (r \geq 0)$

In this section, we shall answer Question 1.2 in positive.

3.1 The *n*-dimensional hypercube

For $n \geq 1$, the *n-dimensional hypercube*, denoted by Q_n , is the graph whose vertices are all the *n*-tuples of 0's and 1's with two *n*-tuples being adjacent if and only if they differ in exactly one place.

Let $N = C_2^n$ be an elementary abelian 2-group of order 2^n with a minimum generating set $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_n\}$. By the definition of Q_n , we have Cay $(N, S) \cong Q_n$. For convenience of the statement, we assume that $Q_n = Cay(N, S)$. If $n = 1$, then $Q_1 = \mathbf{K}_2$ and so Aut $(Q_1) = N$. In what follows, assume that $n \geq 2$. It is easy to observe that for any distinct s_i, s_j there is a unique 4-cycle in Q_n passing through $1, s_i, s_j$, where 1 is the identity element of N. So if a subgroup of Aut (Q_n) fixes S pointwise, then it also fixes every vertex at distance 2 from 1. By the connectedness and vertex-transitivity of Q_n , we have Aut (Q_n) ₁ acts faithfully on S. It follows that Aut (Q_n) ₁ \leq Sym (n) . On the other hand, it is easy to see that each permutation on S induces an automorphism of N , and so $Aut(N, S) \cong Sym(n)$. Since $Aut(N, S) \le Aut(Q_n)_1$, one has $Aut(Q_n)_1 = Aut(N, S) \cong$ Sym(n). Consequently, we have $Aut(Q_n) = R(N) \rtimes Aut(N, S) \cong N \rtimes Sym(n)$ (see also [25, Lemma 1.1]).

Note that Q_n is bipartite. Let $Aut(Q_n)^*$ be the kernel of $Aut(Q_n)$ acting on the two partition sets of Q_n . Let $E = R(N) \cap Aut(Q_n)^*$. Then $E \leq Aut(Q_n)^*$ and $E \leq R(N)$. It follows that $E \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(Q_n)^* R(N) = \text{Aut}(Q_n)$. Clearly, E acts semiregularly on $V(Q_n)$ with two orbits. Thus, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Use the same notation as in the above three paragraphs. For any* $n \geq 1$, Q_n *is a normal Cayley graph over* N, and Q_n *is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over* E.

3.2 The Möbius-Kantor graph

The Möbius-Kantor graph GP(8, 3) is a graph with vertex set $V = \{i, i' \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$ and edge set the union of the *outer edges* $\{\{i, i+1\} | i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$, the *inner edges* $\{\{i', (i+3)'\} | i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$, and the *spokes* $\{\{i, i'\} | i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$ (see Figure 1). Note that GP(8, 3) is a bipartite graph with bipartition sets $B_1 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 0', 2', 4', 6'\}$ and $B_2 = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 1', 3', 5', 7'\}.$

In [26], the edge-transitive groups of automorphisms of $Aut(GP(8, 3))$ were determined. We first introduce the following automorphisms of $\text{GP}(8,3)$, represented as permutations on the vertex set V :

> $\alpha = (1\ 3\ 5\ 7)(0\ 2\ 4\ 6)(1'\ 3'\ 5'\ 7')(0'\ 2'\ 4'\ 6'),$ $\beta = (0\ 1'\ 2)(0'\ 6'\ 3)(4\ 5'\ 6)(7\ 4'\ 2'),$ $\gamma = (1\ 1')(2\ 6')(3\ 3')(4\ 0')(5\ 5')(6\ 2')(7\ 7')(0\ 4'),$ δ = (1 1')(2 4')(3 7')(4 2')(5 5')(6 0')(7 3')(0 6').

By [26, Lemma 3.1], we have $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \alpha^{\beta} \rangle \rtimes \langle \beta \rangle \cong Q_8 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$, where Q_8 is the quaternion group, and moreover, $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \leq Aut(GP(8, 3))$. Clearly, $\langle \alpha, \alpha^{\beta} \rangle \cong Q_8$ is the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, so $\langle \alpha, \alpha^{\beta} \rangle$ is characteristic in $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, and then it is normal in Aut(GP(8,3)) because $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \le$ Aut(GP(8, 3)). For convenience of the statement, we let $Q_8 = \langle \alpha, \alpha^{\beta} \rangle$. It is easy to see that Q_8 acts semiregularly on V with two orbits B_1 and B_2 . Thus we have the following lemma.

Figure 1: The Möbius-Kantor graph $GP(8, 3)$.

Lemma 3.2. GP $(8, 3)$ *is a normal bi-Cayley graph over* Q_8 *.*

3.3 An answer to Question 1.2

Noting that GP(8, 3) is of girth 6, GP(8, 3) is prime. For each $r \ge 1$, it is easy to see that $Q_r = \mathbf{K}_2 \square \mathbf{K}_2 \square \cdots \square \mathbf{K}_2$. So, Q_n and GP(8,3) are relatively prime. Now combining \overline{n} times together Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and Theorem 2.4, we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *For each* $r \geq 1$, GP(8, 3) $\times Q_r$ *is a vertex-transitive normal bi-Cayley graph* $over Q_8 \times N$, where $N \cong C_2^r$.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

The proof of Theorem 1.4 will be completed by the following lemmas. Let G be a group. A Cayley graph $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ on G is said to be a *graphical regular representation* (or *GRR* for short) of G if $Aut(\Gamma) = R(G)$.

Lemma 4.1. Let G be a group admitting a GRR Γ . Then $\Gamma \square \mathbf{K}_2$ is a normal bi-Cayley *graph over the group* G*.*

Proof. If \mathbf{K}_2 and Γ are relatively prime, then by Corollary 2.3, we have Aut($\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$) = Aut(Γ) × Aut(K₂). Clearly, $R(G)$ × {1} acts semiregularly on $V(\Gamma \square K_2)$ with two orbits, and $R(G) \times \{1\} \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(\Gamma \square \mathbf{K}_2)$, where 1 is the identity of Aut (\mathbf{K}_2) . It follows that $\Gamma \square \mathbf{K}_2$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over the group G .

Suppose that \mathbf{K}_2 is also a prime factor of Γ . Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \square \mathbf{K}_2 \square \cdots \square \mathbf{K}_2$ \overline{m} times m times be such

that Γ_1 is coprime to \mathbf{K}_2 . From Corollary 2.3 it follows that $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \text{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times$ Aut $(\mathbf{K}_2 \Box \cdots \Box \mathbf{K}_2)$. Since Γ is a GRR of G, one has $m = 1$, and therefore $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2 =$ $\Gamma_1 \square \mathbf{K}_2 \square \mathbf{K}_2$. Then $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \text{Aut}(\mathbf{K}_2 \square \mathbf{K}_2)$, and Γ_1 is a GRR of Aut (Γ_1) . By Lemma 3.1, $\mathbf{K}_2 \square \mathbf{K}_2$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over C_2 , and by Theorem 2.4, $\Gamma \square \mathbf{K}_2$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over $Aut(\Gamma_1) \times C_2 \cong G$. \Box

A group G is called *generalized dicyclic group* if it is non-abelian and has an abelian

subgroup L of index 2 and an element $b \in G \setminus L$ of order 4 such that $b^{-1}xb = x^{-1}$ for every $x \in L$.

The following theorem gives a list of groups having no GRR (see [9]).

Theorem 4.2. *A finite group* G *admits a GRR unless* G *belongs to one of the following classes of groups:*

- (I) *Class C: abelian groups of exponent greater than two;*
- (II) *Class D: the generalized dicyclic groups;*
- (III) *Class E: the following thirteen "exceptional groups":*
	- (1) $\mathbb{Z}_2^2, \mathbb{Z}_2^3, \mathbb{Z}_2^4;$
	- $(D_6, D_8, D_{10};$
	- (3) A4*;*
	- (4) $\langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, abc = bca = cab \rangle;$
	- (5) $\langle a, b | a^8 = b^2 = 1, bab = a^5 \rangle;$
	- (6) $\langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^2 = 1, ab = ba, (ac)^2 = (cb)^2 = 1 \rangle;$
	- (7) $\langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^3 = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, c = a^{-1}b^{-1}cb \rangle;$
	- (8) $Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, $Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* G *be a group in Class D of Theorem 4.2. Then* G *has a normal bi-Cayley graph.*

Proof. If $G \cong Q_8 \times C_2^r$ for some $r \geq 0$, then by Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.2, G has a normal bi-Cayley graph. In what follows, we assume that $G \not\cong Q_8 \times C_2^r$ for any $r \geq 0$. By Proposition 1.1, G has a normal Cayley graph, say Γ. If Γ is coprime to K_2 , then by Corollary 2.3, $\Gamma \square \mathbf{K}_2$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Now suppose that K_2 is a prime factor of Γ . Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \square Q_m$, where $Q_m =$ $\mathbf{K}_2 \square \cdots \square \mathbf{K}_2$ and Γ_1 is coprime to \mathbf{K}_2 . Again by Corollary 2.3, we have Aut (Γ) = ${m}$ times

 $Aut(\Gamma_1) \times Aut(Q_m)$. For any $x \in V(Q_m)$, set $V_x = \{(u, x) \mid u \in V(\Gamma_1)\}\)$, and for any $y \in V(\Gamma_1)$, set $U_y = \{(y, v) \mid v \in V(Q_m)\}\$. Then $\Gamma[V_x] \cong \Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma[V_y] \cong Q_m$. Let G_{V_x} and G_{U_y} be the subgroups of G fixing V_x and U_y setwise, respectively. We shall prove the following claim.

Claim. $G = G_{V_x} \times G_{U_y}$, Γ_1 is a normal Cayley graph over a group which is isomorphic to G_{V_x} , and $G_{U_y} \cong C_2^m$.

Since Γ is vertex-transitive, by Proposition 2.2, V_x is an orbit of Aut($\Gamma_1 \times \{1\}$ and $Aut(\Gamma_1) \times \{1\} = Aut(\Gamma[V_x])$. As $Aut(\Gamma_1) \times \{1\} \subseteq Aut(\Gamma)$, each V_x is a block of imprimitivity of Aut(Γ) (namely, either $V_x^g = V_x$ or $V_x^g \cap V_x = \emptyset$ for any $g \in Aut(\Gamma)$). Consider the quotient graph Γ' with vertex set $\{V_x \mid x \in V(Q_m)\}\)$, and V_x is adjacent to $V_{x'}$ if and only if x is adjacent to x' in Q_m . Then $\Gamma' \cong Q_m$, and $Aut(\Gamma_1) \times \{1\}$ is just the kernel of Aut(Γ) acting on $V(\Gamma')$. This implies that the subgroup Aut(Γ) $_{V_x}$ of Aut(Γ) fixing V_x set-wise is just $Aut(\Gamma_1) \times Aut(Q_m)_x$. Since G is regular on $V(\Gamma)$, G_{V_x} is also regular on V_x , and so $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma[V_x]$ may be viewed as a Cayley graph on G_{V_x} . Since $G \trianglelefteq Aut(\Gamma)$, one has $G_{V_x} = G \cap Aut(\Gamma)_{V_x} \trianglelefteq Aut(\Gamma)_{V_x}$. Note that $\{1\} \times Aut(\overline{Q}_m)_x$ fixes every vertex in V_x . It follows that $G_{V_x} \cap (\{1\} \times Aut(Q_m)_x)$ is trivial, and so G_{V_x} can be viewed as a

normal regular subgroup of Aut(Γ_1) \times {1}. Therefore, Γ_1 is a normal Cayley graph over some group, say $H \cong G_{V_x}$.

With a similar argument as above, we can show that Q_m is also a normal Cayley graph over some group, say $K \cong G_{U_y}$. From the argument in Section 3.1, we have Aut (Q_m) = $N \rtimes \text{Sym}(m)$ with $N \cong C_2^m$. We claim that $K = N$. If this is not true, then we would have $1 \neq K N/N \trianglelefteq Aut(Q_m)/N \cong Sym(m)$, and since K is a 2-group, the only possibility is $m = 4$. However, by Magma [6], Aut (Q_4) has only one normal regular subgroup which is isomorphic to C_2^4 , a contradiction. Thus, $K = N \cong C_2^m$, and hence $G_{U_y} \cong C_{2^m}$.

For any $g \in G_{V_x} \cap G_{U_y}$, we have g fixes (y, x) and so $g = 1$ because G is regular on $V(\Gamma)$. Thus, $G_{V_x} \cap G_{U_y} = \{1\}$. Then $|G_{V_x} G_{U_y}| = |G_{V_x}||G_{U_y}| = |V_x||U_y| = |V(\Gamma)| =$ |G|. It follows that $G = G_{V_x} G_{U_y}$. To show that $G = G_{V_x} \times G_{U_y}$, it suffices to show that both G_{V_x} and G_{U_y} are normal in G. As G is a generalized dicyclic group, it is non-abelian and has an abelian subgroup L of index 2 and an element $b \in G \setminus L$ of order 4 such that $b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}$ for every $a \in L$.

Suppose that $G_{U_y} \nleq L$. Then there exists $g \in G_{U_y}$ such that $g = ab^i$ for some $a \in L$ and $i = 1$ or -1 . Since $G_{U_y} \cong C_2^m$, g is also an involution, and so $G = L \rtimes \langle g \rangle$. Clearly, for any $a \in L$, we have $g^{-1}ag = a^{-1}$, and so $(ga)^2 = 1$. This would force that every element of G outside L is an involution, a contradiction. Thus, $G_{U_{\nu}} \leq L$, and hence $G_{U_u} \trianglelefteq G.$

Since $G = G_{V_x} G_{U_y}, G_{U_y} \leq L$ implies that $G_{V_x} \nleq L$. Then $|G_{V_x} : G_{V_x} \cap L| = 2$ since $|G : L| = 2$. It then follows that $G_{V_x} \cap L \leq G$, and hence

$$
G/G_{V_x} \cap L = (G_{U_y}(G_{V_x} \cap L)/G_{V_x} \cap L) \rtimes (G_{V_x}/G_{V_x} \cap L).
$$

Again as G is a generalized dicyclic group and since $G_{U_{\alpha}} \leq L$, the non-trivial element of $G_{V_x}/G_{V_x} \cap L$ maps every element of $G_{U_y}(G_{V_x} \cap L)/G_{V_x} \cap L$ to its inverse. Since $G_{U_y} \cong C_{2^m}$, one has $G/G_{V_x} \cap L$ is abelian, and so $G_{V_x} \trianglelefteq G$, completing the proof of the Claim.

By Lemma 3.1, we may let $Q_{m+1} = \mathbf{K}_2 \square \cdots \square \mathbf{K}_2$ ${m+1}$ times be a connected normal bi-Cayley

graph over $G_{U_y} \cong C_2^m$. By Claim, we may view Γ_1 as a normal Cayley graph over G_{V_x} . Since Γ_1 is coprime to \mathbf{K}_2 , by Theorem 2.4, $\Gamma_1 \square Q_{m+1}$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over $G_{V_x} \times G_{U_y} = G$. \Box

Lemma 4.4. *Let* G *be a group in Class E of Theorem 4.2. Then* G *has a normal bi-Cayley graph.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, each of the groups in Class E (1) has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph.

Let $G = D_{2n} = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$ with $n \geq 3$. Let $\Gamma =$ $Cay(G, \{ab, b\})$. Then Γ is a cycle of length 2n, and so Γ is coprime to K_2 . By Theorem 2.4, $\Gamma \square \mathbf{K}_2$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G. Thus, each of the groups in Class E (2) has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph.

Let $G = Alt(4)$ and let $\Gamma = Cay(G, \{ (1 2 3), (1 3 2), (1 2 4), (1 4 2) \})$. By Magma [6], we have $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over Alt(4).

Let $G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, abc = bca = cab \rangle$ be the group in Class E (4). Let $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, \{a, b, c\})$. By Magma [6], Γ is a connected trivalent normal Cayley graph over G and Γ has girth 6. Hence, Γ is coprime to \mathbf{K}_2 . By Theorem 2.4, $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Let $G = \langle a, b \mid a^8 = b^2 = 1, bab = a^5 \rangle$ be the group in Class E (5). Let $\Gamma =$ $Cay(G, \{a, a^{-1}, b, a^4, a^4b\})$. By [22, Lemma 6], Γ is a connected normal Cayley graph over G, and by Magma, $Aut(\Gamma \square \mathbf{K}_2) = Aut(\Gamma) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus, $\Gamma \square \mathbf{K}_2$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Let $G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^2 = 1, ac = ca, (ab)^2 = (cb)^2 = 1 \rangle$ be the group in Class E (6). Let $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, \{c, ca, cb\})$. By Magma [6], Γ is a connected trivalent normal Cayley graph over G and Γ has girth 6. Hence, Γ is coprime to K_2 . By Lemma 2.4, $\Gamma \square \mathbf{K}_2$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Let $G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^3 = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, c = a^{-1}b^{-1}cb \rangle$ be the group in Class E (7). Let $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\})$. By Magma [6], Γ is a connected trivalent normal Cayley graph over G. Since G has order 27, Γ is coprime to \mathbf{K}_2 . By Theorem 2.4, $\Gamma \square \mathbf{K}_2$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Finally, we consider the groups in Class E (8) . By Lemma 3.2, $GP(8, 3)$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over Q_8 . For $n \geq 3$, let $C_n = \langle a \rangle$ and let $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(C_n, \{a, a^{-1}\})$. Clearly, Γ is a normal Cayley graph over C_n . Since GP(8, 3) is of girth 6, GP(8, 3) is coprime to Γ. By Theorem 2.4, $GP(8, 3) \square \Gamma$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over $Q_8 \times C_n$. Thus each of the groups in Class E (8) has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph. П

Lemma 4.5. *Let* G *be a group in Class C of Theorem 4.2. Then* G *has a normal bi-Cayley graph.*

Proof. Since G is abelian, G has an automorphism α such that α maps every element of G to its inverse. Set $H = G \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$. If H has a GRR Γ, then Γ is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over G . Suppose that H has no GRR. Then by Theorem 4.2 we have H is one of the groups in Class E (2) and (6) . By Lemma 4.4, G has a normal bi-Cayley graph \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let G be a finite group. If G has a GRR, then by Lemma 4.1, G has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph. If G does not have a GRR, then the theorem follows from Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 3.2. \Box

5 Final remarks

This paper would not be complete without mentioning some related work, namely on some special families of bi-Cayley graphs such as bi-circulants, bi-abelians etc. Numerous papers on the topic have been published (see, for instance, [1, 2, 7, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]). In view of these, the following problem arises naturally.

Problem 5.1. For a given finite group H , classify or characterize bi-Cayley graphs with specific symmetry properties over H .

Let H be a finite group. We say that a bi-Cayley graph Γ of regular valency over H is a *bi-graphical regular representation* (or *bi-GRR* for short) if $Aut(\Gamma) = BR(H)$. Motivated by the classification of finite groups having no GRR (see Theorem 4.2), we would like to pose the following problem.

Problem 5.2. Determine finite groups which have no bi-GRR.

References

[1] I. Antončič, A. Hujdurović and K. Kutnar, A classification of pentavalent arc-transitive bicirculants, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 41 (2015), 643–668, doi:10.1007/s10801-014-0548-z.

- [2] A. Araluze, I. Kovács, K. Kutnar, L. Martínez and D. Marušič, Partial sum quadruples and bi-Abelian digraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 119 (2012), 1811–1831, doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2012. 06.004.
- [3] M. Arezoomand and B. Taeri, Normality of 2-Cayley digraphs, *Discrete Math.* 338 (2015), 41–47, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2014.10.019.
- [4] N. Biggs, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 1993, doi:10.1017/cbo9780511608704.
- [5] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [6] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I: The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 24 (1997), 235–265, doi:10.1006/jsco.1996.0125.
- [7] E. Dobson, I. Kovács and Š. Miklavič, The automorphism groups of non-edge-transitive rose window graphs, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 9 (2015), 63–75, http://amc-journal.eu/ index.php/amc/article/view/362.
- [8] Y.-Q. Feng, Z.-P. Lu and M.-Y. Xu, Automorphism groups of cayley digraphs, in: J. Koolen, J. H. Kwak and M.-Y. Xu (eds.), *Applications of Group Theory to Combinatorics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 13–25, 2008, doi:10.1201/9780203885765.ch2.
- [9] C. D. Godsil, GRR's for non-solvable groups, in: L. Lovász and V. T. Sós (eds.), *Algebraic Methods in Graph Theory. Volume 1*, number 25 in Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, pp. 221–239, 1981.
- [10] C. D. Godsil, On the full automorphism group of a graph, *Combinatorica* 1 (1981), 243–256, doi:10.1007/bf02579330.
- [11] C. D. Godsil, The automorphism groups of some cubic Cayley graphs, *European J. Combin.* 4 (1983), 25–32, doi:10.1016/s0195-6698(83)80005-5.
- [12] R. Hammack, W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, *Handbook of Product Graphs*, Discrete Mathematics and its Applications, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 2nd edition, 2011, doi:10.1201/b10959.
- [13] M. Hladnik, D. Marušič and T. Pisanski, Cyclic Haar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 244 (2002), 137– 152, doi:10.1016/s0012-365x(01)00064-4.
- [14] H. Koike and I. Kovács, Isomorphic tetravalent cyclic Haar graphs, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 7 (2014), 215–235, http://amc-journal.eu/index.php/amc/article/view/ 302.
- [15] I. Kovács, B. Kuzman, A. Malnič and S. Wilson, Characterization of edge-transitive 4-valent bicirculants, *J. Graph Theory* 69 (2012), 441–463, doi:10.1002/jgt.20594.
- [16] I. Kovács, A. Malnič, D. Marušič and Š. Miklavič, One-matching bi-Cayley graphs over abelian groups, *European J. Combin.* 30 (2009), 602–616, doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2008.06.001.
- [17] A. Malnič, D. Marušič and P. Sparl, On strongly regular bicirculants, *European J. Combin.* 28 (2007), 891–900, doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2005.10.010.
- [18] L. Martínez Fernández, Strongly regular m-Cayley circulant graphs and digraphs, Ars *Math. Contemp.* 8 (2015), 195–213, http://amc-journal.eu/index.php/amc/ article/view/540.
- [19] D. Marušič, Strongly regular bicirculants and tricirculants, *Ars Combin.* **25** (1988), 11–15.
- [20] D. Marušič and T. Pisanski, Symmetries of hexagonal molecular graphs on the torus, *Croat. Chem. Acta* 73 (2000), 969–981, http://hrcak.srce.hr/131972.
- [21] T. Pisanski, A classification of cubic bicirculants, *Discrete Math.* 307 (2007), 567–578, doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2005.09.053.
- [22] C. Wang, D. Wang and M.-Y. Xu, Normal Cayley graphs of finite groups, *Sci. China Ser. A* 41 (1998), 242–251, doi:10.1007/bf02879042.
- [23] H. Wielandt, *Finite Permutation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1964, doi:10.1016/ c2013-0-11702-3.
- [24] M.-Y. Xu, Automorphism groups and isomorphisms of Cayley digraphs, *Discrete Math.* 182 (1998), 309–319, doi:10.1016/s0012-365x(97)00152-0.
- [25] C. Zhou and Y.-Q. Feng, A note on Weichsel's conjecture, *Adv. Math. (China)* 36 (2007), 61– 66, doi:10.11845/sxjz.2007.36.01.0061.
- [26] J.-X. Zhou and Y.-Q. Feng, Edge-transitive cyclic regular covers of the Möbius-Kantor graph, *European J. Combin.* 33 (2012), 139–147, doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2011.09.034.
- [27] J.-X. Zhou and Y.-Q. Feng, The automorphisms of bi-Cayley graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 116 (2016), 504–532, doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2015.10.004.