



ISSN 1855-3966 (printed edn.), ISSN 1855-3974 (electronic edn.) ARS MATHEMATICA CONTEMPORANEA 14 (2018) 177–186 https://doi.org/10.26493/1855-3974.1298.937 (Also available at http://amc-journal.eu)

# Every finite group has a normal bi-Cayley graph\*

Jin-Xin Zhou †

Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, P.R. China

Received 19 January 2017, accepted 27 April 2017, published online 17 August 2017

#### Abstract

A graph  $\Gamma$  with a group H of automorphisms acting semiregularly on the vertices with two orbits is called a *bi-Cayley graph* over H. When H is a normal subgroup of Aut( $\Gamma$ ), we say that  $\Gamma$  is *normal* with respect to H. In this paper, we show that every finite group has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph. This improves a theorem by Arezoomand and Taeri and provides a positive answer to a question reported in the literature.

*Keywords: Normal, bi-Cayley, Cartesian product. Math. Subj. Class.: 05C25, 20B25* 

# 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, groups are assumed to be finite, and graphs are assumed to be finite, connected, simple and undirected. For the group-theoretic and graph-theoretic terminology not defined here we refer the reader to [5, 23].

Let G be a permutation group on a set  $\Omega$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Denote by  $G_{\alpha}$  the stabilizer of  $\alpha$ in G, that is, the subgroup of G fixing the point  $\alpha$ . We say that G is *semiregular* on  $\Omega$  if  $G_{\alpha} = 1$  for every  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and *regular* if G is transitive and semiregular. It is well-known that a graph  $\Gamma$  is a *Cayley graph* if it has an automorphism group acting regularly on its vertex set (see [4, Lemma 16.3]). If we, instead, require that the graph  $\Gamma$  admits a group of automorphisms acting semiregularly on its vertex set with two orbits, then we obtain the so-called *bi-Cayley graph*.

Cayley graph is usually defined in the following way. Given a finite group G and an inverse closed subset  $S \subseteq G \setminus \{1\}$ , the *Cayley graph* Cay(G, S) on G with respect to S is a

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11671030) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2015JBM110).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>The author is indebted to the anonymous referee for many valuable comments and constructive suggestions. *E-mail address:* jxzhou@bjtu.edu.cn (Jin-Xin Zhou)

graph with vertex set G and edge set  $\{\{g, sg\} \mid g \in G, s \in S\}$ . For any  $g \in G, R(g)$  is the permutation of G defined by  $R(g) : x \mapsto xg$  for  $x \in G$ . Set  $R(G) := \{R(g) \mid g \in G\}$ . It is well-known that R(G) is a subgroup of Aut(Cay(G, S)). In 1981, Godsil [10] proved that the normalizer of R(G) in Aut(Cay(G, S)) is  $R(G) \rtimes Aut(G, S)$ , where Aut(G, S) is the group of automorphisms of G fixing the set S set-wise. This result has been successfully used in characterizing various families of GRRs, namely, Cayley graphs Cay(G, S) such that R(G) = Aut(Cay(G, S)) (see, for example, [10, 11]). A Cayley graph Cay(G, S) is said to be *normal* if R(G) is normal in Aut(Cay(G, S)). This concept was introduced by Xu in [24], and for more results about normal Cayley graphs, we refer the reader to [8].

Similarly, every bi-Cayley graph admits the following concrete realization. Given a group H, let R, L and S be subsets of H such that  $R^{-1} = R$ ,  $L^{-1} = L$  and  $R \cup L$  does not contain the identity element of H. The *bi-Cayley graph* over H relative to the triple (R, L, S), denoted by BiCay(H, R, L, S), is the graph having vertex set the union of the right part  $H_0 = \{h_0 \mid h \in H\}$  and the left part  $H_1 = \{h_1 \mid h \in H\}$ , and edge set the union of the right edges  $\{\{h_0, g_0\} \mid gh^{-1} \in R\}$ , the left edges  $\{\{h_1, g_1\} \mid gh^{-1} \in L\}$  and the spokes  $\{\{h_0, g_1\} \mid gh^{-1} \in S\}$ . Let  $\Gamma = BiCay(H, R, L, S)$ . For  $g \in H$ , define a permutation BR(g) on the vertices of  $\Gamma$  by the rule

$$h_i^{BR(g)} = (hg)_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_2, h \in H.$$

Then  $BR(H) = \{BR(g) \mid g \in H\}$  is a semiregular subgroup of  $Aut(\Gamma)$  which is isomorphic to H and has  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  as its two orbits. When BR(H) is normal in  $Aut(\Gamma)$ , the bi-Cayley graph  $\Gamma = BiCay(H, R, L, S)$  will be called a *normal bi-Cayley graph* over H (see [3] or [27]).

Wang et al. in [22] determined the groups having a connected normal Cayley graph.

**Proposition 1.1.** Every finite group G has a normal Cayley graph unless  $G \cong C_4 \times C_2$  or  $G \cong \mathbb{Q}_8 \times C_2^r (r \ge 0)$ .

Following up this result, Arezoomand and Taeri in [3] asked: Which finite groups have normal bi-Cayley graphs? They also gave a partial answer to this question by proving that every finite group  $G \not\cong Q_8 \times C_2^r (r \ge 0)$  has at least one normal bi-Cayley graph. At the end of [3], the authors asked the following question:

**Question 1.2** ([3, Question]). Is there any normal bi-Cayley graph over  $G \cong Q_8 \times C_2^r$  for each  $r \ge 0$ ?

We remark that for every finite group  $G \not\cong Q_8 \times C_2^r (r \ge 0)$ , the normal bi-Cayley graph over G constructed in the proof of [3, Theorem 5] is not of regular valency, and so is not vertex-transitive. So it is natural to ask the following question.

Question 1.3. Is there any vertex-transitive normal bi-Cayley graph over a finite group G?

In this paper, Questions 1.2 and 1.3 are answered in positive. The following is the main result of this paper.

#### **Theorem 1.4.** Every finite group has a vertex-transitive normal bi-Cayley graph.

To end this section we give some notation which is used in this paper. For a positive integer n, denote by  $C_n$  the cyclic group of order n, by  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  the ring of integers modulo n, by  $D_{2n}$  the dihedral group of order 2n, and by Alt(n) and Sym(n) the alternating group

and symmetric group of degree n, respectively. Denote by  $Q_8$  the quaternion group. For two groups M and N,  $N \rtimes M$  denotes a semidirect product of N by M. The identity element of a finite group G is denoted by 1.

For a finite, simple and undirected graph  $\Gamma$ , we use  $V(\Gamma)$ ,  $E(\Gamma)$  and  $Aut(\Gamma)$  to denote its vertex set, edge set and full automorphism group, respectively, and for any  $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$ ,  $u \sim v$  means that u and v are adjacent. A graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *vertex-transitive* if its full automorphism group  $Aut(\Gamma)$  acts transitively on its vertex set. For any subset B of  $V(\Gamma)$ , the subgraph of  $\Gamma$  induced by B will be denoted by  $\Gamma[B]$ .

## 2 Cartesian products

The Cartesian product  $X \Box Y$  of graphs X and Y is a graph with vertex set  $V(X) \times V(Y)$ , and with vertices (u, x) and (v, y) being adjacent if and only if u = v and  $x \sim y$  in Y, or x = y and  $u \sim v$  in X.

A non-trivial graph X is *prime* if it is not isomorphic to a Cartesian product of two smaller graphs. The following proposition shows the uniqueness of the prime factor decomposition of connected graphs with respect to the Cartesian product.

**Proposition 2.1** ([12, Theorem 6.6]). Every connected finite graph can be decomposed as a Cartesian product of prime graphs, uniquely up to isomorphism and the order of the factors.

Two non-trivial graphs are *relatively prime* (w.r.t. Cartesian product) if they have no non-trivial common factor. Now we consider the automorphisms of Cartesian product of graphs.

**Proposition 2.2** ([12, Theorem 6.10]). Suppose  $\phi$  is an automorphism of a connected graph  $\Gamma$  with prime factor decomposition  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \Box \Gamma_2 \Box \cdots \Box \Gamma_k$ . Then there is a permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$  and isomorphisms  $\phi_i \colon \Gamma_{\pi(i)} \to \Gamma_i$  for which

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (\phi_1(x_{\pi(1)}), \phi_2(x_{\pi(2)}), \dots, \phi_k(x_{\pi(k)})).$$

**Corollary 2.3** ([12, Corollary 6.12]). Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected graph with prime factor decomposition  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \Box \Gamma_2 \Box \cdots \Box \Gamma_k$ . If  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots, \Gamma_k$  are relatively prime, then  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_2) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_k)$ .

The following theorem provides a method of constructing normal bi-Cayley graphs.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let X be a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over a group H, and let Y be a connected normal Cayley graph over a group K. If X and Y are relatively prime, then  $X \Box Y$  is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over the group  $H \times K$ .

*Proof.* Assume that X and Y are relatively prime. By Corollary 2.3,  $\operatorname{Aut}(X \Box Y) = \operatorname{Aut}(X) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ . Since X is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over H, one has  $BR(H) \leq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ , and since Y is a connected normal Cayley graph over a group K, one has  $R(K) \leq \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ . Then  $BR(H) \times R(K)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(X \Box Y) = \operatorname{Aut}(X) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ . Note that BR(H) acts semiregularly on V(X) with two orbits, and R(K) acts regularly on V(Y). It follows that  $BR(H) \times R(K)$  acts semiregularly on  $V(X) \times V(Y)$  with two orbits, and thereby  $X \Box Y$  is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over the group  $H \times K$ .

## 3 Normal bi-Cayley graphs over $Q_8 \times C_2^r (r \ge 0)$

In this section, we shall answer Question 1.2 in positive.

#### 3.1 The *n*-dimensional hypercube

For  $n \ge 1$ , the *n*-dimensional hypercube, denoted by  $Q_n$ , is the graph whose vertices are all the *n*-tuples of 0's and 1's with two *n*-tuples being adjacent if and only if they differ in exactly one place.

Let  $N = C_2^n$  be an elementary abelian 2-group of order  $2^n$  with a minimum generating set  $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_n\}$ . By the definition of  $Q_n$ , we have  $\operatorname{Cay}(N, S) \cong Q_n$ . For convenience of the statement, we assume that  $Q_n = \operatorname{Cay}(N, S)$ . If n = 1, then  $Q_1 = \mathbf{K}_2$ and so  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q_1) = N$ . In what follows, assume that  $n \ge 2$ . It is easy to observe that for any distinct  $s_i, s_j$  there is a unique 4-cycle in  $Q_n$  passing through  $\mathbf{1}, s_i, s_j$ , where 1 is the identity element of N. So if a subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q_n)$  fixes S pointwise, then it also fixes every vertex at distance 2 from 1. By the connectedness and vertex-transitivity of  $Q_n$ , we have  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q_n)_1$  acts faithfully on S. It follows that  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q_n)_1 \lesssim \operatorname{Sym}(n)$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see that each permutation on S induces an automorphism of N, and so  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, S) \cong \operatorname{Sym}(n)$ . Since  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, S) \le \operatorname{Aut}(Q_n)_1$ , one has  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q_n)_1 = \operatorname{Aut}(N, S) \cong$  $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ . Consequently, we have  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q_n) = R(N) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(N, S) \cong N \rtimes \operatorname{Sym}(n)$  (see also [25, Lemma 1.1]).

Note that  $Q_n$  is bipartite. Let  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q_n)^*$  be the kernel of  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q_n)$  acting on the two partition sets of  $Q_n$ . Let  $E = R(N) \cap \operatorname{Aut}(Q_n)^*$ . Then  $E \trianglelefteq \operatorname{Aut}(Q_n)^*$  and  $E \trianglelefteq R(N)$ . It follows that  $E \trianglelefteq \operatorname{Aut}(Q_n)^* R(N) = \operatorname{Aut}(Q_n)$ . Clearly, E acts semiregularly on  $V(Q_n)$ with two orbits. Thus, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Use the same notation as in the above three paragraphs. For any  $n \ge 1$ ,  $Q_n$  is a normal Cayley graph over N, and  $Q_n$  is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over E.

#### 3.2 The Möbius-Kantor graph

The Möbius-Kantor graph GP(8, 3) is a graph with vertex set  $V = \{i, i' | i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$  and edge set the union of the *outer edges*  $\{\{i, i+1\} | i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$ , the *inner edges*  $\{\{i', (i+3)'\} | i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$ , and the *spokes*  $\{\{i, i'\} | i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$  (see Figure 1). Note that GP(8, 3) is a bipartite graph with bipartition sets  $B_1 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 0', 2', 4', 6'\}$  and  $B_2 = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 1', 3', 5', 7'\}$ .

In [26], the edge-transitive groups of automorphisms of Aut(GP(8,3)) were determined. We first introduce the following automorphisms of GP(8,3), represented as permutations on the vertex set V:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha & = & (1\ 3\ 5\ 7)(0\ 2\ 4\ 6)(1'\ 3'\ 5'\ 7')(0'\ 2'\ 4'\ 6'), \\ \beta & = & (0\ 1'\ 2)(0'\ 6'\ 3)(4\ 5'\ 6)(7\ 4'\ 2'), \\ \gamma & = & (1\ 1')(2\ 6')(3\ 3')(4\ 0')(5\ 5')(6\ 2')(7\ 7')(0\ 4'), \\ \delta & = & (1\ 1')(2\ 4')(3\ 7')(4\ 2')(5\ 5')(6\ 0')(7\ 3')(0\ 6'). \end{array}$ 

By [26, Lemma 3.1], we have  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \alpha^{\beta} \rangle \rtimes \langle \beta \rangle \cong Q_8 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ , where  $Q_8$  is the quaternion group, and moreover,  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \trianglelefteq \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{GP}(8,3))$ . Clearly,  $\langle \alpha, \alpha^{\beta} \rangle \cong Q_8$  is the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ , so  $\langle \alpha, \alpha^{\beta} \rangle$  is characteristic in  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ , and then it is normal in  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{GP}(8,3))$  because  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \trianglelefteq \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{GP}(8,3))$ . For convenience of the statement, we let  $Q_8 = \langle \alpha, \alpha^{\beta} \rangle$ . It is easy to see that  $Q_8$  acts semiregularly on V with two orbits  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Thus we have the following lemma.



Figure 1: The Möbius-Kantor graph GP(8,3).

**Lemma 3.2.** GP(8,3) is a normal bi-Cayley graph over  $Q_8$ .

#### 3.3 An answer to Question 1.2

Noting that GP(8,3) is of girth 6, GP(8,3) is prime. For each  $r \ge 1$ , it is easy to see that  $Q_r = \underbrace{\mathbf{K}_2 \Box \mathbf{K}_2 \Box \cdots \Box \mathbf{K}_2}_{n \text{ times}}$ . So,  $Q_n$  and GP(8,3) are relatively prime. Now combining together Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and Theorem 2.4, we can obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** For each  $r \ge 1$ , GP(8,3)  $\times Q_r$  is a vertex-transitive normal bi-Cayley graph over  $Q_8 \times N$ , where  $N \cong C_2^r$ .

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

The proof of Theorem 1.4 will be completed by the following lemmas. Let G be a group. A Cayley graph  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$  on G is said to be a graphical regular representation (or GRR for short) of G if  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = R(G)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let G be a group admitting a GRR  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  is a normal bi-Cayley graph over the group G.

*Proof.* If  $\mathbf{K}_2$  and  $\Gamma$  are relatively prime, then by Corollary 2.3, we have  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2) = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) \times \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{K}_2)$ . Clearly,  $R(G) \times \{\mathbf{1}\}$  acts semiregularly on  $V(\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2)$  with two orbits, and  $R(G) \times \{\mathbf{1}\} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2)$ , where **1** is the identity of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{K}_2)$ . It follows that  $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  is a normal bi-Cayley graph over the group G.

Suppose that  $\mathbf{K}_2$  is also a prime factor of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \Box \underbrace{\mathbf{K}_2 \Box \cdots \Box \mathbf{K}_2}_{m \text{ times}}$  be such

that  $\Gamma_1$  is coprime to  $\mathbf{K}_2$ . From Corollary 2.3 it follows that  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{K}_2 \Box \cdots \Box \mathbf{K}_2)$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a GRR of G, one has m = 1, and therefore  $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2 = \Gamma_1 \Box \mathbf{K}_2 \Box \mathbf{K}_2$ . Then  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{K}_2 \Box \mathbf{K}_2)$ , and  $\Gamma_1$  is a GRR of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1)$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\mathbf{K}_2 \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  is a normal bi-Cayley graph over  $C_2$ , and by Theorem 2.4,  $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  is a normal bi-Cayley graph over  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times C_2 \cong G$ .

A group G is called *generalized dicyclic group* if it is non-abelian and has an abelian

subgroup L of index 2 and an element  $b \in G \setminus L$  of order 4 such that  $b^{-1}xb = x^{-1}$  for every  $x \in L$ .

The following theorem gives a list of groups having no GRR (see [9]).

**Theorem 4.2.** A finite group G admits a GRR unless G belongs to one of the following classes of groups:

- (I) Class C: abelian groups of exponent greater than two;
- (II) Class D: the generalized dicyclic groups;
- (III) Class E: the following thirteen "exceptional groups":
  - (1)  $\mathbb{Z}_2^2, \mathbb{Z}_2^3, \mathbb{Z}_2^4;$
  - (2)  $D_6, D_8, D_{10};$
  - (3)  $A_4$ ;
  - (4)  $\langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, abc = bca = cab \rangle;$
  - (5)  $\langle a, b \mid a^8 = b^2 = 1, bab = a^5 \rangle;$
  - (6)  $\langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^2 = 1, ab = ba, (ac)^2 = (cb)^2 = 1 \rangle;$
  - (7)  $\langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^3 = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, c = a^{-1}b^{-1}cb \rangle;$
  - (8)  $Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3, Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_4.$

**Lemma 4.3.** Let G be a group in Class D of Theorem 4.2. Then G has a normal bi-Cayley graph.

*Proof.* If  $G \cong Q_8 \times C_2^r$  for some  $r \ge 0$ , then by Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.2, G has a normal bi-Cayley graph. In what follows, we assume that  $G \not\cong Q_8 \times C_2^r$  for any  $r \ge 0$ . By Proposition 1.1, G has a normal Cayley graph, say  $\Gamma$ . If  $\Gamma$  is coprime to  $\mathbf{K}_2$ , then by Corollary 2.3,  $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  is a normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Now suppose that  $\mathbf{K}_2$  is a prime factor of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \Box Q_m$ , where  $Q_m = \mathbf{K}_2 \Box \cdots \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  and  $\Gamma_1$  is coprime to  $\mathbf{K}_2$ . Again by Corollary 2.3, we have  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) = m_1 \operatorname{times}$ 

Aut $(\Gamma_1)$  × Aut $(Q_m)$ . For any  $x \in V(Q_m)$ , set  $V_x = \{(u, x) \mid u \in V(\Gamma_1)\}$ , and for any  $y \in V(\Gamma_1)$ , set  $U_y = \{(y, v) \mid v \in V(Q_m)\}$ . Then  $\Gamma[V_x] \cong \Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma[V_y] \cong Q_m$ . Let  $G_{V_x}$  and  $G_{U_y}$  be the subgroups of G fixing  $V_x$  and  $U_y$  setwise, respectively. We shall prove the following claim.

**Claim.**  $G = G_{V_x} \times G_{U_y}$ ,  $\Gamma_1$  is a normal Cayley graph over a group which is isomorphic to  $G_{V_x}$ , and  $G_{U_y} \cong C_2^m$ .

Since  $\Gamma$  is vertex-transitive, by Proposition 2.2,  $V_x$  is an orbit of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \{1\}$  and  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \{1\} = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma[V_x])$ . As  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \{1\} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ , each  $V_x$  is a block of imprimitivity of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$  (namely, either  $V_x^g = V_x$  or  $V_x^g \cap V_x = \emptyset$  for any  $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ ). Consider the quotient graph  $\Gamma'$  with vertex set  $\{V_x \mid x \in V(Q_m)\}$ , and  $V_x$  is adjacent to  $V_{x'}$  if and only if x is adjacent to x' in  $Q_m$ . Then  $\Gamma' \cong Q_m$ , and  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \{1\}$  is just the kernel of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$  acting on  $V(\Gamma')$ . This implies that the subgroup  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)_{V_x}$  of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$  fixing  $V_x$  set-wise is just  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Q_m)_x$ . Since G is regular on  $V(\Gamma)$ ,  $G_{V_x}$  is also regular on  $V_x$ , and so  $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma[V_x]$  may be viewed as a Cayley graph on  $G_{V_x}$ . Since  $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ , one has  $G_{V_x} = G \cap \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)_{V_x} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)_{V_x}$ . Note that  $\{1\} \times \operatorname{Aut}(Q_m)_x$  fixes every vertex in  $V_x$ . It follows that  $G_{V_x} \cap (\{1\} \times \operatorname{Aut}(Q_m)_x)$  is trivial, and so  $G_{V_x}$  can be viewed as a

normal regular subgroup of Aut( $\Gamma_1$ ) × {1}. Therefore,  $\Gamma_1$  is a normal Cayley graph over some group, say  $H \cong G_{V_x}$ .

With a similar argument as above, we can show that  $Q_m$  is also a normal Cayley graph over some group, say  $K \cong G_{U_y}$ . From the argument in Section 3.1, we have  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q_m) = N \rtimes \operatorname{Sym}(m)$  with  $N \cong C_2^m$ . We claim that K = N. If this is not true, then we would have  $1 \neq KN/N \trianglelefteq \operatorname{Aut}(Q_m)/N \cong \operatorname{Sym}(m)$ , and since K is a 2-group, the only possibility is m = 4. However, by Magma [6],  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q_4)$  has only one normal regular subgroup which is isomorphic to  $C_2^4$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $K = N \cong C_2^m$ , and hence  $G_{U_u} \cong C_{2^m}$ .

For any  $g \in G_{V_x} \cap G_{U_y}$ , we have g fixes (y, x) and so g = 1 because G is regular on  $V(\Gamma)$ . Thus,  $G_{V_x} \cap G_{U_y} = \{1\}$ . Then  $|G_{V_x}G_{U_y}| = |G_{V_x}||G_{U_y}| = |V_x||U_y| = |V(\Gamma)| = |G|$ . It follows that  $G = G_{V_x}G_{U_y}$ . To show that  $G = G_{V_x} \times G_{U_y}$ , it suffices to show that both  $G_{V_x}$  and  $G_{U_y}$  are normal in G. As G is a generalized dicyclic group, it is non-abelian and has an abelian subgroup L of index 2 and an element  $b \in G \setminus L$  of order 4 such that  $b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}$  for every  $a \in L$ .

Suppose that  $G_{U_y} \not\leq L$ . Then there exists  $g \in G_{U_y}$  such that  $g = ab^i$  for some  $a \in L$ and i = 1 or -1. Since  $G_{U_y} \cong C_2^m$ , g is also an involution, and so  $G = L \rtimes \langle g \rangle$ . Clearly, for any  $a \in L$ , we have  $g^{-1}ag = a^{-1}$ , and so  $(ga)^2 = 1$ . This would force that every element of G outside L is an involution, a contradiction. Thus,  $G_{U_y} \leq L$ , and hence  $G_{U_y} \leq G$ .

Since  $G = G_{V_x}G_{U_y}$ ,  $G_{U_y} \leq L$  implies that  $G_{V_x} \not\leq L$ . Then  $|G_{V_x} : G_{V_x} \cap L| = 2$ since |G:L| = 2. It then follows that  $G_{V_x} \cap L \leq G$ , and hence

$$G/G_{V_x} \cap L = (G_{U_y}(G_{V_x} \cap L)/G_{V_x} \cap L) \rtimes (G_{V_x}/G_{V_x} \cap L).$$

Again as G is a generalized dicyclic group and since  $G_{U_y} \leq L$ , the non-trivial element of  $G_{V_x}/G_{V_x} \cap L$  maps every element of  $G_{U_y}(G_{V_x} \cap L)/G_{V_x} \cap L$  to its inverse. Since  $G_{U_y} \cong C_{2^m}$ , one has  $G/G_{V_x} \cap L$  is abelian, and so  $G_{V_x} \leq G$ , completing the proof of the Claim.

By Lemma 3.1, we may let  $Q_{m+1} = \underbrace{\mathbf{K}_2 \Box \cdots \Box \mathbf{K}_2}_{m+1 \text{ times}}$  be a connected normal bi-Cayley

graph over  $G_{U_y} \cong C_2^m$ . By Claim, we may view  $\Gamma_1$  as a normal Cayley graph over  $G_{V_x}$ . Since  $\Gamma_1$  is coprime to  $\mathbf{K}_2$ , by Theorem 2.4,  $\Gamma_1 \square Q_{m+1}$  is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over  $G_{V_x} \times G_{U_y} = G$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let G be a group in Class E of Theorem 4.2. Then G has a normal bi-Cayley graph.

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1, each of the groups in Class E (1) has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph.

Let  $G = D_{2n} = \langle a, b | a^n = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$  with  $n \ge 3$ . Let  $\Gamma = Cay(G, \{ab, b\})$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a cycle of length 2n, and so  $\Gamma$  is coprime to  $\mathbf{K}_2$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G. Thus, each of the groups in Class E (2) has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph.

Let G = Alt(4) and let  $\Gamma = Cay(G, \{(1 \ 2 \ 3), (1 \ 3 \ 2), (1 \ 2 \ 4), (1 \ 4 \ 2)\})$ . By Magma [6], we have  $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over Alt(4).

Let  $G = \langle a, b, c | a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, abc = bca = cab \rangle$  be the group in Class E (4). Let  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, \{a, b, c\})$ . By Magma [6],  $\Gamma$  is a connected trivalent normal Cayley graph over G and  $\Gamma$  has girth 6. Hence,  $\Gamma$  is coprime to  $\mathbf{K}_2$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Let  $G = \langle a, b \mid a^8 = b^2 = 1, bab = a^5 \rangle$  be the group in Class E (5). Let  $\Gamma = Cay(G, \{a, a^{-1}, b, a^4, a^4b\})$ . By [22, Lemma 6],  $\Gamma$  is a connected normal Cayley graph over G, and by Magma, Aut( $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$ ) = Aut( $\Gamma$ ) ×  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus,  $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  is a normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Let  $G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^2 = 1, ac = ca, (ab)^2 = (cb)^2 = 1 \rangle$  be the group in Class E (6). Let  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, \{c, ca, cb\})$ . By Magma [6],  $\Gamma$  is a connected trivalent normal Cayley graph over G and  $\Gamma$  has girth 6. Hence,  $\Gamma$  is coprime to  $\mathbf{K}_2$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Let  $G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^3 = 1$ ,  $ac = ca, bc = cb, c = a^{-1}b^{-1}cb \rangle$  be the group in Class E (7). Let  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\})$ . By Magma [6],  $\Gamma$  is a connected trivalent normal Cayley graph over G. Since G has order 27,  $\Gamma$  is coprime to  $\mathbf{K}_2$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$  is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Finally, we consider the groups in Class E (8). By Lemma 3.2, GP(8, 3) is a normal bi-Cayley graph over  $Q_8$ . For  $n \ge 3$ , let  $C_n = \langle a \rangle$  and let  $\Gamma = Cay(C_n, \{a, a^{-1}\})$ . Clearly,  $\Gamma$  is a normal Cayley graph over  $C_n$ . Since GP(8, 3) is of girth 6, GP(8, 3) is coprime to  $\Gamma$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $GP(8, 3) \Box \Gamma$  is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over  $Q_8 \times C_n$ . Thus each of the groups in Class E (8) has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.5.** Let G be a group in Class C of Theorem 4.2. Then G has a normal bi-Cayley graph.

*Proof.* Since G is abelian, G has an automorphism  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha$  maps every element of G to its inverse. Set  $H = G \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$ . If H has a GRR  $\Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma$  is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over G. Suppose that H has no GRR. Then by Theorem 4.2 we have H is one of the groups in Class E (2) and (6). By Lemma 4.4, G has a normal bi-Cayley graph  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let G be a finite group. If G has a GRR, then by Lemma 4.1, G has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph. If G does not have a GRR, then the theorem follows from Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 3.2.

## 5 Final remarks

This paper would not be complete without mentioning some related work, namely on some special families of bi-Cayley graphs such as bi-circulants, bi-abelians etc. Numerous papers on the topic have been published (see, for instance, [1, 2, 7, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]). In view of these, the following problem arises naturally.

**Problem 5.1.** For a given finite group H, classify or characterize bi-Cayley graphs with specific symmetry properties over H.

Let *H* be a finite group. We say that a bi-Cayley graph  $\Gamma$  of regular valency over *H* is a *bi-graphical regular representation* (or *bi-GRR* for short) if Aut( $\Gamma$ ) = *BR*(*H*). Motivated by the classification of finite groups having no GRR (see Theorem 4.2), we would like to pose the following problem.

Problem 5.2. Determine finite groups which have no bi-GRR.

## References

 I. Antončič, A. Hujdurović and K. Kutnar, A classification of pentavalent arc-transitive bicirculants, J. Algebraic Combin. 41 (2015), 643–668, doi:10.1007/s10801-014-0548-z.

- [2] A. Araluze, I. Kovács, K. Kutnar, L. Martínez and D. Marušič, Partial sum quadruples and bi-Abelian digraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **119** (2012), 1811–1831, doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2012. 06.004.
- [3] M. Arezoomand and B. Taeri, Normality of 2-Cayley digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **338** (2015), 41–47, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2014.10.019.
- [4] N. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 1993, doi:10.1017/cbo9780511608704.
- [5] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [6] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I: The user language, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), 235–265, doi:10.1006/jsco.1996.0125.
- [7] E. Dobson, I. Kovács and Š. Miklavič, The automorphism groups of non-edge-transitive rose window graphs, Ars Math. Contemp. 9 (2015), 63-75, http://amc-journal.eu/ index.php/amc/article/view/362.
- [8] Y.-Q. Feng, Z.-P. Lu and M.-Y. Xu, Automorphism groups of cayley digraphs, in: J. Koolen, J. H. Kwak and M.-Y. Xu (eds.), *Applications of Group Theory to Combinatorics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 13–25, 2008, doi:10.1201/9780203885765.ch2.
- [9] C. D. Godsil, GRR's for non-solvable groups, in: L. Lovász and V. T. Sós (eds.), Algebraic Methods in Graph Theory. Volume 1, number 25 in Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, pp. 221–239, 1981.
- [10] C. D. Godsil, On the full automorphism group of a graph, *Combinatorica* 1 (1981), 243–256, doi:10.1007/bf02579330.
- [11] C. D. Godsil, The automorphism groups of some cubic Cayley graphs, *European J. Combin.* 4 (1983), 25–32, doi:10.1016/s0195-6698(83)80005-5.
- [12] R. Hammack, W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, *Handbook of Product Graphs*, Discrete Mathematics and its Applications, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 2nd edition, 2011, doi:10.1201/b10959.
- [13] M. Hladnik, D. Marušič and T. Pisanski, Cyclic Haar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 244 (2002), 137– 152, doi:10.1016/s0012-365x(01)00064-4.
- [14] H. Koike and I. Kovács, Isomorphic tetravalent cyclic Haar graphs, Ars Math. Contemp. 7 (2014), 215–235, http://amc-journal.eu/index.php/amc/article/view/ 302.
- [15] I. Kovács, B. Kuzman, A. Malnič and S. Wilson, Characterization of edge-transitive 4-valent bicirculants, J. Graph Theory 69 (2012), 441–463, doi:10.1002/jgt.20594.
- [16] I. Kovács, A. Malnič, D. Marušič and Š. Miklavič, One-matching bi-Cayley graphs over abelian groups, *European J. Combin.* **30** (2009), 602–616, doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2008.06.001.
- [17] A. Malnič, D. Marušič and P. Šparl, On strongly regular bicirculants, *European J. Combin.* 28 (2007), 891–900, doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2005.10.010.
- [18] L. Martínez Fernández, Strongly regular *m*-Cayley circulant graphs and digraphs, Ars Math. Contemp. 8 (2015), 195–213, http://amc-journal.eu/index.php/amc/ article/view/540.
- [19] D. Marušič, Strongly regular bicirculants and tricirculants, Ars Combin. 25 (1988), 11–15.
- [20] D. Marušič and T. Pisanski, Symmetries of hexagonal molecular graphs on the torus, *Croat. Chem. Acta* 73 (2000), 969–981, http://hrcak.srce.hr/131972.
- [21] T. Pisanski, A classification of cubic bicirculants, *Discrete Math.* 307 (2007), 567–578, doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2005.09.053.

- [22] C. Wang, D. Wang and M.-Y. Xu, Normal Cayley graphs of finite groups, *Sci. China Ser. A* 41 (1998), 242–251, doi:10.1007/bf02879042.
- [23] H. Wielandt, *Finite Permutation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1964, doi:10.1016/ c2013-0-11702-3.
- [24] M.-Y. Xu, Automorphism groups and isomorphisms of Cayley digraphs, *Discrete Math.* 182 (1998), 309–319, doi:10.1016/s0012-365x(97)00152-0.
- [25] C. Zhou and Y.-Q. Feng, A note on Weichsel's conjecture, Adv. Math. (China) 36 (2007), 61– 66, doi:10.11845/sxjz.2007.36.01.0061.
- [26] J.-X. Zhou and Y.-Q. Feng, Edge-transitive cyclic regular covers of the Möbius-Kantor graph, *European J. Combin.* 33 (2012), 139–147, doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2011.09.034.
- [27] J.-X. Zhou and Y.-Q. Feng, The automorphisms of bi-Cayley graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 116 (2016), 504–532, doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2015.10.004.