

REVUSOV FORUM

**Pred-konvencije: korak
v psihološko pravoslovje?**

Razprava z Brunom Celanom

Neposredno potem ko je bil objavljen italijanski izvirnik razprave Bruna Celana z naslovom *Pre-convenzioni (Ragion pratica 2014/2)*, smo se pri *Revusu* odločili za njegovo objavo v angleškem prevodu. Pogovori, ki sem jih imel o tej temi z Marcom Brigaglio, pa so spodbudili organizacijo širšega foruma, posvečenega Celanovi razpravi. Brigaglia sam se je prijazno odzval na povabilo, da k forumu prispeva daljšo uvodno študijo. Ta osrednje besedilo umesti v okvire Celanovega opusa z ugotovitvijo, da gre za pomemben (četudi implicitni) preobrat, v katerem je mogoče prepoznati avtorjev prvi korak v »psihološko pravoslovje«.

Revusov forum o pred-konvencijah je razporejen v dve številki *Revusa*. V tej številki so še kritični komentarji Federica Joséja Arene, Dala Smitha in Joséja Juana Moresa. V naslednji številki pa bodo objavljeni prispevki Luísa Duarteja d'Almeide, Rodriga Sáncheza Brigida, Pierluigija Chiassonija, Marca Segattija in Sebastián Figueroe Rubia – skupaj s Celanovim odgovorom vsem sogovornicem.

Urednik foruma se želim zahvaliti Marcu Segattiju za prevod osrednjega besedila v angleščino, recenzentom in komentatorjem pa za njihovo sodelovanje. Posebna zahvala gre seveda Brunu Celanu, ki je *Revusov* izziv, da se sooči s kritiki, sprejel predano in naklonjeno.

Matija Žgur
Palermo, oktober 2016

DISCUSSION

Pre-conventions: towards a psychological jurisprudence?

A discussion with Bruno Celano

Immediately after the publication of the Italian original of Bruno Celano's paper *Pre-convenzioni* (*Ragion pratica* 2014/2) we decided to prepare its English translation for publication in *Revus*. My conversations with Marco Brigaglia regarding this project stimulated the organization of a broader critical forum dedicated to Celano's paper. Brigaglia kindly accepted the invitation to contribute a comprehensive introductory study. This underlines the significance of the paper within Celano's larger opus by showing that it constitutes a crucial (though implicit) turn, which may be represented as the author's first step towards a "psychological jurisprudence".

The resulting discussion on pre-conventions is placed in two issues of *Revus*. Whereas the present issue also includes the contributions of Federico José Arena, Dale Smith and José Juan Moreso, the next issue will feature the comments by Luís Duarte d'Almeida, Rodrigo Sánchez Brigido, Pierluigi Chiassoni, Marco Segatti and Sebastián Figueroa Rubio – together with Celano's reply to them all.

As the editor of this discussion, I wish to thank Marco Segatti for the English translation, as well as the reviewers and commentators for their participation. Of course, a special thanks goes to Bruno Celano who graciously accepted to confront the challenges presented by the commentators.

Matija Žgur
Palermo, October 2016