



ISSN 1855-3966 (printed edn.), ISSN 1855-3974 (electronic edn.) ARS MATHEMATICA CONTEMPORANEA 24 (2024) #P4.02 https://doi.org/10.26493/1855-3974.2910.5b3 (Also available at http://amc-journal.eu)

On the number of non-isomorphic (simple) k-gonal biembeddings of complete multipartite graphs*

Simone Costa † 🝺, Anita Pasotti 🕩

DICATAM, Università degli Studi di Brescia, Via Branze 43, 25123 Brescia, Italy

Received 21 June 2022, accepted 16 October 2023, published online 23 September 2024

Abstract

This article aims to provide exponential lower bounds on the number of non-isomorphic k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings (sometimes called, with abuse of notation, biembeddings) of the complete multipartite graph into orientable surfaces.

For this purpose, we use the concept, introduced by Archdeacon in 2015, of Heffer array and its relations with graph embeddings. In particular we show that, under certain hypotheses, from a single Heffter array, we can obtain an exponential number of distinct graph embeddings. Exploiting this idea starting from the arrays constructed by Cavenagh, Donovan and Yazıcı in 2020, we obtain that, for infinitely many values of k and v, there are at least $k^{\frac{k}{2}+o(k)} \cdot 2^{v \cdot \frac{H(1/4)}{(2k)^2}+o(v)}$ non-isomorphic k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v , where $H(\cdot)$ is the binary entropy. Moreover about the embeddings of $K_{\frac{v}{t} \times t}$, for $t \in \{1, 2, k\}$, we provide a construction of $2^{v \cdot \frac{H(1/4)}{2k(k-1)}+o(v,k)}$ non-isomorphic k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings whenever k is odd and v belongs to a wide infinite family of values.

Keywords: Topological embedding, non-isomorphic embedding, Heffter array. Math. Subj. Class. (2020): 05C10, 05C15, 05B20, 54C25

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to provide exponential lower bounds on the number of nonisomorphic embeddings of the complete multipartite graph into orientable surfaces that induce faces of a given length k (i.e. we investigate the so-called *k*-gonal embeddings). We first recall some basic definitions, see [28].

^{*}The authors were partially supported by INdAM-GNSAGA.

[†]Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: simone.costa@unibs.it (Simone Costa), anita.pasotti@unibs.it (Anita Pasotti)

Definition 1.1. Given a graph Γ and a surface Σ , an *embedding* of Γ in Σ is a continuous injective mapping $\psi \colon \Gamma \to \Sigma$, where Γ is viewed with the usual topology as 1-dimensional simplicial complex.

The connected components of $\Sigma \setminus \psi(\Gamma)$ are said ψ -faces. Also, with abuse of notation, we say that a closed walk F of Γ is a face (induced by the embedding ψ) if $\psi(F)$ is the boundary of a ψ -face. Then, if each ψ -face is homeomorphic to an open disc, the embedding ψ is called *cellular*. If the boundary of a face is homeomorphic to a circumference (i.e. is a simple cycle), such a face is said to be *simple* and if all the faces are simple we say that the embedding is *circular* (or simple, following the notation of [32]). If moreover, the embedding is *face-2-colourable* sometimes, with abuse of notation, we call it a *biembedding*. In this context, we say that two embeddings $\psi \colon \Gamma \to \Sigma$ and $\psi' \colon \Gamma' \to \Sigma'$ are *isomorphic* if and only if there is a graph isomorphism $\sigma \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ such that $\sigma(F)$ is a ψ' -face if and only if F is a ψ -face.

The existence problem of cellular embeddings of a graph Γ into (orientable) surfaces has been widely studied in the case of triangular embeddings, which are the ones whose faces are triangular. This kind of embeddings has been investigated, at first, because their construction was a major step in proving the Map Color Theorem [33]. Among the papers related to this existence problem, we recall [3, 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 26] where the natural question of the rate growth of the number of non-isomorphic triangular embeddings of complete graphs has been considered too. Moreover, due to the Euler formula, if there exists a triangular embedding ψ from Γ to some surface Σ , ψ minimizes the genus of Σ . For this reason, such kinds of embeddings are called *genus embeddings*. Two naturally related questions are the investigation of the rate of the number of non-isomorphic genus embeddings (see [21, 25]) and that of the k-gonal embeddings (see [17, 22, 24]).

In this paper, we consider the latter question and we study the rate growth of the number of non-isomorphic k-gonal embeddings of the complete multipartite graph with m parts of size t, denoted by $K_{m \times t}$. Here, we provide exponential lower bounds on this number for several infinite classes of parameters k, m and t. Furthermore, our embeddings also realize additional properties: the faces they induce are (in several cases) simple and it is possible to color them within two colors, i.e. these embeddings are 2-face colorable. Finally, in the cases where k is 3, we find new classes of genus embeddings.

The approach we use in this article is purely combinatorial and requires the notion of combinatorial embedding, see [14, 34]. Here, we denote by $D(\Gamma)$ the set of all the oriented edges of the graph Γ and, given a vertex x of Γ , by $N(\Gamma, x)$ the neighborhood of x in Γ .

Definition 1.2. Let Γ be a connected multigraph. A *combinatorial embedding* of Γ (into an orientable surface) is a pair $\Pi = (\Gamma, \rho)$ where $\rho: D(\Gamma) \to D(\Gamma)$ satisfies the following properties:

- for any $y \in N(\Gamma, x)$, there exists $y' \in N(\Gamma, x)$ such that $\rho(x, y) = (x, y')$;
- we define ρ_x as the permutation of $N(\Gamma, x)$ such that, given $y \in N(\Gamma, x)$, $\rho(x, y) = (x, \rho_x(y))$. Then the permutation ρ_x is a cycle of order $|N(\Gamma, x)|$.

It is well known that a combinatorial embedding of Γ is equivalent to a cellular embedding of Γ in an orientable surface, see [1, 20, 29]. This observation leads us to study this kind of embedding isomorphisms purely combinatorially. From the combinatorial point of view, the faces are determined using the face-trace algorithm, see [1]. It is easy to see that the faces are closed walks (that is sequences of consecutive vertices and edges, denoted by v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k), the *length* of a closed walk is the number of its edges. If the faces are simple then $v_i \neq v_j$ for any $i \neq j$, so the closed walks are indeed cycles with k distinct vertices and k edges. In this context, it is possible to rephrase the definition of embedding isomorphism as done by Korzhik and Voss in [24], see page 61.

Definition 1.3. Let $\Pi := (\Gamma, \rho)$ and $\Pi' := (\Gamma', \rho')$ be two combinatorial embeddings of, respectively, Γ and Γ' . We say that Π is *isomorphic* to Π' if there exists a graph isomorphism $\sigma : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ such that, for any $(x, y) \in D(\Gamma)$, we have either

$$\sigma \circ \rho(x, y) = \rho' \circ \sigma(x, y) \tag{1.1}$$

or

$$\sigma \circ \rho(x, y) = (\rho')^{-1} \circ \sigma(x, y). \tag{1.2}$$

We also say, with abuse of notation, that σ is an *embedding isomorphism* between Π and Π' . Moreover, if Equation (1.1) holds, σ is said to be an *orientation preserving isomorphism* while, if (1.2) holds, σ is said to be an *orientation reversing isomorphism*.

This combinatorial approach has been developed in the literature into two kinds of directions. The first one is the use of recursive constructions and has been applied to construct triangular embeddings of complete graphs from triangular embeddings of complete graphs of a lesser order. Within this method, it was first shown that there are at least $2^{av^2-o(v^2)}$ non-isomorphic face-2-colourable triangular embeddings of the complete graph K_v for several congruence classes modulo 36, 60 and 84 (see [3, 16]) and then that, for an infinite (but rather sparse) family of values of v, there are at least $v^{bv^2-o(v^2)}$ non-isomorphic face-2-colourable triangular embeddings of K_v (see [15, 18, 19]). Another consequence of these kinds of recursive constructions is the existence of $2^{cv^2-o(v^2)}$ non-isomorphic Hamiltonian embeddings of K_v for infinitely many values of v (see [17]).

The second approach uses the current graph technique. Within this method, it was provided the first exponential lower bound (of type 2^{dv}) on the number of non-isomorphic face-2-colourable triangular embeddings of K_v for infinitely many values of v. Then, similar results have been also given in the cases of genus and quadrangular embeddings (see [22, 23, 24, 25]). The approach used in this paper belongs to this second family. The main tool we will use is the concept of Heffter array, introduced by Archdeacon in [1] to provide constructions of current graphs. Section 2 of this paper will be dedicated to introducing this kind of array, to reviewing the literature on this topic and to further investigating the connection with face-2-colourable embeddings. Then, in Section 3, we will deal with the following problem: given a family of embeddings each of which admits \mathbb{Z}_{n} as a regular automorphism group (i.e. embeddings that are \mathbb{Z}_{v} -regular), how many of its elements can be isomorphic? Proposition 3.4 will provide an upper bound on this number. In the last two sections, we will consider some of the known constructions of Heffter arrays and we will show that, under certain hypotheses, from each of such arrays we can obtain a family of \mathbb{Z}_{v} -regular embeddings that is exponentially big. These families, together with Proposition 3.4, will allow us to achieve the existence of an exponential number of nonisomorphic k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v and $K_{\frac{v}{4} \times t}$ in several situations. In particular, in Section 4 we will obtain that, when k is congruent to 3 modulo 4 and vbelongs to an infinite family of values, there are $k^{\frac{k}{2}+o(k)} \cdot 2^{g(k)v+o(v)}$ non-isomorphic kgonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v where g(k) is a rational function of k. Finally, in Section 5, we will consider the embeddings of $K_{\frac{v}{t} \times t}$. In this case, for $t \in \{1, 2, k\}$, we will provide a construction of $2^{h(k)v+o(v,k)}$ non-isomorphic k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings whenever k is odd, v belongs to a wide infinite family of values and where h(k) is a rational function of k.

2 Heffter arrays and face-2-colourable embeddings

In this section we introduce the classical concept of Heffter array and its generalizations, showing how these notions are useful tools for getting face-2-colourable embeddings of the complete multipartite graph into an orientable surface.

An $m \times n$ partially filled array on a given set Ω is an $m \times n$ matrix with elements in Ω in which some cells can be empty. Archdeacon [1] introduced a class of partially filled arrays, called *Heffter arrays*, and showed how it is related to several other mathematical concepts such as difference families, graph decompositions, current graphs and face-2-colourable embeddings. These arrays have been then generalized by Costa and al. in [9] as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let v = 2nk + t be a positive integer, where t divides 2nk, and let J be the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_v of order t. A *Heffter array over* \mathbb{Z}_v *relative to J*, denoted by $H_t(m, n; h, k)$, is an $m \times n$ partially filled array with elements in \mathbb{Z}_v such that:

- (1) each row contains h filled cells and each column contains k filled cells;
- (2) for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}_v \setminus J$, either x or -x appears in the array;
- (3) the elements in every row and in every column sum to 0 (in \mathbb{Z}_v).

Example 2.2. Below we have an H₉(11; 9), say A. Hence the elements of A belongs to \mathbb{Z}_{207} and we avoid the elements of the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{207} of order 9.

10	55	101	-90		13	-22		-78	67	-56
-37	-9	45	102	-91		21	-20		-79	68
58	-47	-8	54	103	-81		19	-18		-80
-70	59	-38	-7	44	93	-82		17	-16	
	-71	60	-48	-6	53	94	-83		15	-14
-33		-72	61	-39	11	49	95	-84		12
24	-25		-73	62	-43	4	40	96	-85	
	26	-27		-74	63	-52	3	50	97	-86
-87		28	-29		-75	64	-42	2	41	98
99	-88		30	-31		-76	65	-51	-5	57
36	100	-89		32	-34		-77	66	-35	1

If t = 1, namely, if J is the trivial subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+1} , we find the classical Heffter arrays defined by Archdeacon, which are simply denoted by H(m, n; h, k). It is immediate that if there exists an $H_t(m, n; h, k)$ then mh = nk, $3 \le h \le n$ and $3 \le k \le m$. Also, m = n implies k = h and an $H_t(n, n; k, k)$ is simply denoted by $H_t(n; k)$. The most important result about the existence problem for Heffter arrays is the following, see [2, 5, 13].

Theorem 2.3. An H(n; k) exists for every $n \ge k \ge 3$.

For other existence results on classical and generalised Heffter arrays see [32].

In [10] we introduced the further generalization of a λ -fold Heffter array A over \mathbb{Z}_v relative to J, denoted by ${}^{\lambda}\mathrm{H}_t(m,n;h,k)$ replacing property (2) of Definition 2.1 with the following one:

(2') the multiset $\{\pm x \mid x \in A\}$ contains λ times each element of $\mathbb{Z}_v \setminus J$, where $v = \frac{2nk}{\lambda} + t$.

Note that if $\lambda > 1$ then h and k can also be equal to 2.

Example 2.4. The following array A is a ²H(2, 5; 5, 2), in fact the multiset $\{\pm x \mid x \in A\}$ contains 2 times each element of $\mathbb{Z}_{11} \setminus \{0\}$.

1	-2	3	4	5
-1	2	-3	-4	-5

Anyway here we consider the case $\lambda = 1$, since several of our constructions cannot be naturally extended to the case $\lambda > 1$, as it will be underlined in Remark 2.17.

The focus of this paper is not the existence problem of Heffter arrays, but their connection with face-2-colourable embeddings. We point out that there are several papers in which Heffter arrays have been investigated to obtain face-2-colourable embeddings see [1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12]. To present such a connection, now we have to introduce the concepts of *simple and compatible orderings*.

In the following, given two integers $a \leq b$, by [a, b] we denote the interval containing the integers $\{a, a + 1, \ldots, b\}$. If a > b, then [a, b] is empty. The rows and the columns of an $m \times n$ array A are denoted by R_1, \ldots, R_m and by C_1, \ldots, C_n , respectively. Also we denote by $\mathcal{E}(A)$, $\mathcal{E}(R_i)$, $\mathcal{E}(C_j)$ the list of the elements of the filled cells of A, of the *i*-th row and of the *j*-th column, respectively. Given a finite subset T of an abelian group G and an ordering $\omega = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k)$ of the elements of T, for any $i \in [1, k]$ let $s_i = \sum_{j=1}^i t_j$ be the *i*-th partial sum of T. The ordering ω is said to be *simple* if $s_a \neq s_b$ for all $1 \leq$ $a < b \leq k$. We point out that if $s_k = 0$ an ordering ω is simple if no proper subsequence of consecutive elements of ω sums to 0. Note also that, if ω is a simple ordering, then $\omega^{-1} = (t_k, t_{k-1}, \ldots, t_1)$ is simple too. Given an $m \times n$ partially filled array A, by ω_{R_i} and ω_{C_j} we denote an ordering $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(R_i)$ and $\mathcal{E}(C_j)$, respectively. If for any $i \in [1, m]$ and for any $j \in [1, n]$, the orderings ω_{R_i} and $\omega_{C_j} = \omega_{C_1} \circ \cdots \circ \omega_{C_n}$ the simple ordering for the columns. Also, by *natural ordering* of a row (column) of A one means the ordering from left to right (from top to bottom).

Definition 2.5. A partially filled array A on an abelian group G is said to be

- *simple* if there exists a simple ordering for each row and each column of A;
- globally simple if the natural ordering of each row and each column of A is simple.

It is easy to see that if $k \le 5$ then every $H_t(n; k)$ is globally simple. By a direct check one can see that the array of Example 2.2 is globally simple.

Definition 2.6. Given a relative Heffter array A, the orderings ω_r and ω_c are said to be *compatible* if $\omega_c \circ \omega_r$ is a cycle of order $|\mathcal{E}(A)|$.

Reasoning as in [11], we get the following.

Theorem 2.7. Let A be a relative Heffter array $H_t(m, n; h, k)$ that admits two compatible orderings ω_r and ω_c . Then there exists a cellular face-2-colourable embedding σ of $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{t} \times t}$, such that every edge is on a face whose boundary has length h and on a face whose boundary has length k, into an orientable surface of genus

$$g = 1 + \frac{(nk - n - m - 1)(2nk + t)}{2}$$

Moreover, σ is \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+t} -regular.

Remark 2.8. As already remarked in the Introduction, in general, in Theorem 2.7, the faces are closed walks, but if the array is simple with respect to the compatible orderings ω_r and ω_c then the faces are cycles. Clearly, in this case the face-2-colourable embedding is circular.

Now we recall the definition of the Archdeacon embedding, see [1]. Let A be an $H_t(m,n;h,k)$; we consider the permutation ρ_0 on $\pm \mathcal{E}(A) = \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+t} \setminus \frac{2nk+t}{t} \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+t}$, where $\frac{2nk+t}{t} \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+t}$ denotes the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+t} of order t, so defined:

$$\rho_0(a) = \begin{cases} -\omega_r(a) \text{ if } a \in \mathcal{E}(A);\\ \omega_c(-a) \text{ if } a \in -\mathcal{E}(A). \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

Note that the complete multipartite graph $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{t}\times t}$ is nothing but the Cayley graph on \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+t} with connection set $\pm \mathcal{E}(A)$, denoted by $Cay[\mathbb{Z}_{2nk+t}:\pm \mathcal{E}(A)]$. Now, we define a map ρ on the set of the oriented edges of this graph as follows:

$$\rho((x, x+a)) = (x, x+\rho_0(a)). \tag{2.2}$$

Since ρ_0 acts cyclically on $\pm \mathcal{E}(A)$, the map ρ is a rotation of $Cay[\mathbb{Z}_{2nk+t} : \pm \mathcal{E}(A)]$.

Example 2.9. Let A be the $H_9(11; 9)$ given in Example 2.2. Consider the following ordering for the rows

$$\begin{split} \omega_r &= (10, 55, 101, -90, 13, -22, -78, 67, -56)(-37, -9, 45, 102, -91, 21, -20, -79, 68) \\ (58, -47, -8, 54, 103, -81, 19, -18, -80)(-70, 59, -38, -7, 44, 93, -82, 17, -16) \\ (-71, 60, -48, -6, 53, 94, -83, 15, -14)(-33, -72, 61, -39, 11, 49, 95, -84, 12) \\ (24, -25, -73, 62, -43, 4, 40, 96, -85)(26, -27, -74, 63, -52, 3, 50, 97, -86) \\ (-87, 28, -29, -75, 64, -42, 2, 41, 98)(99, -88, 30, -31, -76, 65, -51, -5, 57) \\ (36, 100, -89, 32, -34, -77, 66, -35, 1) \end{split}$$

and the following ordering for the columns

$$\begin{split} \omega_c &= (10, 36, 99, -87, 24, -33, -70, 58, -37)(55, -9, -47, 59, -71, -25, 26, -88, 100) \\ (101, 45, -8, -38, 60, -72, -27, 28, -89)(-90, 102, 54, -7, -48, 61, -73, -29, 30) \\ (-91, 103, 44, -6, -39, 62, -74, -31, 32)(13, -81, 93, 53, 11, -43, 63, -75, -34) \\ (-22, 21, -82, 94, 49, 4, -52, 64, -76)(-20, 19, -83, 95, 40, 3, -42, 65, -77) \\ (-78, -18, 17, -84, 96, 50, 2, -51, 66)(67, -79, -16, 15, -85, 97, 41, -5, -35) \\ (-56, 68, -80, -14, 12, -86, 98, 57, 1). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \omega_c \circ \omega_r &= (10, -9, -8, -7, -6, 11, 4, 3, 2, -5, 1, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, \\ 98, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 13, 21, 19, 17, 15, 12, -70, -71, -72, -73, -74, -75, \\ -76, -77, -78, -79, -80, -37, -47, -38, -48, -39, -43, -52, -42, -51, \\ -35, -56, 36, 55, 45, 54, 44, 53, 49, 40, 50, 41, 57, -87, -89, -91, -82, -84, \\ -86, -88, -90, -81, -83, -85, -33, -27, -31, -22, -18, -14, -25, -29, \\ -34, -20, -16, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68). \end{split}$$

So, since $\omega_c \circ \omega_r$ is a cycle of order $99 = |\mathcal{E}(A)|$ the orderings are compatible.

Looking for compatible orderings of a (globally simple) Heffter array leads us to consider the following problem introduced in [7]. Given an $m \times n$ toroidal partially filled array A, by r_i we denote the orientation of the *i*-th row, precisely $r_i = 1$ if it is from left to right and $r_i = -1$ if it is from right to left. Analogously, for the *j*-th column, if its orientation \mathcal{C}_j is from top to bottom then $c_j = 1$ otherwise $c_j = -1$. Assume that an orientation $\mathcal{R} = (r_1, \ldots, r_m)$ and $\mathcal{C} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ is fixed. Given an initial filled cell (i_1, j_1) consider the sequence $L_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}(i_1, j_1) = ((i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \ldots, (i_\ell, j_\ell), (i_{\ell+1}, j_{\ell+1}), \ldots)$ where $j_{\ell+1}$ is the column index of the filled cell $(i_\ell, j_{\ell+1})$ of the row R_{i_ℓ} next to (i_ℓ, j_ℓ) in the orientation $c_{j_{\ell+1}}$. Given an element $(i_k, j_k) \in L_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}(i_1, j_1)$ we define $S_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}(i_k, j_k)$ as the element $(i_{k+1}, j_{k+1}) \in L_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}(i_1, j_1)$. It is easy to see that $S_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}$ is well defined on the set of the filled cells of A.

The problem proposed in [7] is the following:

Crazy Knight's Tour Problem. Given a toroidal partially filled array A, do there exist \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{C} such that the list $L_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}$ covers all the filled cells of A?

The Crazy Knight's Tour Problem for a given array A is denoted by P(A), known results can be found in [7, 27]. Also, given a filled cell (i, j), if $L_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}(i, j)$ covers all the filled positions of A we will say that $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C})$ is a solution of P(A). The relationship between the Crazy Knight's Tour Problem and (globally simple) relative Heffter arrays is explained in the following result, see [11].

Corollary 2.10. Let A be a relative Heffter array $H_t(m, n; h, k)$ such that P(A) admits a solution $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C})$. Then there exists a face-2-colourable embedding of $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{t} \times t}$, such that every edge is on a face whose boundary has length h and on a face whose boundary has length k, into an orientable surface.

Moreover if A is globally simple, then the face-2-colourable embedding is circular.

Example 2.11. Let A be the $H_9(11;9)$ of Example 2.2. Let $\mathcal{R} = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ and $\mathcal{C} = (-1, 1, 1, ..., 1)$. Now we consider $S_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}(1,1)$ and, in the following table, in each position we write j if we reach that position after having applied $S_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}$ to (1,1) exactly j times.

	\uparrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	↓↓	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow
\rightarrow	0	56	13	73		27	80		41	97	54
\rightarrow	44	1	57	14	68		28	86		42	98
\rightarrow	88	45	2	58	15	74		29	81		43
\rightarrow	33	89	46	3	59	16	69		30	87	
\rightarrow		34	90	47	4	60	17	75		31	82
\rightarrow	77		35	91	48	5	61	18	70		32
\rightarrow	22	83		36	92	49	6	62	19	76	
\rightarrow		23	78		37	93	50	7	63	20	71
\rightarrow	66		24	84		38	94	51	8	64	21
\rightarrow	11	72		25	79		39	95	52	9	65
\rightarrow	55	12	67		26	85		40	96	53	10

Note that $L_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}(1,1)$ covers all filled cells of A, hence $(\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C})$ is a solution of P(A).

Remark 2.12. The orderings ω_r and ω_c of A described in Example 2.9, correspond to the vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{C} of Example 2.11, respectively. Hence, we also say that $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C})$ induces the cycle $\omega_c \circ \omega_r$.

Clearly, given an array A, a pair $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C})$ is a solution of P(A) if and only if the induced permutation $\omega_c \circ \omega_r$ is a cycle of order $|\mathcal{E}(A)|$.

Now, to present the results of this section we need some other definitions and notations. By skel(A) we denote the *skeleton* of A, that is the set of the filled positions of A. Given an $n \times n$ partially filled array A, for $i \in [1, n]$ we define the *i*-th diagonal of A as follows:

$$D_i = \{(i, 1), (i + 1, 2), \dots, (i - 1, n)\}.$$

Here all the arithmetic on the row and column indices is performed modulo n, where $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is the set of reduced residues. The diagonals $D_{i+1}, D_{i+2}, \ldots, D_{i+k}$ are called k consecutive diagonals. A set of t consecutive diagonals $S = \{D_{i+1}, D_{i+2}, \ldots, D_{i+t}\}$ is said to be an *empty strip of width* t if $D_{i+1}, D_{i+2}, \ldots, D_{i+t}$ are empty diagonals, while D_i and D_{i+t+1} are non-empty diagonals.

Definition 2.13. Let n, k be integers such that $n \ge k \ge 1$. An $n \times n$ partially filled array A is said to be:

- *k*-diagonal if the non-empty cells of A are exactly those of k diagonals;
- *cyclically k-diagonal* if the non-empty cells of A are exactly those of k consecutive diagonals;
- *k*-diagonal with width t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_s if it is *k*-diagonal and has *s* empty strips with width t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_s , respectively;
- k-diagonal with width t if it is k-diagonal and all its empty strips have width t.

Clearly a cyclically k-diagonal array of size n is nothing but a k-diagonal array with width n - k. Note that the array of Example 2.2 is 9-diagonal with width 1.

Lemma 2.14. Let A be a partially filled array. If $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C})$ is a solution of P(A), then also $(-\mathcal{R}, -\mathcal{C})$ is a solution of P(A).

Proof. By Remark 2.12, if $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C})$ is a solution of P(A), then the induced cycle $\omega_c \circ \omega_r$ has order $|\mathcal{E}(A)|$. Clearly also $(\omega_c \circ \omega_r)^{-1} = \omega_r^{-1} \circ \omega_c^{-1}$ is a cycle of the same order. The same holds if we consider the conjugate $\omega_r \circ (\omega_r^{-1} \circ \omega_c^{-1}) \circ \omega_r^{-1} = \omega_c^{-1} \circ \omega_r^{-1}$, hence $(-\mathcal{R}, -\mathcal{C})$ is a solution, too.

Lemma 2.15. Let A be a cyclically k-diagonal array of size $n \ge k$ and let $\mathcal{R} = (1, 1, ..., 1)$. If $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C})$ is a solution of P(A), then also $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R})$ is a solution of P(A).

Proof. We can assume, without loss of generality, that (1, 1) is a filled cell of A. If $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C})$ is a solution of P(A), then the induced cycle $\omega_c \circ \omega_r$ has order $|\mathcal{E}(A)|$. Now, since if we commute ω_r and ω_c we still obtain a cycle of order $|\mathcal{E}(A)|$, then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R})$ is a solution of $P(A^t)$, where by A^t we denote the transposed of A. Note that, in general, A and A^t do not have the same skeleton. Before concluding the proof, we present an example in order to illustrate this fact. Here A is a cyclically 4-diagonal array of size 6 (we put a " \bullet " in the filled cells), $\mathcal{R} = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$, as in the hypothesis, and $\mathcal{C} = (1, -1, -1, 1, -1, 1)$.

	↓	↑	↑	↓	↑	↓		↓	↓
\rightarrow	•			•	•	•	\rightarrow	٠	•
\rightarrow	•	•			•	•	\leftarrow		•
\rightarrow	•	•	•			•	\leftarrow		
\rightarrow	٠	٠	٠	•			\rightarrow	٠	
\rightarrow		•	•	•	•		\leftarrow	٠	•
\rightarrow			•	•	•	•	\rightarrow	٠	•

	$ \downarrow$	↓↓	↓	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow
\rightarrow	٠	٠	•	•		
\leftarrow		٠	•	•	٠	
\leftarrow			•	•	٠	٠
\rightarrow	٠			•	٠	٠
\leftarrow	•	•			٠	•
\rightarrow	•	٠	•			٠



Figure 1: Arrays A, A^t and B.

Note that, instead of A^t , we can consider the array B on the right obtained from A^t by a translation on the rows of length k - 1. We point out that B has the same skeleton of A. We remark that applying $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R})$ to A^t is equivalent to apply $(\mathcal{C}, \overline{\mathcal{R}})$ to B, where if $\mathcal{R} = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n)$, then $\overline{\mathcal{R}} = (r_k, \ldots, r_n, r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1})$. Since $\mathcal{R} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ then also $\overline{\mathcal{R}} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$. Hence $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R})$ is a solution of P(B), but skel(B) = skel(A), so $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R})$ is a solution of P(A) too.

Proposition 2.16. Let A and B be two distinct (globally simple) $H_t(m, n; h, k)$ s such that $\mathcal{E}(A) = \mathcal{E}(B)$. Assume that both A and B admit compatible orderings and denote them, respectively, by (ω_r^A, ω_c^A) and by (ω_r^B, ω_c^B) . Then (ω_r^A, ω_c^A) and (ω_r^B, ω_c^B) determine the same (circular) k-gonal face-2-colourable embedding of $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{t} \times t}$ if and only if $\omega_r^A = \omega_r^B$ and $\omega_c^A = \omega_c^B$.

Proof. Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists $a \in \mathcal{E}(A) = \mathcal{E}(B)$ such that $\omega_r^A(a) \neq \omega_r^B(a)$ or $\omega_c^A(a) \neq \omega_c^B(a)$. In the following we assume, without loss of generality, that the previous condition holds for the rows. Hence, recalling Equations (2.1) and (2.2), from $\omega_r^A(a) \neq \omega_r^B(a)$, it follows that the maps ρ^A and ρ^B are different. Therefore (ω_r^A, ω_c^A) and (ω_r^B, ω_c^B) determine different k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{\times}t}$.

Conversely, if we have that $\omega_r^A = \omega_r^B$ and $\omega_c^A = \omega_c^B$ the maps ρ_0^A and ρ_0^B coincide and hence also $\rho^A = \rho^B$. In this case the compatible orderings of A and of B determine the same k-gonal face-2-colourable embedding of $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{2} \times t}$.

Remark 2.17. Let A be an $H_t(m, n; h, k)$. It is not hard to see that distinct solutions of P(A) induce distinct orderings ω_r and ω_c of the rows and columns of A, respectively. Also, distinct permutations determine distinct face-2-colourable embeddings of $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{t} \times t}$. These facts, in general, do not hold for ${}^{\lambda}H_t(m, n; h, k)$ with $\lambda > 1$. In the following example we show how two distinct solutions of P(A), where A is a λ -fold Heffter array with $\lambda > 1$, induce the same permutations ω_r and ω_c . Moreover, when $\lambda > 1$, the definition of the Archdeacon embedding is more complicated since the complete multipartite multigraph ${}^{\lambda}K_{(\frac{2nk}{\lambda t}+1) \times t}$ has repeated edges, see [10]. In this case, one could show that distinct solutions of P(A) can induce the same face-2-colourable embedding.

Example 2.18. Let A be the ²H(2,5;5,2) of Example 2.4. Set $\mathcal{R} = (1,1)$, $\mathcal{C}_1 = (1,1,1,1,1)$, $\mathcal{C}_2 = (1,-1,-1,1,-1)$. It is easy to see that $(\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}_2)$ are two distinct solutions of P(A). Anyway they induce the same permutations:

$$\omega_r = (1, -2, 3, 4, 5)(-1, 2, -3, -4, -5),$$

$$\omega_c = (1, -1)(-2, 2)(3, -3)(4, -4)(5, -5).$$

Corollary 2.19. Let A and B be two k-diagonal (globally simple) $H_t(n; k)$ s such that:

- (1) there exists a non-empty diagonal $D_{\overline{i}}$ where A and B coincide;
- (2) $\mathcal{E}(A) = \mathcal{E}(B)$ and skel(A) = skel(B);
- (3) both P(A) and P(B) admit a solution denoted, respectively, by $(\mathcal{R}_A, \mathcal{C}_A)$ and by $(\mathcal{R}_B, \mathcal{C}_B)$.

Then $(\mathcal{R}_A, \mathcal{C}_A)$ and $(\mathcal{R}_B, \mathcal{C}_B)$ determine the same (circular) k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of $K_{\underline{2nk+t}}$ if and only if A = B and $(\mathcal{R}_A, \mathcal{C}_A) = (\mathcal{R}_B, \mathcal{C}_B)$.

Proof. Clearly, if A = B and $(\mathcal{R}_A, \mathcal{C}_A) = (\mathcal{R}_B, \mathcal{C}_B)$, we obtain the same face-2-colourable embedding.

Now, assume that $(\mathcal{R}_A, \mathcal{C}_A)$ and $(\mathcal{R}_B, \mathcal{C}_B)$ determine the same k-gonal face-2-colourable embedding of $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{t} \times t}$. We have to prove that A = B and $(\mathcal{R}_A, \mathcal{C}_A) = (\mathcal{R}_B, \mathcal{C}_B)$. At this purpose we will first suppose, by contradiction, that $(\mathcal{R}_A, \mathcal{C}_A) \neq (\mathcal{R}_B, \mathcal{C}_B)$, then we will also consider the possibility that $A \neq B$. Our assumption means that either $\mathcal{R}_A \neq \mathcal{R}_B$ or $\mathcal{C}_A \neq \mathcal{C}_B$.

In the first case, there exists an index ℓ such that $(r_A)_{\ell} = -(r_B)_{\ell}$. Moreover, up to translate on the torus the cells of the Heffter arrays A and B, we can assume, without loss of generality that $\ell = \overline{i} = 1$.

Here we set by ω_r^A, ω_c^A the orderings induced by $(\mathcal{R}_A, \mathcal{C}_A)$ on the elements of $\mathcal{E}(A)$ and by ω_r^B, ω_c^B the orderings induced by $(\mathcal{R}_B, \mathcal{C}_B)$ on the elements of $\mathcal{E}(B)$. We also denote the non-empty elements of the first row of A, following the natural ordering, by $(a_{1,1}, a_{1,i_2}, \ldots, a_{1,i_k})$. Then, since $(r_A)_1 = -(r_B)_1$ and since, due to Proposition 2.16, $\omega_r^A = \omega_r^B$, we have that the non-empty elements of the first row of B are, following the natural ordering, $(a_{1,1}, a_{1,i_k}, \ldots, a_{1,i_2})$ where a_{1,i_k} is in the i_2 -th column and a_{1,i_2} is in the i_k -th column. Now we consider the element a_{i_2,i_2} in position (i_2, i_2) of A. Since, in the diagonal D_1 , the arrays A and B coincide, we have that a_{i_2,i_2} is also the element in position (i_2, i_2) of B. Here we note that, in the array A the elements a_{i_2,i_2} and a_{1,i_2} belong both to the i_2 -th column. On the other hand, in the array B they belong to different columns: a_{i_2,i_2} is in the i_2 -th and a_{1,i_2} is in the i_k -th. But this implies that the orbits of a_{i_2,i_2} under the action of ω_c^A and ω_c^B are different and hence, due to Proposition 2.16, we would obtain the contradiction that $(\mathcal{R}_A, \mathcal{C}_A)$ and $(\mathcal{R}_B, \mathcal{C}_B)$ determine different face-2colourable embeddings.

We obtain a similar contradiction also in the case $C_A \neq C_B$ and hence we have proved that $(\mathcal{R}_A, \mathcal{C}_A) = (\mathcal{R}_B, \mathcal{C}_B)$.

It is left to prove that A = B. At this purpose we suppose, by contradiction, that there is a position (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) where A and B are different and we consider the element a of $D_{\overline{i}}$ that belongs to the ℓ_1 -th row. Due to Proposition 2.16 we have that $\omega_r^A(a) = \omega_r^B(a)$ and, inductively, that $(\omega_r^A)^j(a) = (\omega_r^B)^j(a)$ for any $j \in [1, k]$. Since skel(A) = skel(B) and $\mathcal{R}_A = \mathcal{R}_B$, it follows that the ℓ_1 -th row of A and that of B are equal. But this would imply that also the elements in position (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) of A and B coincide that contradicts our hypothesis. It follows that A = B.

3 On the maximum number of isomorphic embeddings

Given an embedding Π , we will denote by Aut(Π) the group of all automorphisms of Π and by Aut⁺(Π) the group of the orientation preserving automorphisms. Similarly, we

will denote by $\operatorname{Aut}_0(\Pi)$ the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Pi)$ of the automorphisms that fix 0 and by $\operatorname{Aut}_0^+(\Pi)$ the group of the orientation preserving automorphisms that fix 0. We remark that, since an orientable surface admits exactly two orientations, $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Pi)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Aut}_0^+(\Pi)$) is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Pi)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Aut}_0(\Pi)$) whose index is either 1 or 2. In the following, when we consider a \mathbb{Z}_v -regular embedding Π of Γ , we identify the vertex set of Γ with \mathbb{Z}_v and we assume that the translation action is regular. We denote by τ_g the translation by g, i.e. $\tau_g \colon V(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}_v \to V(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}_v$ is the map such that $\tau_g(x) = x + g$. Applying this convention, we have that $\tau_g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Pi)$ for any $g \in \mathbb{Z}_v$. Moreover, in the case of the Archdeacon embedding, recalling Equation (2.2), the translations also belong to $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Pi)$.

Remark 3.1. Let Π and Π' be two isomorphic \mathbb{Z}_v -regular embeddings of $K_{m \times t}$, where v = mt. Given an embedding isomorphism $\sigma \colon \Pi \to \Pi'$ and $g \in \mathbb{Z}_v$, we define

$$\phi_{\sigma,g} := \sigma \circ \tau_g^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \tau_{\sigma(g)}.$$

Moreover, if $\sigma(0) = 0$ then, since $\phi_{\sigma,q}(0) = 0$, we obtain that:

$$\phi_{\sigma,q} \in \operatorname{Aut}_0(\Pi')$$

Proposition 3.2. Let Π_0, Π_1 and Π_2 be \mathbb{Z}_v -regular embeddings of $K_{m \times t}$, where v = mt. Let us suppose there exist two embedding isomorphisms $\sigma_1 \colon \Pi_1 \to \Pi_0$ and $\sigma_2 \colon \Pi_2 \to \Pi_0$ such that, considering σ_1 and σ_2 as maps from \mathbb{Z}_v to \mathbb{Z}_v , the following properties hold:

(1)
$$\sigma_1(0) = \sigma_2(0) = 0;$$

(2)
$$\sigma_1(1) = \sigma_2(1);$$

(3)
$$\phi_{\sigma_1,1} = \phi_{\sigma_2,1}$$
.

Then the identity map from Π_1 to Π_2 is an isomorphism.

Proof. We note that, due to hypothesis (3), we have that:

$$\phi_{\sigma_1,1} = \sigma_1 \circ \tau_1^{-1} \circ \sigma_1^{-1} \circ \tau_{\sigma_1(1)} = \sigma_2 \circ \tau_1^{-1} \circ \sigma_2^{-1} \circ \tau_{\sigma_2(1)} = \phi_{\sigma_2,1}.$$

Since, because of hypothesis (2), $\sigma_1(1) = \sigma_2(1)$ the maps $\tau_{\sigma_1(1)}$ and $\tau_{\sigma_2(1)}$ coincide. Reducing these maps from the composition, we obtain that:

$$\sigma_1 \circ \tau_1^{-1} \circ \sigma_1^{-1} = \sigma_2 \circ \tau_1^{-1} \circ \sigma_2^{-1}.$$
(3.1)

Note that Equation (3.1) can be rewritten as:

$$(\sigma_2^{-1} \circ \sigma_1) \circ \tau_1^{-1} = \tau_1^{-1} \circ (\sigma_2^{-1} \circ \sigma_1),$$

hence we have that:

$$\tau_1 \circ (\sigma_2^{-1} \circ \sigma_1) = (\sigma_2^{-1} \circ \sigma_1) \circ \tau_1.$$
(3.2)

Setting $\sigma_{1,2} := \sigma_2^{-1} \circ \sigma_1$, by definition of τ_1 , it results

$$\tau_1 \circ \sigma_{1,2}(x) = \sigma_{1,2}(x) + 1,$$

and

$$\sigma_{1,2} \circ \tau_1(x) = \sigma_{1,2}(x+1).$$

Therefore, Equation (3.2) can be written as:

$$\sigma_{1,2}(x+1) = \sigma_{1,2}(x) + 1.$$

Since, for hypothesis (1), $\sigma_1(0) = \sigma_2(0) = 0$ we can prove, inductively, that $\sigma_{1,2}(x) = x$ that is $\sigma_{1,2} = id$. It follows that the identity map from Π_1 to Π_2 is an isomorphism of embeddings.

Proposition 3.3. Let Π be an embedding of $K_{m \times t}$ where $m \ge 2$. Then we have that:

$$|\operatorname{Aut}_0(\Pi)| \le 2 |\operatorname{Aut}_0^+(\Pi)| \le 2 |N(K_{m \times t}, 0)| = 2(m-1)t$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Aut}_0^+(\Pi)$ is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_0(\Pi)$ whose index is at most two, it suffices to prove that $|\operatorname{Aut}_0^+(\Pi)| \leq |N(K_{m \times t}, 0)|$. Because of the definition, $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_0^+(\Pi)$ implies that, for any $x \notin N(K_{m \times t}, 0)$:

$$\sigma \circ \rho(0, x) = \rho \circ \sigma(0, x).$$

Recalling that $\rho(0, x) = (0, \rho_0(x))$ for a suitable map $\rho_0 \colon N(K_{m \times t}, 0) \to N(K_{m \times t}, 0)$, we have that:

$$\sigma \circ \rho(0, x) = (0, \sigma \circ \rho_0(x)) = (0, \rho_0 \circ \sigma(x)) = \rho \circ \sigma(0, x).$$
(3.3)

Since $|N(K_{m \times t}, 0)| = (m-1)t$, we can write ρ_0 as the cycle $(x_1 = 1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{(m-1)t})$. Then, setting $\sigma(x_1) = x_i$, Equation (3.3) implies that:

$$(0, \sigma(x_2)) = (0, \rho_0 \circ \sigma(x_1)) = \rho \circ \sigma(0, x_1) = (0, x_{i+1}).$$

Therefore, we can prove, inductively, that:

$$\sigma(x_j) = x_{j+i-1}$$

where the indices are considered modulo (m-1)t. This means that $\sigma|_{N(K_{m\times t},0)} = \rho_0^{i-1}$ and that σ is fixed in $N(K_{m\times t},0)$ when the image of one element is given. In particular since ρ_0 has order (m-1)t, there are at most $|N(K_{m\times t},0)|$ possibilities for the map $\sigma|_{N(K_{m\times t},0)}$.

Now we need to prove that, if two automorphisms σ_1 and σ_2 of $\operatorname{Aut}_0(\Pi)$ coincide in $N(K_{m \times t}, 0)$, they coincide everywhere. Set $\sigma_{1,2} = \sigma_2^{-1} \circ \sigma_1$, this is equivalently to prove that $\sigma_{1,2}$ is the identity. Given $x \in N(K_{m \times t}, 0)$ we have that $\sigma_{1,2}(x) = x$ and hence $\sigma_{1,2}$ belongs to the subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}_x^+(\Pi)$ of $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Pi)$ of the elements that fix x. Proceeding as before we prove that $\sigma_{1,2}|_{N(K_{m \times t}, x)}$ is fixed when the image of one element is given. But now we note that $0 \in N(K_{m \times t}, x)$ and we have that $\sigma_{1,2}(0) = 0$. It follows that

$$\sigma_{1,2}|_{N(K_{m\times t},x)} = id.$$

Since σ_1 and σ_2 coincide in $N(K_{m \times t}, 0)$, we also have that

$$\sigma_{1,2}|_{N(K_{m\times t},0)} = id.$$

Now the thesis follows because, for $m \ge 2$,

$$V(K_{m \times t}) = N(K_{m \times t}, 0) \cup N(K_{m \times t}, x).$$

Proposition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{F} = {\Pi_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ be a family of \mathbb{Z}_v -regular distinct embeddings of $K_{m \times t}$ where v = mt and $m \ge 2$. Then, if Π_{α} is isomorphic to Π_0 for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, we have that:

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le 2|\operatorname{Aut}_0(\Pi_0)| \cdot |N(K_{m \times t}, 0)| \le 4|N(K_{m \times t}, 0)|^2 = 4((m-1)t)^2.$$

Moreover, if for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and any $g \in \mathbb{Z}_v$, the translation τ_a belongs to $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Pi_\alpha)$, then:

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le 2|\operatorname{Aut}_0^+(\Pi_0)| \cdot |N(K_{m \times t}, 0)| \le 2|N(K_{m \times t}, 0)|^2 = 2((m-1)t)^2.$$

Proof. We can assume $\Pi_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and let us denote by σ_{α} an isomorphism between Π_{α} and Π_0 that fixes 0. Note that this isomorphism exists since \mathcal{F} is a family of \mathbb{Z}_v -regular embeddings. Let us assume, by contradiction that

$$|\mathcal{F}| > 2 |\operatorname{Aut}_0(\Pi_0)| \cdot |N(K_{m \times t}, 0)|.$$

We note that, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $\phi_{\sigma_{\alpha},1} \in \operatorname{Aut}_0(\Pi_0)$. Since σ_{α} is an isomorphism that fixes 0, $\sigma_{\alpha}(1)$ belongs to $N(K_{m \times t}, 0)$ if and only if 1 belongs to $N(K_{m \times t}, 0)$. It follows that, we have at most

$$\max(|N(K_{m \times t}, 0)|, v - 1 - |N(K_{m \times t}, 0)|) = \max((m - 1)t, t - 1) = (m - 1)t$$

possibilities for $\sigma_{\alpha}(1)$. Therefore, due to the pigeonhole principle, we would have that there exist Π_1 , Π_2 and Π_3 in \mathcal{F} such that:

(1)
$$\sigma_1(1) = \sigma_2(1) = \sigma_3(1);$$

(2)
$$\phi_{\sigma_1,1} = \phi_{\sigma_2,1} = \phi_{\sigma_3,1}$$
.

Hence, due to Proposition 3.2, we would have that the identity is an isomorphism both from $\Pi_1 = (\Gamma_1, \rho_1)$ to $\Pi_2 = (\Gamma_2, \rho_2)$ and from $\Pi_1 = (\Gamma_1, \rho_1)$ to $\Pi_3 = (\Gamma_3, \rho_3)$. It follows from Definition 1.3 that $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3$ and $\rho_2, \rho_3 \in {\rho_1, \rho_1^{-1}}$. But this means that either $\Pi_1 = \Pi_2$ or $\Pi_1 = \Pi_3$ or $\Pi_2 = \Pi_3$. In each of these cases we would obtain that the elements of \mathcal{F} are not all distinct that contradicts the hypotheses.

We remark that, in case the translations are all elements of $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Pi_{\alpha})$ (for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$), $\phi_{\sigma_{\alpha},1}$ would be an element of $\operatorname{Aut}^+_0(\Pi_0)$ and hence we can substitute $\operatorname{Aut}_0(\Pi_0)$ with $\operatorname{Aut}^+_0(\Pi_0)$ in the previous argument. This leads us to obtain:

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le 2|\operatorname{Aut}_0^+(\Pi_0)| \cdot |N(K_{m \times t}, 0)|.$$

Remark 3.5. Clearly if t = 1 the complete multipartite graph $K_{m \times t}$ is nothing but the complete graph of order m. Hence the results of Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 hold also for the complete graph.

4 Embeddings from Cavenagh, Donovan and Yazıcı's arrays

We consider now the family of embeddings of K_v obtained by Cavenagh, Donovan, and Yazıcı in [6]. In their constructions, all the face boundaries are cycles of length k.

Set the binary entropy function by $H(p) := -p \log_2 p - (1-p) \log_2(1-p)$ and denoted by $\mathcal{H}(m)$ the cardinality of the derangements on [0, m-1], we will use the following, well known, approximations:

$$m! \approx \sqrt{2m\pi} \left(\frac{m}{e}\right)^m,\tag{4.1}$$

$$\binom{m}{pm} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\pi(1-p)p}} 2^{mH(p)},\tag{4.2}$$

$$\mathcal{H}(m) \approx m!/e,\tag{4.3}$$

where the symbol \approx means that the two quantities are asymptotic: their ratio tends to 1 as m tends to infinity. We will also use the simbol \gtrsim in case the lim inf of the ratio between two quantities, as m tends to infinity, is greater than or equal to 1.

Theorem 4.1 (Cavenagh, Donovan and Yazıcı [6]). Let v = 2nk + 1, k = 4t + 3 and let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be either a prime or $n \geq (7k + 1)/3$. Moreover, if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, we also assume that $k \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$. Then, the number of distinct circular k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v is, at least, of:

$$(n-2)[\mathcal{H}(t-2)]^2 \approx (n-2)[(t-2)!/e]^2.$$

Also, for all such embeddings and all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_v$, τ_g is an orientation preserving automorphism.

Using Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 4.1, we can prove the following result.

Theorem 4.2. Let v = 2nk + 1, k = 4t + 3 and let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be either a prime or $n \ge (7k + 1)/3$. Moreover, if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, we also assume that $k \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$. Then, the number of non-isomorphic circular k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v is, at least, of:

$$\frac{(n-2)[\mathcal{H}(t-2)]^2}{2(2nk)^2} \approx \frac{\pi(t-2)^{2t-5}}{64e^{2t-2}n} \approx k^{k/2+o(k)}/v.$$

Proof. Let us consider, for given k and v, the distinct circular k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v provided in [6]. Let us partition these embeddings into families of isomorphic ones. The thesis easily follows because, due to Proposition 3.4, each of these families has size at most $2(v - 1)^2 = 8(nk)^2$. Then the lower bound on the number of non-isomorphic circular k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v can be approximated using the Stirling formula for the factorial, that is Equation (4.1), and the approximation (4.3).

Now we will show that, studying carefully the Crazy Knight's Tour Problem for the Heffter arrays found by Cavenagh, Donovan and Yazıcı it is possible to get many other circular k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v .

We consider here a k-diagonal array A of size n > k and vectors $\mathcal{R} = (1, ..., 1)$ and $\mathcal{C} \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, whose -1 are in positions $E = (e_1, ..., e_r)$ where $e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_r$. We state a characterization, obtained with the same proof of Lemma 4.19 of [7], of the solutions of P(A) that have a trivial vector \mathcal{R} , i.e. $\mathcal{R} = (1, ..., 1)$. **Lemma 4.3.** Let $k \ge 3$ be an odd integer and let A be a k-diagonal array of size n > k, widths s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_i and with non-empty diagonal D_1 . Then the vectors $\mathcal{R} = (1, \ldots, 1)$ and $C \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, where the positions of each -1 in C are described by E, are a solution of P(A) if and only if:

- (1) for any $j \in [1, n]$, the list E covers all the congruence classes modulo d_j , where $d_j = \gcd(n, s_j)$;
- (2) the list $L_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}(1,1)$ covers all the positions of $\{(e,e)|e \in E\}$.

Proposition 4.4. Let k be an odd integer, n > 8k be a prime, and let A be a k-diagonal Heffter array H(n;k) whose filled diagonals are $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_{k-3}, D_{k-1}, D_k, D_{k+1}$. Then, the number of distinct solutions of P(A) is at least of:

$$2\binom{\lceil n/2k\rceil}{\lceil n/8k\rceil} \gtrsim \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{3\pi n}} 2^{\frac{n}{2k} \cdot H(1/4)+3}.$$

Proof. Let us consider a subset $E = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ of [1, n] where $e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_r$ that satisfies the following properties:

- (1) the elements e_1, \ldots, e_r of E are integers equivalent to 1 modulo 2k;
- (2) r = |E| is coprime with k 2.

A set E with such properties can be constructed as follows. Let r be a prime in the range $\left[\frac{n}{8k}, \frac{n}{4k}\right]$ that exists because of Bertrand's postulate. Then we choose r elements e_1, \ldots, e_r among the $\lceil n/2k \rceil$ integers equivalent to 1 modulo 2k contained in [1, n]. The number of such choices is at least of

$$\binom{\lceil n/2k\rceil}{r} \ge \binom{\lceil n/2k\rceil}{\lceil n/8k\rceil}.$$

Note that, due to the approximation for the binomial coefficients, see Equation (4.2), this number can be approximated to

$$\binom{\lceil n/2k\rceil}{\lceil n/8k\rceil}\gtrsim \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{3\pi n}}2^{\frac{n}{2k}\cdot H(1/4)+2}.$$

Hence, in order to obtain the thesis, it suffices to prove that, set $\mathcal{R} = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_E \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ whose -1 are in positions $E = (e_1, ..., e_r)$, $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}_E)$ is a solution for P(A). Indeed, according to Lemma 2.14, the number of distinct solutions of P(A) would be, at least, of

$$2\binom{\lceil n/2k\rceil}{\lceil n/8k\rceil} \gtrsim \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{3\pi n}} 2^{\frac{n}{2k} \cdot H(1/4)+3}.$$

Since *n* is a prime, condition (1) of Lemma 4.3 is satisfied. We need to check that also condition (2) of the same lemma holds. At this purpose, we consider an element $(e, e) \in D_1$ with $e \in E$, then there exists a minimum $m \ge 1$ such that $S^m_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}((e, e)) = (e', e')$ for some $e' \in E$. We define the permutation $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ on E as $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}(e) = e'$. We need to prove that $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a cycle of order r. Given $e \in E$, the second cell of the form (e', e') with $e' \in E$ we meet in the list $L_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}(e, e)$ is reached after the following moves:

(1) from (e, e) we move backward into the diagonal D_1 with steps of length k until we reach a cell of the form $(e_i + k, e_i + k)$ with $e_i \in E$;

- (2) from $S_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}(e_i + k, e_i + k) = (e_i + (k 1), e_i)$ we move forward into the diagonal D_k with steps of length 1 until we reach the cell $(e_{i+1} 1 + (k 1), e_{i+1} 1)$, where the indices are considered modulo r (as for the rest of this proof);
- (3) from $S_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{C}}(e_{i+1}-1+(k-1),e_{i+1}-1) = (e_{i+1}+(k-4),e_{i+1})$ we move forward into the diagonal D_{k-3} with steps of length 1 until we reach the cell $(e_{i+2}-1+(k-4),e_{i+2}-1)$; we reiterate this procedure into the diagonals $D_{k-5},D_{k-7},\ldots,D_4$;
- (4) since k is odd, we arrive to the cell (e_{i+(k-3)/2}+1, e_{i+(k-3)/2}) ∈ D₂ from which we move forward with steps of length 1 until we reach the cell (e_{i+(k-1)/2}, e_{i+(k-1)/2}-1);
- (5) from S_{R,C}(e_{i+(k-1)/2}, e_{i+(k-1)/2}-1) = (e_{i+(k-1)/2}+k, e_{i+(k-1)/2}) we move forward into the diagonal D_{k+1} with steps of length 1 until we reach the cell (e_{i+(k+1)/2} 1 + k, e_{i+(k+1)/2} 1); we reiterate this procedure into the diagonals D_{k-1} (here with steps of length 2), D_{k-4}, ..., D₃;
- (6) since k is odd, we arrive to the cell (e_{i+(k-1)}, e_{i+(k-1)}) ∈ D₁ that is the second one of the form (e', e') ∈ D₁ with e' ∈ E we meet in the list L_{R,C}(e, e).

We denote by γ the cyclic permutation of the elements of E defined by (e_1, \ldots, e_r) . We note that since the distances between elements of E are multiples of k, in the first step of the above procedure we apply the permutation γ^{-1} . Then, from the previous discussion, it follows that $\omega_{\mathcal{C}} = \gamma^{k-1} \circ \gamma^{-1} = \gamma^{k-2}$. Since r is coprime with k-2 and γ is a cycle of order r, then $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ is also a cycle of order r and hence condition (2) of Lemma 4.3 is satisfied.

Remark 4.5. We note that, if n is sufficiently large, in the proof of Proposition 4.4, the choice of r could also be done in the range $[\lambda \frac{n}{k}, \frac{n}{4k}]$ where λ is smaller than 1/4. In fact, if $|\frac{n}{4k} - \lambda \frac{n}{k}| \ge k - 2$, we can find r coprime with k - 2 also in this range. It follows that, given $\lambda < 1/4$, we can replace the exponent $\frac{n}{2k} \cdot H(1/4)$ of the previous proposition with $\frac{n}{2k} \cdot H(2\lambda)$. However, due to the complications in the notations, we believe it is better to write the statement in the "clearest" case.

Theorem 4.6. Let v = 2nk + 1, k = 4t + 3 and let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be a prime greater than 8k. Then the number of distinct circular k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v is, at least, of:

$$2(n-2)[\mathcal{H}(t-2)]^2 \binom{\lceil n/2k\rceil}{\lceil n/8k\rceil} \gtrsim \frac{[(t-2)!]^2 \sqrt{(4t+3)n}}{e^2 \sqrt{3\pi}} 2^{\frac{n}{2(4t+3)} \cdot H(1/4) + 3}$$

Also, for all such embeddings and all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_v$, τ_g is an orientation preserving automorphism.

Proof. We note that, if n is a prime, each array of the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,k} := \{A_i : i \in \mathcal{A}_{n,k}\}$ of globally simple H(n;k)s constructed in [6] satisfies (setting $\alpha = 2p + 2$) the hypotheses of Proposition 4.4. Therefore, for each array A_i of $\mathcal{F}_{n,k}$ the number of solutions of $P(A_i)$ is at least of:

$$2\binom{\lceil n/2k\rceil}{\lceil n/8k\rceil} \gtrsim \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{3\pi n}} 2^{\frac{n}{2k} \cdot H(1/4)+3}.$$

We also recall that, due to Theorem 4.1, the number of such arrays is at least of:

$$(n-2)[\mathcal{H}(t-2)]^2 \approx (n-2)[(t-2)!/e]^2.$$

Now we note that, given n and k, these arrays have all the same entries and skeleton, and coincide in at least 5 diagonals. Therefore, because of Corollary 2.19, however we take $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ and a solution of $P(A_i)$, we determine a different embedding.

It follows that the number of distinct circular k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v is, at least, of:

$$2(n-2)[\mathcal{H}(t-2)]^2 \binom{\lceil n/2k\rceil}{\lceil n/8k\rceil} \gtrsim \frac{[(t-2)!]^2 \sqrt{kn}}{e^2 \sqrt{3\pi}} 2^{\frac{n}{2k} \cdot H(1/4) + 3}.$$

By Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 4.6, it follows that:

Theorem 4.7. Let v = 2nk+1, k = 4t+3 and let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be a prime greater than 8k. Then the number of non-isomorphic circular k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v is, at least, of:

$$\frac{(n-2)}{(2nk)^2} [\mathcal{H}(t-2)]^2 {\binom{[n/2k]}{\lceil n/8k\rceil}} \approx \frac{[(t-2)!]^2}{e^2 \sqrt{3\pi (n(4t+3))^3}} 2^{\frac{n}{2(4t+3)} \cdot H(1/4)} \\ \approx k^{\frac{k}{2} + o(k)} \cdot 2^{v \cdot \frac{H(1/4)}{(2k)^2} + o(v)}.$$

Proposition 4.8. Let k be an odd integer, $s_1 \ge 1$, and let A be a k-diagonal Heffter array H(n; k) whose filled diagonals are $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_i, D_{i+s_1}, D_{i+s_1+2}, D_{i+s_1+3}, \ldots, D_{k+s_1}$. Assuming that $gcd(n, 2) = gcd(n, s_1) = gcd(n, k + s_1 - 1) = 1$, the number of distinct solutions of P(A) is at least of $2\binom{n}{2}$.

Proof. Let us consider a subset $E = (e_1, e_2)$ where $e_1 < e_2$ of [1, n]. Hence in order to obtain the thesis, it suffices to prove that, set $\mathcal{R} = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_E \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ whose -1 are in positions $E = (e_1, e_2)$, $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}_E)$ is a solution for P(A). Indeed, due to Lemma 2.14, the number of distinct solutions of P(A) would be, at least, of $2\binom{n}{2}$. Since n is coprime with 2, s_1 and $k + s_1 - 1$, condition (1) of Lemma 4.3 is satisfied. We need to check that also condition (2) holds. Defined $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ and γ as in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we obtain that, also here, $\omega_{\mathcal{C}} = \gamma^{k-2}$. Since γ is a cycle of order 2 and k - 2 is odd, $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ is also a cycle of order 2. Hence condition (2) of Lemma 4.3 is satisfied. \Box

Theorem 4.9. Let v = 2nk + 1, k = 4t + 3 and let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be such that $n \ge (7k + 1)/3$. Moreover, if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, we also assume that $k \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$. Then the number of distinct circular k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v is, at least, of:

$$2(n-2)\binom{n}{2}[\mathcal{H}(t-2)]^2 \approx \frac{n^3[(t-2)!]^2}{e^2}.$$

Also, for all such embeddings and all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_v$, τ_g is an orientation preserving automorphism.

Proof. The thesis follows from Proposition 4.8 reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.6.

From Proposition 3.4, it follows that:

Theorem 4.10. Let v = 2nk + 1, k = 4t + 3 and let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be such that $n \ge (7k + 1)/3$. Moreover, if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, we also assume that $k \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$. Then the number of non-isomorphic circular k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of K_v is, at least, of:

$$\frac{n-2}{(2nk)^2} \binom{n}{2} [\mathcal{H}(t-2)]^2 \approx \frac{n[(t-2)!]^2}{8((4t+3)e)^2} \approx v \cdot k^{k/2+o(k)}.$$

5 Embeddings from cyclically k-diagonal Heffter arrays

We note that the bounds obtained in Theorems 4.7 and 4.10 grow more than exponentially in k but they have some restrictions on the considered values of n. Furthermore, they grow exponentially in n only when n is a prime. For this reason, in this section, we will provide lower bounds that grow exponentially in n on the number of k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings not only of complete graphs but also of complete multipartite graphs.

First of all, we need to recall the following existence result reported in [31] (see Corollaries 3.4 and 3.6) on cyclically k-diagonal Heffter arrays.

Lemma 5.1. Given $n \ge k \ge 3$, then there exists a cyclically k-diagonal Heffter array $H_t(n;k)$ in each of the following cases:

- (1) $t \in \{1, 2\}$ and $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ [2, 30];
- (2) $t \in \{1, 2\}, k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ [8, 13];
- (3) $t \in \{1, 2\}, k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ [2];
- (4) $t = k, k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ [9];
- (5) $t = k, k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ [9];
- (6) $t \in \{n, 2n\}, k = 3 and n is odd [11].$

Moreover, in [8] and in [11], it is also proved the following existence result on globally simple cyclically *k*-diagonal Heffter arrays.

Lemma 5.2. Given $n \ge k \ge 3$, then there exists a globally simple, cyclically k-diagonal Heffter array $H_t(n;k)$ in each of the following cases:

- (1) $t \in \{1, 2\}, k \in \{3, 5, 7, 9\}$ and $nk \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$;
- (2) $t = k, k \in \{3, 5, 7, 9\}$ and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$;
- (3) $t \in \{n, 2n\}, k = 3 and n is odd.$

The goal will be now to find an exponential family of solutions of P(A) where A is one of those arrays and then to proceed by using the following remark.

Remark 5.3. Let us assume we have M distinct solutions of P(A) where A is a given (globally simple) cyclically k-diagonal Heffter array $H_t(n;k)$ and k is an odd integer. In this case we may assume, without loss of generality, that the filled diagonals are D_1 ,

 $D_2, \ldots, D_{(k+1)/2}$ and $D_n, D_{n-1}, \ldots, D_{n-(k-3)/2}$. Then, if we consider A^t , we have that $skel(A) = skel(A^t)$ and hence any solution of P(A) is also a solution of $P(A^t)$. Moreover, A and A^t coincide on D_1 and $\mathcal{E}(A) = \mathcal{E}(A^t)$. Therefore, due to Corollary 2.19, there are at least 2M distinct \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+t} -regular (circular) k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{t} \times t}$. Then, because of Proposition 3.4, the number of non-isomorphic (circular) k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{t} \times t}$ is, at least, of $\frac{M}{(2nk)^2}$.

For a cyclically k-diagonal array A, we recall a characterization, provided in [7], of the solutions of P(A) that have vector $\mathcal{R} = (1, ..., 1)$.

We consider here a cyclically k-diagonal array of size n > k and vectors $\mathcal{R} = (1, \ldots, 1)$ and $\mathcal{C} \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, whose -1 are in positions $E = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ where $e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_r$. We note that, given $e \in E$, there exists a minimum $m \ge 1$ such that $e - m(k - 1) \equiv e''$ (mod n) for some $e'' \in E$. We define the permutation $\omega_{1,\mathcal{C}}$ on E as $\omega_{1,\mathcal{C}}(e) = e''$. Finally we define the permutation $\omega_{2,\mathcal{C}}$ on $E = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ as $\omega_{2,\mathcal{C}}(e_i) = e_{i+(k-1)}$ where the indices are considered modulo r. Then, in [7], it is proven that:

Lemma 5.4. Let $k \ge 3$ be an odd integer and let A be a cyclically k-diagonal array of size n > k. Then the vectors $\mathcal{R} := (1, ..., 1)$ and $\mathcal{C} \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, whose -1 are in positions $E = (e_1, ..., e_r)$ where $e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_r$, are a solution of P(A) if and only if:

- (1) the list E covers all the congruence classes modulo d, where d = gcd(n, k 1);
- (2) the permutation $\omega_{2,C} \circ \omega_{1,C}$ on E is a cycle of order r = |E|.

Proposition 5.5. Let A be a cyclically 3-diagonal Heffter array $H_t(n; 3)$ where $n \ge 3$ is an odd integer. Then the number of distinct solutions of P(A) is, at least, of $2^{\frac{1}{2}n+2}$.

Proof. Let us consider a subset $E = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ of [1, n] where the elements e_1, \ldots, e_r are odd integers such that $e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_r$.

We note that the set O of odd elements in [1, n] has cardinality $\frac{n+1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}n$. The number of subsets of O is then at least of $2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$. It follows that the number of possible choices for E is, at least, of $2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$. Hence, in order to obtain the thesis, it suffices to prove that, set $\mathcal{R} = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_E \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ whose -1 are in positions $E = (e_1, ..., e_r)$, $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}_E)$ is a solution for P(A). Indeed, due to Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15, the number of distinct solutions of P(A) would be, at least, of $2^{\frac{1}{2}n+2}$.

Here we denote by γ the cyclic permutation of the elements of E defined by (e_1, \ldots, e_r) . In this case, since k - 1 = 2 we have that $\omega_{2,C} = \gamma^2$. Similarly, since the elements of E are all odd integers, $\omega_{1,C} = \gamma^{-1}$. It follows that $\omega_{2,C} \circ \omega_{1,C} = \gamma$. Since n is odd, we also have that $d = \gcd(n, 2) = 1$ and hence both the conditions of Lemma 5.4 are satisfied and $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}_E)$ is a solution of P(A).

We can also provide a similar construction for arbitrary odd k. In this case, we still obtain an exponential lower bound to the number of solutions of P(A) but, here, if we consider k = 3, the exponent is worse than that of Proposition 5.5.

Proposition 5.6. Let A be a cyclically k-diagonal Heffter array $H_t(n; k)$ where $n \ge 4k-3$ and k are odd integers such that gcd(n, k - 1) = 1. Then the number of distinct solutions of P(A) is, at least, of

$$4\binom{\lceil n/(k-1)\rceil}{\lceil n/(4k-4)\rceil} \gtrsim \sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{3n\pi}} 2^{\frac{n}{k-1} \cdot H(1/4)+3}.$$

Proof. Let us consider a subset $E = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ of [1, n], where $e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_r$, that satisfies the following properties:

- (1) the elements e_1, \ldots, e_r of E are integers equivalent to 1 modulo k 1;
- (2) r = |E| is an integer coprime with k 2.

A set E with such properties can be constructed as follows. Let r be a prime in the range $\left[\frac{n}{4(k-1)}, \frac{n}{2(k-1)}\right]$ that exists because of Bertrand's postulate. Then we choose r elements e_1, \ldots, e_r among the $\left\lceil n/(k-1) \right\rceil$ integers equivalent to 1 modulo k-1 contained in [1, n]. The number of such choices is at least of

$$\binom{\lceil n/(k-1)\rceil}{r} \ge \binom{\lceil n/(k-1)\rceil}{\lceil n/(4k-4)\rceil}.$$

Note that, due to the approximation for the binomial coefficients, see Equation (4.2), this number can be so approximated

$$\binom{\lceil n/(k-1)\rceil}{\lceil n/(4k-4)\rceil} \gtrsim \sqrt{\frac{8(k-1)}{3n\pi}} 2^{\frac{n}{k-1} \cdot H(1/4)}$$

Hence, also here, in order to obtain the thesis, it suffices to prove that, set $\mathcal{R} = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_E \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ whose -1 are in positions $E = (e_1, ..., e_r)$, $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}_E)$ is a solution for P(A). Indeed, due to Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15, the number of distinct solutions of P(A) would be, at least, of

$$4 \binom{\lceil n/(k-1)\rceil}{\lceil n/(4k-4)\rceil} \gtrsim \sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{3n\pi}} 2^{\frac{n}{k-1} \cdot H(1/4) + 3}$$

Now we proceed as in the proof of Proposition 5.5. We denote by γ the cyclic permutation of the elements of E defined by (e_1, \ldots, e_r) . In this case we have that $\omega_{2,C} = \gamma^{k-1}$. Similarly, since the elements of E are all integers equivalent to 1 modulo k-1, $\omega_{1,C} = \gamma^{-1}$. It follows that $\omega_{2,C} \circ \omega_{1,C} = \gamma^{k-2}$ which is a cyclic permutation on E of order r because r is coprime with k-2. Since we have assumed that $d = \gcd(n, k-1) = 1$, both the conditions of Lemma 5.4 are satisfied and hence $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}_E)$ is a solution of P(A).

Remark 5.7. As already noted in Remark 4.5, also here, if *n* is sufficiently large and given $\lambda < 1/2$, we can replace the exponent $\frac{n}{k-1} \cdot H(1/4)$ of the previous proposition with $\frac{n}{k-1} \cdot H(\lambda)$. However, also in this case, we believe it is better to write the statement in the "clearest" case.

Proposition 5.8. Let A be a cyclically 7-diagonal Heffter array $H_t(n;7)$ where n > 120 is an odd integer. Then the number of distinct solutions of P(A) is, at least, of

$$4\binom{\lfloor n/6 \rfloor}{\lfloor n/24 \rfloor} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}} 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \rfloor \cdot H(1/4) + 4}.$$

Proof. We divide the proof in two cases. If gcd(n, 6) = 1, the thesis follows from Proposition 5.6. In fact, in this case, the number of distinct solutions of P(A) is, at least, of

$$4\binom{\lceil n/6\rceil}{\lceil n/24\rceil} \ge 4\binom{\lfloor n/6\rfloor}{\lfloor n/24\rfloor}.$$

Otherwise, we have that gcd(n, 6) = 3. In this case we consider a subset $E = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ of [1, n], where $e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_r$, that satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $e_1 = 1$ and $e_2 = 2$;
- (2) the elements e_3, \ldots, e_r of E are integers equivalent to 3 modulo 6;
- (3) r = |E| is equivalent to 4 modulo 5.

We note that the number of integers equivalent to 3 modulo 6 in [1, n] is $\left|\frac{n+3}{6}\right| \geq \left|\frac{n}{6}\right|$. Now, we fix $r \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ in $\left[\frac{n}{24}, \frac{n}{12}\right]$. Then the number of possible choices for a set E of cardinality r among the integers equivalent to 3 modulo 6 is, at least, of

$$\binom{\lfloor n/6 \rfloor}{\lceil n/24 \rceil} \ge \binom{\lfloor n/6 \rfloor}{\lfloor n/24 \rfloor} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}} 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \rfloor \cdot H(1/4) + 2}$$

As usual, we denote by γ the cyclic permutation of the elements of E defined by (e_1,\ldots,e_r) . Here we have that $\omega_{2,\mathcal{C}} = \gamma^6$ and that, for $x \notin \{e_1,e_2,e_3\}, \omega_{1,\mathcal{C}} = \gamma^{-1}$. It follows that, if $x \notin \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}, \omega_{2,\mathcal{C}} \circ \omega_{1,\mathcal{C}} = \gamma^5$, that is $\omega_{2,\mathcal{C}} \circ \omega_{1,\mathcal{C}}(e_i) = e_{i+5}$ for $i \notin \{1, 2, 3\}$ and where the indices are considered modulo r. Due to the definition, we also have that $\omega_{1,\mathcal{C}}(e_1) = e_1$, $\omega_{1,\mathcal{C}}(e_2) = e_2$ and $\omega_{1,\mathcal{C}}(e_3) = e_r$. It means that $\omega_{2,\mathcal{C}} \circ \omega_{1,\mathcal{C}}(e_1) = \gamma^6(e_1) = e_7, \\ \omega_{2,\mathcal{C}} \circ \omega_{1,\mathcal{C}}(e_2) = \gamma^6(e_2) = e_8 \text{ and } \\ \omega_{2,\mathcal{C}} \circ \omega_{1,\mathcal{C}}(e_3) = e_6.$

Since $r \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, we have that:

$$\gamma^{5} = (e_{1}, e_{6}, e_{6+5}, \dots, e_{r-3}, e_{2}, e_{7}, \dots, e_{r-2}, e_{3}, e_{3+5}, \dots, e_{r-1}, e_{4}, \dots, e_{r}, e_{5}, \dots, e_{r-4}).$$

It follows that $\omega_{2,\mathcal{C}} \circ \omega_{1,\mathcal{C}}$ is the cycle of order r given by:

$$(e_1, e_7, \ldots, e_{r-2}, e_3, e_6, e_{6+5}, \ldots, e_{r-3}, e_2, e_8, \ldots, e_{r-1}, e_4, \ldots, e_r, e_5, \ldots, e_{r-4}).$$

Moreover, since E covers all the congruence classes modulo 3 in [1, n], both the conditions of Lemma 5.4 are satisfied and $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}_E)$ is a solution of P(A). Finally, the thesis follows because, due to Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15, from each such solution $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}_E)$ we obtain four different solutions of P(A).

Theorem 5.9. Let $n \ge 3$ and t be such that either $t \in \{1, 2\}$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or t = 3and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ or $t \in \{n, 2n\}$ and n is odd. Then, set v = 6n + t, the number of non-isomorphic circular 3-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of $K_{\frac{6n+t}{4} \times t}$ is, at least, of:

 $\frac{2^{n/2}}{9n^2} \approx \begin{cases} 2^{\nu/12+o(\nu)} \text{ if } t \in \{1,2,3\};\\ 2^{\nu/14+o(\nu)} \text{ if } t = n;\\ 2^{\nu/16+o(\nu)} \text{ if } t = 2n. \end{cases}$

Proof. For these sets of parameters n and t, Lemma 5.2 assures the existence of a cyclically k-diagonal $H_t(n; 3)$, say A. Then, due to Proposition 5.5, the problem P(A) admits at least $2^{n/2+2}$ solutions. The thesis follows from Remark 5.3.

Remark 5.10. If t = 1, namely if we are considering the complete graph K_{6n+1} , the lower bound of Theorem 5.9 is surely worse than the ones already obtained in the literature (see [3, 15, 16, 18, 19]). On the other hand, we want to underline that our result is still exponential in v and holds also for other values of t.

Theorem 5.11. Let $k \in \{5, 7, 9\}$, let $n \ge 120$ and t be such that either $t \in \{1, 2\}$ and $nk \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ or t = k and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then, set v = 2nk + t, the number of non-isomorphic circular k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{t} \times t}$ is, at least, of:

$$\frac{\binom{\lfloor n/(k-1) \rfloor}{\lfloor n/(4k-4) \rfloor}}{(nk)^2} \gtrsim \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{3n\pi}} 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k-1} \rfloor \cdot H(1/4) + 1}}{(nk)^2} \approx 2^{v \cdot \frac{H(1/4)}{2k(k-1)} + o(v,k)}.$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 5.9 by using Propositions 5.6 and 5.8 instead of Proposition 5.5. \Box

Theorem 5.12. Let k > 9 be odd, let $n \ge 4k - 3$ and t be such that either $t \in \{1, 2\}$ and $nk \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ or t = k and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Assume also that gcd(n, k - 1) = 1 and set v = 2nk + t. Then the number of non-isomorphic, non necessarily circular, k-gonal face-2-colourable embeddings of $K_{\underline{2nk+t} \times t}$ is, at least, of:

$$\frac{\binom{\lceil n/(k-1)\rceil}{\lceil n/(4k-4)\rceil}}{(nk)^2} \gtrsim \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{3n\pi}}2^{\frac{n}{k-1}\cdot H(1/4)+1}}{(nk)^2} \approx 2^{v \cdot \frac{H(1/4)}{2k(k-1)} + o(v,k)}.$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 5.9 by using Lemma 5.1 instead of Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.6 instead of Proposition 5.5. \Box

ORCID iDs

Simone Costa D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3880-6299 Anita Pasotti D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3569-2954

References

- D. S. Archdeacon, Heffter arrays and biembedding graphs on surfaces, *Electron. J. Comb.* 22 (2015), Paper 1.74, 14 pp., doi:10.37236/4874, https://doi.org/10.37236/4874.
- [2] D. S. Archdeacon, J. H. Dinitz, D. M. Donovan and E. Ş. Yazıcı, Square integer Heffter arrays with empty cells, *Des. Codes, Cryptogr.* 77 (2015), 409–426, doi:10.1007/s10623-015-0076-4, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-015-0076-4.
- [3] C. P. Bonnington, M. J. Grannell, T. S. Griggs and J. Širáň, Exponential families of nonisomorphic triangulations of complete graphs, J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 78 (2000), 169–184, doi:10.1006/jctb.1999.1939, https://doi.org/10.1006/jctb.1999.1939.
- [4] K. Burrage, D. M. Donovan, N. J. Cavenagh and E. Ş. Yazıcı, Globally simple Heffter arrays H(n; k) when k ≡ 0, 3 (mod 4), Discrete Math. 343 (2020), 111787, 17 pp., doi:10.1016/j. disc.2019.111787, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2019.111787.
- [5] N. J. Cavenagh, J. H. Dinitz, D. M. Donovan and E. Ş. Yazıcı, The existence of square noninteger Heffter arrays, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 17 (2019), 369–395, doi:10.26493/1855-3974.
 1817.b97, https://doi.org/10.26493/1855-3974.1817.b97.
- [6] N. J. Cavenagh, D. M. Donovan and E. Ş. Yazıcı, Biembeddings of cycle systems using integer Heffter arrays, J. Comb. Des. 28 (2020), 900–922, doi:10.1002/jcd.21753, https://doi. org/10.1002/jcd.21753.
- [7] S. Costa, M. Dalai and A. Pasotti, A tour problem on a toroidal board, *Australas. J. Comb.* 76 (2020), 183–207, https://ajc.maths.uq.edu.au/?page=get_volumes& volume=76.

- [8] S. Costa, F. Morini, A. Pasotti and M. A. Pellegrini, Globally simple Heffter arrays and orthogonal cyclic cycle decompositions, *Australas. J. Comb.* 72 (2018), 549–593, https: //ajc.maths.uq.edu.au/?page=get_volumes&volume=72.
- [9] S. Costa, F. Morini, A. Pasotti and M. A. Pellegrini, A generalization of Heffter arrays, J. Comb. Des. 28 (2020), 171–206, doi:10.1002/jcd.21684, https://doi.org/10.1002/ jcd.21684.
- [10] S. Costa and A. Pasotti, On λ-fold relative Heffter arrays and biembedding multigraphs on surfaces, *Eur. J. Comb.* 97 (2021), Paper No. 103370, 21 pp., doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2021.103370, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2021.103370.
- [11] S. Costa, A. Pasotti and M. A. Pellegrini, Relative Heffter arrays and biembeddings, Ars Math. Contemp. 18 (2020), 241–271, doi:10.26493/1855-3974.2110.6f2, https://doi. org/10.26493/1855-3974.2110.6f2.
- [12] J. H. Dinitz and A. R. W. Mattern, Biembedding Steiner triple systems and n-cycle systems on orientable surfaces, Australas. J. Comb. 67 (2017), 327–344, https://ajc.maths.uq. edu.au/?page=get_volumes&volume=67.
- [13] J. H. Dinitz and I. M. Wanless, The existence of square integer Heffter arrays, Ars Math. Contemp. 13 (2017), 81–93, doi:10.26493/1855-3974.1121.fbf, https://doi.org/10. 26493/1855-3974.1121.fbf.
- [14] M. J. Grannell and T. S. Griggs, Designs and topology, in: A. Hilton and J. Talbot (eds.), *Surveys in Combinatorics 2007*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, volume 346 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pp. 121–174, 2007, doi:10.1017/CBO9780511666209.006, https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511666209.006.
- [15] M. J. Grannell and T. S. Griggs, A lower bound for the number of triangular embeddings of some complete graphs and complete regular tripartite graphs, J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 98 (2008), 637–650, doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2007.10.002, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jctb.2007.10.002.
- [16] M. J. Grannell, T. S. Griggs and J. Širáň, Recursive constructions for triangulations, J. Graph Theory 39 (2002), 87–107, doi:10.1002/jgt.10014, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt. 10014.
- [17] M. J. Grannell, T. S. Griggs and J. Širáň, Hamiltonian embeddings from triangulations, Bull. London Math. Soc. 39 (2007), 447–452, doi:10.1112/blms/bdm021, https://doi.org/ 10.1112/blms/bdm021.
- [18] M. J. Grannell and M. Knor, A lower bound for the number of orientable triangular embeddings of some complete graphs, J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 100 (2010), 216–225, doi:10.1016/j.jctb. 2009.08.001, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jctb.2009.08.001.
- [19] M. J. Grannell and M. Knor, On the number of triangular embeddings of complete graphs and complete tripartite graphs, J. Graph Theory 69 (2012), 370–382, doi:10.1002/jgt.20590, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.20590.
- [20] J. L. Gross and T. W. Tucker, *Topological Graph Theory*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987.
- [21] V. P. Korzhik, Exponentially many nonisomorphic genus embeddings of K_{n,m}, Discrete Math. 310 (2010), 2919–2924, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2010.06.038, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2010.06.038.
- [22] V. P. Korzhik, Generating nonisomorphic quadrangular embeddings of a complete graph, J. Graph Theory 74 (2013), 133-142, doi:10.1002/jgt.21697, https://doi.org/10. 1002/jgt.21697.

- [23] V. P. Korzhik, A simple construction of exponentially many nonisomorphic orientable triangular embeddings of K_{12s}, Art Discrete Appl. Math. 4 (2021), Paper No. 1.07, 12 pp., doi:10. 26493/2590-9770.1387.a84, https://doi.org/10.26493/2590-9770.1387.a84.
- [24] V. P. Korzhik and H.-J. Voss, On the number of nonisomorphic orientable regular embeddings of complete graphs, J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 81 (2001), 58–76, doi:10.1006/jctb.2000.1993, https://doi.org/10.1006/jctb.2000.1993.
- [25] V. P. Korzhik and H.-J. Voss, Exponential families of non-isomorphic non-triangular orientable genus embeddings of complete graphs, J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 86 (2002), 186–211, doi:10. 1006/jctb.2002.2122, https://doi.org/10.1006/jctb.2002.2122.
- [26] S. Lawrencenko, S. Negami and A. T. White, Three nonisomorphic triangulations of an orientable surface with the same complete graph, *Discrete Math.* 135 (1994), 367–369, doi:10.1016/0012-365X(94)00225-8, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(94) 00225-8.
- [27] L. Mella, On the crazy knight's tour problem, 2023, arXiv:2311.09054 [math.CO].
- [28] B. Mohar, Combinatorial local planarity and the width of graph embeddings, *Can. J. Math.* 44 (1992), 1272–1288, doi:10.4153/CJM-1992-076-8, https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1992-076-8.
- [29] B. Mohar and C. Thomassen, *Graphs on Surfaces*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 2001, doi:10.56021/9780801866890, https://doi.org/10.56021/ 9780801866890.
- [30] F. Morini and M. A. Pellegrini, On the existence of integer relative Heffter arrays, *Discrete Math.* 343 (2020), 112088, 22 pp., doi:10.1016/j.disc.2020.112088, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2020.112088.
- [31] F. Morini and M. A. Pellegrini, Rectangular Heffter arrays: a reduction theorem, *Discrete Math.* 345 (2022), Paper No. 113073, 17 pp., doi:10.1016/j.disc.2022.113073, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2022.113073.
- [32] A. Pasotti and J. H. Dinitz, A survey of Heffter arrays, in: New advances in designs, codes and cryptography, Springer, Cham, volume 86 of Fields Inst. Commun., pp. 353–392, [2024] @2024, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-48679-1_20, https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-3-031-48679-1_20.
- [33] G. Ringel, Map Color Theorem, volume 209 of Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, Berlin, 1974, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-65759-7, https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-642-65759-7.
- [34] J. Širáň, Graph embeddings and designs, in: C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz (eds.), *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, Discrete Math. Appl., pp. 486–489, 2nd edition, 2007.