

Unicyclic graphs with the maximal value of Graovac-Pisanski index*

Martin Knor

*Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Faculty of Civil Engineering,
Department of Mathematics, Bratislava, Slovakia*

Jozef Komorník

*Faculty of Management, Comenius University,
Odbojárov 10, P.O. Box 95, Bratislava, Slovakia*

Riste Škrekovski

*Faculty of Information Studies, Novo mesto and
FMF, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia*

Aleksandra Tepeh

*Faculty of Information Studies, Novo mesto and
Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Maribor, Slovenia*

Received 31 January 2019, accepted 13 May 2019, published online 8 November 2019

Abstract

Let G be a graph and let Γ be its group of automorphisms. Graovac-Pisanski index of G is $GP(G) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2|\Gamma|} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} d(u, \alpha(u))$, where $d(u, v)$ is the distance from u to v in G . One can observe that $GP(G) = 0$ if G has no nontrivial automorphisms, but it is not known which graphs attain the maximum value of Graovac-Pisanski index. In this paper we show that among unicyclic graphs on n vertices the n -cycle attains the maximum value of Graovac-Pisanski index.

Keywords: Graovac-Pisanski index, modified Wiener index, unicyclic graphs.

Math. Subj. Class.: 05C25

*The first author acknowledges partial support by Slovak research grants VEGA 1/0142/17, VEGA 1/0238/19, APVV-15-0220 and APVV-17-0428. The research was partially supported also by Slovenian research agency ARRS, program no. P1-00383, projects no. L1-4291 and J1-1692.

E-mail addresses: knor@math.sk (Martin Knor), jozef.komornik@fm.uniba.sk (Jozef Komorník), skrekovski@gmail.com (Riste Škrekovski), aleksandra.tepeh@gmail.com (Aleksandra Tepeh)

1 Introduction

Wiener index, the sum of distances in a graph, is an important molecular descriptor. It was introduced by Wiener in 1949, see [18], and since then many other molecular descriptor have appeared. One of them is the Graovac-Pisanski index [8], originally known as the modified Wiener index. With this index an algebraic approach for generalizing the Wiener index was presented. Namely, as the Wiener index also the Graovac-Pisanski index is based on distances but its advantage is in considering also the symmetries of a graph, and it is known that symmetries of a molecule have an influence on its properties [14].

In his pioneering paper, Wiener showed a correlation of the Wiener index of alkanes with their boiling points [18]. It turns out that the Graovac-Pisanski index combines the symmetry and topology of molecules to obtain a good correlation with some physico-chemical properties of molecules. Recently, Črepnjak et al. showed that the Graovac-Pisanski index of some hydrocarbon molecules is correlated with their melting points [6].

This index also drew attention from theoretical point of view. Researchers are interested in the difference between the Wiener and Graovac-Pisanski index. This difference was computed in [9] for some families of polyhedral graphs. The Graovac-Pisanski index of nanostructures was studied in [1, 2, 15, 16, 17] and for some classes of fullerenes and fullerene-like molecules in [3, 11, 12]. In [13] the symmetry groups and Graovac-Pisanski index of some linear polymers were computed. Upper and lower bounds for Graovac-Pisanski index were considered in [11]. In [7] and [16] Graovac-Pisanski index was further considered from computational point of view. Exact formulae for the Graovac-Pisanski index for some graph operations are presented in [4]. Recently it was proved that for any connected bipartite graph, as well as for any connected graph on even number of vertices, the Graovac-Pisanski index is an integer number [5].

Let G be a connected graph. The *Graovac-Pisanski index* of G is defined as

$$\text{GP}(G) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2|\text{Aut}(G)|} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)} \text{dist}_G(u, \alpha(u)),$$

where $\text{Aut}(G)$ is the group of automorphisms of G and $\text{dist}_G(u, v)$ denotes the distance from u to v in G . However, in the paper we will use a result from [5] to compute this index. To explain the method we need some additional definitions. Let G be a graph, $u \in V(G)$ and $S \subseteq V(G)$. The *distance* of u in S , $w_S(u)$, is defined as

$$w_S(u) = \sum_{v \in S} \text{dist}_G(u, v).$$

The group of automorphisms of G partitions $V(G)$ into orbits. We say that $u, v \in V(G)$ belong to the same *orbit* if there is an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $\alpha(u) = v$. Let V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t be all the orbits of $\text{Aut}(G)$ in G . Moreover, for every i , $1 \leq i \leq t$, let $v_i \in V_i$. That is, v_i 's are the representatives of V_i 's. It was shown in [5] that

$$\text{GP}(G) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \sum_{i=1}^t w_{V_i}(v_i). \quad (1.1)$$

By (1.1), if a graph has no nontrivial automorphisms, that is if all its orbits consist of single vertices, then its Graovac-Pisanski index is 0. Hence, all graphs with no nontrivial automorphisms achieve the minimum value of Graovac-Pisanski index. More interesting is the opposite problem.

Problem 1.1. Find all graphs on n vertices with the maximum value of Graovac-Pisanski index.

This problem was solved for trees in [10]. By a long H on n vertices we denote a tree obtained from a path on $n - 4$ vertices by attaching two pendent vertices to each endvertex of the path.

Theorem 1.2. *Let T be a tree on $n \geq 8$ vertices with the maximum value of Graovac-Pisanski index. Then T is either a path or a long H . Moreover,*

$$\text{GP}(T) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^3-n}{8} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{n^3}{8} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

For $n \leq 7$ there are also three other trees with the maximum value of Graovac-Pisanski index. However, they have the value of Graovac-Pisanski index as stated in Theorem 1.2.

In this paper we prove the following statement.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a unicyclic graph on n vertices with the maximum value of Graovac-Pisanski index. Then G is the n -cycle and*

$$\text{GP}(C_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^3-n}{8} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{n^3}{8} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that Graovac-Pisanski index for extremal trees and for extremal unicyclic graphs has the same value. We believe the following holds.

Conjecture 1.4. *Let G be a graph on n vertices, $n \geq 8$, with the maximum value of Graovac-Pisanski index. Then G is either a path, or a long H , or a cycle.*

To support this conjecture we performed some computer experiments. They showed the validity of the conjecture for $n = 8$ and $n = 9$. We believe that the maximal degree of extremal graphs is small (at most 3), thus for the cases $n = 10$ and $n = 11$ we limited our computer search to maximal degrees 5 and 4, respectively, and in these cases the conjecture was confirmed as well. The graphs from the conjecture are extremal also for $n \in \{5, 6, 7\}$, however when n equals 7 there exists an additional extremal graph.

2 Proof

In this section we prove several claims which imply Theorem 1.3. Obviously, if we consider graphs of order n , we do not need to consider the multiplicative term $\frac{n}{2}$ in (1.1). Therefore we define

$$\text{GPa}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^t w_{V_i}(v_i), \quad (2.1)$$

where V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t are all the orbits of $\text{Aut}(G)$ in G and v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t are their representatives, respectively. Then for given n , graphs on n vertices with the maximum value of GPa are the solutions of Problem 1.1.

For a cycle on n vertices, $\text{GPa}(C_n) = w_V(v)$ where v is an arbitrary vertex of C_n and $V = V(C_n)$. This implies the following statement.

Proposition 2.1. *We have*

$$\text{GPa}(C_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^2-1}{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{n^2}{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

In what follows we generalize the GPa-parameter. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_{t_{\mathcal{Z}}}\}$ be a partition of $V(G)$ and let $z_i \in Z_i$, $1 \leq i \leq t_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Then

$$\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{t_{\mathcal{Z}}} w_{Z_i}(z_i).$$

In our proofs, sets Z_i will usually be unions of orbits of $\text{Aut}(G)$. Nevertheless, $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}}(G)$ will depend on the choice of the representatives z_i .

To prove Theorem 1.3 we start with $\text{GPa}(G)$, where G is an extremal unicyclic graph on n vertices different from the n -cycle. Then in a sequence of steps we modify either the graph or the partition and in each step we obtain a larger value of $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. Since we terminate this process with C_n and GPa, we get the result.

Hence, let G be a unicyclic graph on n vertices with the maximum value of Graovac-Pisanski index and such that G is not the n -cycle. Then G consists of a single cycle C and trees rooted at the vertices of the cycle. In what follows, orbits of vertices of C will be important.

We start with modifying the partition by merging together some orbits of vertices which have the same distance from C . We denote by \mathcal{X} the new partition of $V(G)$, while the original partition into orbits is denoted by \mathcal{V} . Let v be a vertex of C . If $\{v\}$ is a trivial orbit of $\text{Aut}(G)$, then orbits in the v -rooted tree form sets of the partition \mathcal{X} . But if $\{v\}$ is not a trivial orbit of $\text{Aut}(G)$, we do the following. Let O_v be the orbit of $\text{Aut}(G)$ containing v and let $O_v(G)$ be the set of vertices of u -rooted trees where $u \in O_v$. We partition the vertices of $O_v(G)$ according to their distance from C . Hence, O_v alone is one set of \mathcal{X} , another set of \mathcal{X} contains those vertices of $O_v(G)$ which are adjacent to a vertex of C , etc. We have the following statement.

Lemma 2.2. *For arbitrary choice of the representatives of sets in \mathcal{X} we have*

$$\text{GPa}(G) \leq \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}}(G).$$

Proof. Let X_i be a set from \mathcal{X} and let x_i be an arbitrary vertex of X_i . Observe that X_i is a union of several orbits of $\text{Aut}(G)$. Let V_0 be an orbit of $\text{Aut}(G)$ such that $V_0 \subseteq X_i$. Then $w_{V_0}(u)$ is the same for every $u \in V_0$. So let v_0 be a vertex of V_0 at the shortest distance from x_i . Then both x_i and v_0 are in the same tree rooted at a vertex of C . Assume that they are in a v -rooted tree T .

Let u be a vertex of V_0 . If u is not in T then $\text{dist}_G(x_i, u) = \text{dist}_G(v_0, u)$ since $\text{dist}_G(x_i, v) = \text{dist}_G(v_0, v)$. So let u be a vertex in T . Let z be a vertex on the (unique) (v_0, u) -path at the shortest distance from v . Since v_0 is a vertex of V_0 at the shortest distance from x_i , the shortest (x_i, u) -path must contain z . Thus $\text{dist}_G(v_0, u) \leq \text{dist}_G(x_i, u)$ and so $w_{V_0}(v_0) \leq w_{V_0}(x_i)$. Consequently, $\text{GPa}(G) \leq \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}}(G)$ as required. \square

Now we modify the graph G , and we consider a partition \mathcal{Y} of the vertex set of the modified graph inherited from the partition \mathcal{X} of G . So let v be a vertex of C . If $\{v\}$ is a

trivial orbit of $\text{Aut}(G)$ then we do not change the v -rooted tree, and its orbits form sets of the partition \mathcal{Y} . Hence, in this case the sets of \mathcal{Y} coincide with the sets of \mathcal{X} (and also with the orbits of \mathcal{V}). But if $\{v\}$ is not a trivial orbit of $\text{Aut}(G)$ then we change the v -rooted tree. If the v -rooted tree has p vertices in G then we replace it by a path on p vertices rooted at the endvertex, which we again denote by v . Denote by F the graph which results when all these replacements are made. Since we did not change the cycle, we denote the cycle of F again by C . Let O_v be the orbit of $\text{Aut}(G)$ containing v . By our assumption $|O_v| \geq 2$. Analogously as above, let $O_v(F)$ be the set of vertices of u -rooted trees where $u \in O_v$. Partition $O_v(F)$ into p disjoint sets of \mathcal{Y} according to their distance from C .

Observe that for every $Y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$ and for every two vertices $y_i^1, y_i^2 \in Y_i$ we have $w_{Y_i}(y_i^1) = w_{Y_i}(y_i^2)$. Hence when computing $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Y}}(F)$, we can choose the representatives y_i in Y_i arbitrarily. However, orbits of F may be strictly larger than the sets Y_i . This is caused by the fact that two non-isomorphic rooted trees may have the same numbers of vertices. Our next statement follows.

Lemma 2.3. *For arbitrary choice of representatives of sets in \mathcal{Y} we have*

$$\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}}(G) \leq \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Y}}(F).$$

Proof. Let H be a graph. A ray in H is a subgraph of H which is isomorphic to a path, its first vertex has degree at least 3 in H , its last vertex has degree 1 in H and all the other vertices have degree 2 in H .

We do not prove the inequality directly. Instead, we construct a sequence of graphs $G = G^0, G^1, \dots, G^q = F$, each G^i with a partition \mathcal{X}^i , such that

$$\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^i}(G^i) \leq \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^{i+1}}(G^{i+1})$$

for a special choice of representatives in \mathcal{X}^{i+1} , where $0 \leq i \leq q-1$, $\mathcal{X}^0 = \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}^q = \mathcal{Y}$. We remark that for every i , G^i will be a unicyclic graph with the cycle C such that if $O = \{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$ is an orbit of vertices of C in G , then all v_j -rooted trees in G^i are mutually isomorphic, $1 \leq j \leq t$. If $t = 1$ then the v_1 -rooted trees in G, G^1, \dots, F are mutually isomorphic and all $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}$ coincide on the vertex sets of these trees. However if $t \geq 2$, then the vertex set $O_{v_1}(G^i)$ of the v_j -rooted trees, $1 \leq j \leq t$, is partitioned in \mathcal{X}^i according to the distance from C , and we assume that all the representatives of these sets are in the v_1 -rooted tree. This assumption is possible since O is an orbit in G , and although O does not need to be an orbit of G^i , the vertices of O are nicely distributed along the cycle C in G^i .

So consider i , $0 \leq i < q$. We assume that G^i is already known and we construct G^{i+1} . For this, let $O = \{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$ be an orbit of vertices of C in G , where $t \geq 2$. If the v_1 -rooted tree (and so also v_j -rooted trees for $2 \leq j \leq t$) is a path rooted at the endvertex, then we are done with this orbit of G . So suppose that the v_1 -rooted tree has at least two endvertices different from v_1 , and consequently, at least two distinct rays starting at a common vertex. Let R_1 and R_2 be two rays starting at a vertex c such that $\text{dist}_{G^i}(v_1, c)$ is maximum possible. We assume that R_1 is not shorter than R_2 . If there is a representative x_j^i of X_j^i which is in R_2 , then replace it by a vertex of X_j^i in R_1 . Observe that this replacement does not change $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^i}(G^i)$. Now delete R_2 from the v_1 -rooted tree and attach it to the second vertex of R_1 . Moreover, repeat the same procedure in all the other v_j -rooted trees, $2 \leq j \leq t$, and denote by G^{i+1} the resulting graph. Denote by T^i and T^{i+1} the v_1 -rooted

tree in G^i and G^{i+1} , respectively. If R_1 and R_2 have the same length, then this operation may create a new set in \mathcal{X}^{i+1} , because T^i may have smaller depth than T^{i+1} . (As is the custom, by depth we denote the largest distance from the root.) In such a case choose a representative of this new set in R_2 . This is the unique case when a representative will be in R_2 in T^{i+1} .

Let $d = \text{dist}_{G^i}(v_1, c)$ and let ℓ be the length of R_2 . Assume that the indices of sets in \mathcal{X}^i and \mathcal{X}^{i+1} are chosen so that X_j^{i+1} in G^{i+1} was obtained from X_j^i in G^i and the representatives of X_j^i and X_j^{i+1} coincide whenever possible. Then $w_{X_j^i}(x_j^i)$ in G^i may differ from $w_{X_j^{i+1}}(x_j^{i+1})$ in G^{i+1} only if X_j^{i+1} contains vertices of v_k -rooted trees, $1 \leq k \leq t$, which are at distance $d+1, d+2, \dots, d+\ell+1$ from C . We distinguish three cases.

Case 1: X_j^{i+1} contains vertices at distance $d+1$ from C . Then in the v_1 -rooted tree, X_j^{i+1} is smaller than X_j^i by exactly one vertex. Consequently $|X_j^i| - |X_j^{i+1}| = t$. Comparing to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^i}(G^i)$, $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^{i+1}}(G^{i+1})$ is decreased by 2 due to a missing vertex in T^{i+1} and it is decreased by $(t-1)2(d+1)+c$ due to missing vertices in v_k -rooted trees $2 \leq k \leq t$. Here c represents the distances using the edges of C , that is $c = \sum_{k=2}^t \text{dist}_G(v_1, v_k)$. Hence,

$$w_{X_j^{i+1}}(x_j^{i+1}) - w_{X_j^i}(x_j^i) = -2 - (t-1)2(d+1) - c. \quad (2.2)$$

Case 2: X_j^{i+1} contains vertices at distance $d+a$ from C , where $2 \leq a \leq \ell$. Then $|X_j^{i+1}| = |X_j^i|$ and comparing to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^i}(G^i)$, $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^{i+1}}(G^{i+1})$, is decreased by 2 due to a shorter distance to a vertex of X_j^{i+1} in R_2 . Hence,

$$w_{X_j^{i+1}}(x_j^{i+1}) - w_{X_j^i}(x_j^i) = -2. \quad (2.3)$$

Case 3: X_j^{i+1} contains vertices at distance $d+\ell+1$ from C . Then in the v_1 -rooted tree, X_j^{i+1} is larger than X_j^i by exactly one vertex. Consequently $|X_j^{i+1}| - |X_j^i| = t$. Comparing to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^i}(G^i)$, $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^{i+1}}(G^{i+1})$ is increased by $(t-1)2(d+\ell+1)+c$ due to new vertices in v_k -rooted trees, $2 \leq k \leq t$. Here c is the very same constant as in Case 1, that is $c = \sum_{k=2}^t \text{dist}_G(v_1, v_k)$. In some cases, namely if X_j^i is not empty, $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^i}$ is increased by at least 2 due to a new vertex in T^{i+1} , but we do not need to consider this contribution in our calculations. Hence,

$$w_{X_j^{i+1}}(x_j^{i+1}) - w_{X_j^i}(x_j^i) \geq (t-1)2(d+\ell+1) + c. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $w_{X_j^{i+1}}(x_j^{i+1}) = w_{X_j^i}(x_j^i)$ when $X_j^i \not\subseteq O_{v_i}(G^i)$, summing the expressions (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^{i+1}}(G^{i+1}) - \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{X}^i}(G^i) &\geq (-2 - (t-1)2(d+1) - c) - (\ell-1)2 \\ &\quad + ((t-1)2(d+\ell+1) + c) \\ &= (t-2)2\ell \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $t \geq 2$. □

Let $Y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$. Observe that if $Y_i \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$, then $Y_i \subseteq V(C)$. Let \mathcal{Y}' be those sets of \mathcal{Y} which contain vertices of $V(C)$. We define a new partition \mathcal{Z} of F as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{Y}' \cup V(C).$$

That is, we merge together all sets Y_i containing vertices of $V(C)$. All the other sets of \mathcal{Z} coincide with the sets of \mathcal{Y} . We have the following statement.

Lemma 2.4. *For arbitrary choice of representatives of sets in \mathcal{Z} we have*

$$\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Y}}(F) \leq \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}}(F).$$

Proof. Observe that there are three types of sets in \mathcal{Z} . First, if $v_1 \in V(C)$ is a trivial orbit in G , then orbits of vertices of the v_1 -rooted tree are sets of \mathcal{Z} . Second, if $\{v_1, \dots, v_t\} \subseteq V(C)$ is a non-trivial orbit in G , that is if $t \geq 2$, then the v_i -rooted trees are paths with endvertices v_i , and sets of vertices of $O_{v_1}(F)$ in \mathcal{Z} contain vertices of these t rooted trees which are at the same distance from C . Finally, \mathcal{Z} contains $V(C)$. If Z_i is a set of \mathcal{Z} of the first type or of the second type and $u, v \in Z_i$, then $w_{Z_i}(u) = w_{Z_i}(v)$. Hence, to prove the statement it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{Y_i \in \mathcal{Y}'} w_{Y_i}(y_i) \leq w_{V(C)}(z) = \sum_{Y_i \in \mathcal{Y}'} w_{Y_i}(z)$$

where z is an arbitrary vertex of $V(C)$ and \mathcal{Y}' is defined before Lemma 2.4. (Recall that y_i is a representative of Y_i in \mathcal{Y} .)

Thus, let $z \in V(C)$ and let $Y_i \in \mathcal{Y}'$. In what follows we show that $w_{Y_i}(y_i) \leq w_{Y_i}(z)$. We distinguish four cases.

Case 1: $|Y_i| = 1$. Since $w_{Y_i}(y_i) = 0 \leq \text{dist}_F(z, y_i) = w_{Y_i}(z)$, we have $w_{Y_i}(y_i) \leq w_{Y_i}(z)$.

Case 2: $|Y_i| = 2$. let $Y_i = \{y_i, y\}$. Then by triangle inequality

$$w_{Y_i}(y_i) = \text{dist}_F(y_i, y) \leq \text{dist}_F(z, y_i) + \text{dist}_F(z, y) = w_{Y_i}(z).$$

Hence, in the sequel we assume that $|Y_i| \geq 3$. Since Y_i is an orbit of vertices of C in G , there is a nontrivial rotational automorphism α in $\text{Aut}(G)$ such that $\{\alpha^k(y_i) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq Y_i$. Let r be the biggest order of a rotational automorphism of this type and let α be the corresponding automorphism. Observe that $r \geq 2$. Since $w_{Y_i}(u) = w_{Y_i}(v)$ for $u, v \in Y_i$, we assume that y_i is chosen so that $\text{dist}_F(z, y_i)$ is smallest possible.

Case 3: r is even. Let $Y'_i = \{\alpha^k(y_i) \mid 0 \leq k < r\}$. We rename vertices of Y'_i as $\{y^0, y^1, \dots, y^{r-1}\}$ so that $\text{dist}_F(y_i, y^k) \leq \text{dist}_F(y_i, y^{k+1})$ whenever $0 \leq k < r-1$. Observe that $y^0 = y_i$, the vertices $y^{2\ell-1}$ and $y^{2\ell}$ have the same distance from y_i if $1 \leq \ell < r/2$ and y^{r-1} is the unique vertex of Y'_i with the largest distance from y_i . Since y_i is the vertex of Y_i with the smallest distance from z , we have

$$\text{dist}_F(y^{2\ell-1}, y_i) + \text{dist}_F(y_i, y^{2\ell}) = \text{dist}_F(y^{2\ell-1}, z) + \text{dist}_F(z, y^{2\ell})$$

for $1 \leq \ell < r/2$ and also

$$\text{dist}_F(y_i, y^{r-1}) = \text{dist}_F(y_i, z) + \text{dist}_F(z, y^{r-1}) = \frac{1}{2}|V(C)|.$$

Hence, $w_{Y'_i}(y_i) = w_{Y'_i}(z)$. If $Y_i = Y'_i$, we are done. Therefore, in the sequel assume that there is also a reflexion β such that $\beta(Y'_i) \subseteq Y_i$ and $\beta(Y'_i) \cap Y'_i = \emptyset$. Then $Y_i = Y'_i \cup \beta(Y'_i)$ and $|Y_i| = 2r$. Observe that all the vertices of $\beta(Y'_i)$ are obtained from arbitrary one of

them using α . Thus, let y_i^β be a vertex of $\beta(Y'_i)$ with the smallest distance from y_i . Then using the same arguments as above we get

$$w_{\beta(Y'_i)}(y_i^\beta) = w_{\beta(Y'_i)}(y_i).$$

Since $w_{\beta(Y'_i)}(y_i^\beta) = w_{Y'_i}(y_i)$, we get

$$w_{Y_i}(y_i) = 2w_{Y'_i}(y_i).$$

Analogously, if $y_i^{\beta z}$ is a vertex of $\beta(Y'_i)$ at the smallest distance from z , we get

$$w_{\beta(Y'_i)}(y_i^{\beta z}) = w_{\beta(Y'_i)}(z).$$

Since $w_{\beta(Y'_i)}(y_i^{\beta z}) = w_{Y'_i}(y_i)$, we obtain $w_{Y_i}(y_i) = w_{Y_i}(z)$.

Case 4: r is odd. Let $Y'_i = \{\alpha^k(y_i) \mid 0 \leq k < r\}$. Then proceeding analogously as in Case 3 one gets

$$w_{Y'_i}(z) = w_{Y'_i}(y_i) + \text{dist}_F(y_i, z),$$

and so $w_{Y_i}(y_i) \leq w_{Y_i}(z)$ if $Y_i = Y'_i$. Hence, assume that there is a reflexion β such that $\beta(Y'_i) \subseteq Y_i$ and $\beta(Y'_i) \cap Y'_i = \emptyset$. Again, $Y_i = Y'_i \cup \beta(Y'_i)$ and $|Y_i| = 2r$, and all the vertices of $\beta(Y'_i)$ are obtained from arbitrary one of them using α . Thus, let y_i^β be a vertex of $\beta(Y'_i)$ with the shortest distance from y_i . Then analogously as in Case 3 one gets

$$w_{Y'_i}(y_i) = w_{\beta(Y'_i)}(y_i^\beta) = w_{\beta(Y'_i)}(y_i) - \text{dist}_F(y_i^\beta, y_i)$$

and so

$$w_{Y_i}(y_i) = 2w_{Y'_i}(y_i) + \text{dist}_F(y_i^\beta, y_i).$$

Also, let $y_i^{\beta z}$ be a vertex of $\beta(Y'_i)$ with the shortest distance from z . Then analogously as above we get

$$w_{Y'_i}(y_i) = w_{\beta(Y'_i)}(y_i^{\beta z}) = w_{\beta(Y'_i)}(z) - \text{dist}_F(y_i^{\beta z}, z)$$

and so

$$w_{Y_i}(z) = 2w_{Y'_i}(y_i) + \text{dist}_F(y_i^{\beta z}, z) + \text{dist}_F(y_i, z).$$

Since

$$\text{dist}_F(y_i^\beta, y_i) \leq \text{dist}_F(y_i^{\beta z}, y_i) \leq \text{dist}_F(y_i^{\beta z}, z) + \text{dist}_F(y_i, z),$$

we get $w_{Y_i}(y_i) \leq w_{Y_i}(z)$. □

Finally, we are in a position to prove the last lemma which implies Theorem 1.3. Observe that there is a strict inequality in Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 2.5. *We have*

$$\text{GPa}^Z(F) < \text{GPa}(C_n).$$

Proof. Analogously as in Lemma 2.3, we prove the statement by a sequence of steps. Let O^1, \dots, O^q be all orbits of vertices of C in G , such that for every $v \in O^i$ the v -rooted tree is nontrivial (i.e., it has more than one vertex). Observe that if the v -rooted tree is nontrivial in G , then the v -rooted tree in F is also nontrivial. Assume that $|O^1| \geq |O^2| \geq \dots \geq |O^q|$.

We consecutively create unicyclic graphs $F = F^0, F^1, \dots, F^q = C_n$ with partitions $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^0, \mathcal{Z}^1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}^q$, respectively, and for every $i, 0 \leq i < q$, we show that $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i) < \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1})$. The graph F^{i+1} is obtained from F^i by moving the vertices of v -rooted trees, where $v \in O^{i+1}$, into the unique cycle C^i of F^i . Now we describe the process in detail.

Choose $i, 0 \leq i < q$. For $v \in O^{i+1}$, let $p+1$ be the number of vertices of v -rooted tree in F^i (or in G , since the numbers of vertices of v -rooted trees in G and F are the same). By our assumption $p \geq 1$. Orient the cycle C^i of F^i and for every vertex $u \in V(C^i)$ let u^f be the vertex following u on C^i . Let $v \in O^{i+1}$. Delete the p non-root vertices of the v -rooted tree from F^i and subdivide the edge vv^f exactly p times. Repeat this procedure for all vertices of O^{i+1} and denote by F^{i+1} the resulting unicyclic graph. The partition \mathcal{Z}^{i+1} is exactly the same as \mathcal{Z}^i , the only exception is that instead of the set $V(C^i)$ and various sets partitioning $O_v(F^i)$ for $v \in O^{i+1}$ we have just the set $V(C^{i+1})$ in \mathcal{Z}^{i+1} . We assume that if a set of \mathcal{Z}^i is identical with a set of \mathcal{Z}^{i+1} , then they have the same representatives.

Let $Z' \in \mathcal{Z}^i$ and $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^{i+1}$ such that $Z' = Z^*$. Then Z' is a collection of vertices of $O_u(F^i)$, i.e., of u -rooted trees for $u \in O^j$, where $j > i+1$. Since the distances between these vertices cannot be shorter in F^{i+1} than in F^i (they can be only larger due to the extension of C^i to C^{i+1}), we have $w_{Z'}(z') \leq w_{Z^*}(z^*)$ where z' is a representative of Z' in F^i and z^* is a representative of Z^* in F^{i+1} . Hence, it suffices to check the contribution of $V(C^{i+1})$ in $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1})$ and in $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i)$ the contribution of $V(C^i)$ and of the sets of non-root vertices of v -rooted trees for $v \in O^{i+1}$. Let $t = |O^{i+1}|$. Analogously as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 we distinguish four cases. In these cases, we set $c = |V(C^i)|$. Moreover, by δ_a we denote the parity of a . That is $\delta_a = 1$ if a is odd and $\delta_a = 0$ if a is even.

Case 1: $t = 1$. By Proposition 2.1, $V(C^i)$ contributes $\frac{1}{4}(c^2 - \delta_c)$ to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i)$ and $V(C^{i+1})$ contributes $\frac{1}{4}((c+p)^2 - \delta_{c+p})$ to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1})$. Let $O^{i+1} = \{v_1\}$. Denote by T the v_1 -rooted tree in F^i . Since T is a tree on $p+1$ vertices, the orbits of T contribute to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i)$ at most $\frac{1}{4}((p+1)^2 - \delta_{p+1})$ by Theorem 1.2. So

$$\begin{aligned} 4(\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1}) - \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i)) &\geq (c+p)^2 - \delta_{c+p} - c^2 + \delta_c - (p+1)^2 + \delta_{p+1} \\ &\geq (c+p)^2 - c^2 - (p+1)^2 - 1 \\ &= 2p(c-1) - 2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $c \geq 3$ and $p \geq 1$.

Case 2: $t = 2$. In this case the v -rooted trees are paths whenever $v \in O^{i+1}$. Since the contribution to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i)$ of j -th vertices of these paths (i.e., of vertices at distance j from the roots) is at most $j + c/2 + j$, the total contribution of non-root vertices of v -rooted trees, $v \in O^{i+1}$, is at most $2\binom{p+1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}cp$. Since the contribution of $V(C^i)$ is $\frac{1}{4}(c^2 - \delta_c)$ and the contribution of $V(C^{i+1})$ is $\frac{1}{4}((c+2p)^2 - \delta_{c+2p})$, where $\delta_{c+2p} = \delta_c$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4(\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1}) - \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i)) &\geq (c+2p)^2 - \delta_c - c^2 + \delta_c - 8\binom{p+1}{2} - 2cp \\ &\geq (c+2p)^2 - c^2 - 4p^2 - 4p - 2cp \\ &= 2p(c-2) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $c \geq 3$ and $p \geq 1$.

In the remaining cases we may assume that there is a nontrivial rotational automorphism α of F^i such that when $v \in O^{i+1}$ then also $\alpha(v) \in O^{i+1}$. Let r be the biggest order of such a rotational automorphism α , and moreover, let α be such that the distance s between v and $\alpha(v)$ is the smallest possible. Then $c = r \cdot s$.

Case 3: r is even. Then $r \geq 2$. Let $v_0 \in O^{i+1}$. Denote $O' = \{\alpha^k(v_0) \mid 0 \leq k < r\}$. First assume that $|O^{i+1}| = 2r$. Hence there is also a reflexion β such that $\beta(O') \subseteq O^{i+1}$ and $\beta(O') \cap O' = \emptyset$. Let $v_1 \in O^{i+1}$ such that the distance t between v_0 and v_1 is the smallest possible. Then $t \leq s/2$ and $v_1 \in \beta(O')$. Observe that now $t \geq 1$ and $s \geq 2$. Since $c = rs$ is even, the contribution of $V(C^i)$ to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i)$ is $\frac{1}{4}r^2s^2$. The contribution of $V(C^{i+1})$ to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1})$ is $\frac{1}{4}r^2(s+2p)^2$. Now we calculate the contribution of non-root vertices of v -rooted trees when $v \in O^{i+1}$. These trees are paths and the contribution of j -th vertices is

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(s+2j) + 2(2s+2j) + \cdots + 2\left(\left(\frac{r}{2}-1\right)s+2j\right) + \left(\frac{r}{2}s+2j\right) \\ & + (t+2j) + (s+t+2j) + \cdots + \left(\left(\frac{r}{2}-1\right)s+t+2j\right) + (s-t+2j) \\ & + (2s-t+2j) + \cdots + \left(\left(\frac{r}{2}-1\right)s-t+2j\right) + \left(\frac{r}{2}s-t+2j\right) \\ & = 4(s+2j) + 4(2s+2j) + \cdots + 4\left(\left(\frac{r}{2}-1\right)s+2j\right) + 2\left(\frac{r}{2}s+2j\right) + 2j \\ & = \frac{1}{2}r^2s + 2j(2r-1). \end{aligned}$$

So the contribution of non-root vertices of v -rooted trees, $v \in O^{i+1}$, is

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2s + 2j(2r-1) \right) = \frac{1}{2}r^2sp + (p^2+p)(2r-1).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1}) - \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i) & \geq \frac{1}{4}r^2s^2 + r^2sp + r^2p^2 - \frac{1}{4}r^2s^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2}r^2sp - 2rp^2 - 2rp + p^2 + p \\ & = p^2(r-1)^2 + p\left(r\left(\frac{1}{2}rs-2\right)+1\right) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $r \geq 2$, $s \geq 2$ and $p \geq 1$.

In the case when $|O^{i+1}| = r$, the contribution of $V(C^{i+1})$ is $\frac{1}{4}r^2(s+p)^2$ and the contribution of j -th vertices in v -rooted trees, $v \in O^{i+1}$, is

$$2(s+2j) + 2(2s+2j) + \cdots + 2\left(\left(\frac{r}{2}-1\right)s+2j\right) + \left(\frac{r}{2}s+2j\right) = \frac{1}{4}r^2s + 2j(r-1).$$

So the contribution of non-root vertices of v -rooted trees, $v \in O^{i+1}$, is

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \left(\frac{1}{4}r^2s + 2j(r-1) \right) = \frac{1}{4}r^2sp + (p^2+p)(r-1).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1}) - \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i) & \geq \frac{1}{4}r^2s^2 + \frac{1}{2}r^2sp + \frac{1}{4}r^2p^2 - \frac{1}{4}r^2s^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{4}r^2sp - rp^2 - rp + p^2 + p \\ & = p^2\left(\frac{r}{2}-1\right)^2 + p\left(r\left(\frac{1}{4}rs-1\right)+1\right) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since in this case $r \geq 4$, $s \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$.

Case 4: r is odd. Then $r \geq 3$. Let $v_0 \in O^{i+1}$ and $O' = \{\alpha^k(v_0) \mid 0 \leq k < r\}$. First assume that $|O^{i+1}| = 2r$. Hence there is also a reflexion β such that $\beta(O') \subseteq O^{i+1}$ and $\beta(O') \cap O' = \emptyset$. Let $v_1 \in O^{i+1}$ such that the distance t between v_0 and v_1 is the smallest possible. Then $t \leq s/2$ and $v_1 \in \beta(O')$. The contribution of $V(C^i)$ to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i)$ is $\frac{1}{4}(r^2s^2 - \delta_{rs})$. The contribution of $V(C^{i+1})$ to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1})$ is $\frac{1}{4}(r^2(s+2p)^2 - \delta_{r(s+2p)})$. Now we calculate the contribution of non-root vertices of v -rooted trees when $v \in O^{i+1}$. These trees are paths and the contribution of j -th vertices is

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(s+2j) + 2(2s+2j) + \cdots + 2(\frac{r-1}{2}s+2j) \\ & + (t+2j) + (s+t+2j) + \cdots + (\frac{r-1}{2}s+t+2j) \\ & + (s-t+2j) + (2s-t+2j) + \cdots + (\frac{r-1}{2}s-t+2j) \\ & = 4(s+2j) + 4(2s+2j) + \cdots + 4(\frac{r-1}{2}s+2j) + (t+2j) \\ & = \frac{1}{2}(r^2-1)s + 2j(2r-1) + t. \end{aligned}$$

So the contribution of non-root vertices of v -rooted trees, $v \in O^{i+1}$, is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^p \left(\frac{1}{2}(r^2-1)s + 2j(2r-1) + t \right) &= \frac{1}{2}(r^2-1)sp + (p^2+p)(2r-1) + pt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}r^2sp + (p^2+p)(2r-1) \end{aligned}$$

since $-\frac{1}{2}sp + pt \leq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1}) - \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i) &\geq \frac{1}{4}r^2s^2 + r^2sp + r^2p^2 - \frac{1}{4}\delta_{rs} - \frac{1}{4}r^2s^2 + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{rs} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}r^2sp - 2rp^2 - 2rp + p^2 + p \\ &= p^2(r-1)^2 + p(r(\frac{1}{2}rs-2)+1) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $r \geq 3$, $s \geq 2$ and $p \geq 1$.

In the case when $|O^{i+1}| = r$, the contribution of $V(C^{i+1})$ to $\text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1})$ is $\frac{1}{4}(r^2(s+p)^2 - \delta_{r(s+p)})$ and the contribution of j -th vertices in v -rooted trees, $v \in O^{i+1}$, is

$$2(s+2j) + 2(2s+2j) + \cdots + 2(\frac{r-1}{2}s+2j) = \frac{1}{4}(r^2-1)s + 2j(r-1).$$

So the contribution of non-root vertices of v -rooted trees, $v \in O^{i+1}$, is

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \left(\frac{1}{4}(r^2-1)s + 2j(r-1) \right) = \frac{1}{4}(r^2-1)sp + (p^2+p)(r-1).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^{i+1}}(F^{i+1}) - \text{GPa}^{\mathcal{Z}^i}(F^i) &\geq \frac{1}{4}r^2s^2 + \frac{1}{2}r^2sp + \frac{1}{4}r^2p^2 - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}r^2s^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}(r^2-1)sp - rp^2 - rp + p^2 + p \\ &= p^2(\frac{r}{2}-1)^2 + p(r(\frac{1}{4}rs-1) + \frac{1}{4}s+1) - \frac{1}{4} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since in this case $r \geq 3$, $s \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$. (Observe that the second bracket is at least $\frac{2}{4}$ if $r=3$ and $s=1$.) \square

References

- [1] A. R. Ashrafi and M. V. Diudea (eds.), *Distance, Symmetry, and Topology in Carbon Nano-materials*, volume 9 of *Carbon Materials: Chemistry and Physics*, Springer, Cham, 2016, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-31584-3.
- [2] A. R. Ashrafi, F. Koorepazan-Moftakhar and M. V. Diudea, Topological symmetry of nanostructures, *Fuller. Nanotub. Car. N.* **23** (2015), 989–1000, doi:10.1080/1536383x.2015.1057818.
- [3] A. R. Ashrafi, F. Koorepazan-Moftakhar, M. V. Diudea and O. Ori, Graovac-Pisanski index of fullerenes and fullerene-like molecules, *Fuller. Nanotub. Car. N.* **24** (2016), 779–785, doi:10.1080/1536383x.2016.1242483.
- [4] A. R. Ashrafi and H. Shabani, The modified Wiener index of some graph operations, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **11** (2016), 277–284, doi:10.26493/1855-3974.801.968.
- [5] M. Črepnjak, M. Knor, N. Tratnik and P. Žigert Pleteršek, The Graovac-Pisanski index of a connected bipartite graph is an integer number, submitted, [arXiv:1709.04189](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.04189) [math.CO].
- [6] M. Črepnjak, N. Tratnik and P. Žigert Pleteršek, Predicting melting points of hydrocarbons by the Graovac-Pisanski index, *Fuller. Nanotub. Car. N.* **26** (2018), 239–245, doi:10.1080/1536383x.2017.1386657.
- [7] M. Ghorbani and S. Klavžar, Modified Wiener index via canonical metric representation, and some fullerene patches, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **11** (2016), 247–254, doi:10.26493/1855-3974.918.0b2.
- [8] A. Graovac and T. Pisanski, On the Wiener index of a graph, *J. Math. Chem.* **8** (1991), 53–62, doi:10.1007/bf01166923.
- [9] M. Hakimi-Nezhaad and M. Ghorbani, On the Graovac-Pisanski index, *Kragujevac J. Sci.* **39** (2017), 91–98, doi:10.5937/kgjsci1739091h.
- [10] M. Knor, R. Škrekovski and A. Tepeh, Trees with the maximal value of Graovac-Pisanski index, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **358** (2019), 287–292, doi:10.1016/j.amc.2019.04.034.
- [11] F. Koorepazan-Moftakhar and A. R. Ashrafi, Distance under symmetry, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **74** (2015), 259–272, http://match.pmf.kg.ac.rs/electronic_versions/Match74/n2/match74n2_259-272.pdf.
- [12] F. Koorepazan-Moftakhar and A. R. Ashrafi, Combination of distance and symmetry in some molecular graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **281** (2016), 223–232, doi:10.1016/j.amc.2016.01.065.
- [13] F. Koorepazan-Moftakhar, A. R. Ashrafi and O. Ori, Symmetry groups and Graovac-Pisanski index of some linear polymers, *Quasigroups Related Systems* **26** (2018), 87–102, http://www.quasigroups.eu/contents/download/2018/26_10.pdf.
- [14] R. Pinal, Effect of molecular symmetry on melting temperature and solubility, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2** (2004), 2692–2699, doi:10.1039/b407105k.
- [15] H. Shabani and A. R. Ashrafi, Symmetry-moderated Wiener index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **76** (2016), 3–18, http://match.pmf.kg.ac.rs/electronic_versions/Match76/n1/match76n1_3-18.pdf.
- [16] N. Tratnik, The Graovac-Pisanski index of zig-zag tubulenes and the generalized cut method, *J. Math. Chem.* **55** (2017), 1622–1637, doi:10.1007/s10910-017-0749-5.
- [17] N. Tratnik and P. Žigert Pleteršek, The Graovac-Pisanski index of armchair tubulenes, *J. Math. Chem.* **56** (2018), 1103–1116, doi:10.1007/s10910-017-0846-5.
- [18] H. Wiener, Structural determination of paraffin boiling points, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **69** (1947), 17–20, doi:10.1021/ja01193a005.