# Syntagms Constructed with Coordinative Particles in $\ensuremath{\textit{RGVEDA}}\xspace 1.1$ –1.50

#### **Tamara DITRICH**

University of Ljubljana, University of Sydney t.ditrich@gmail.com

#### **Abstract**

In the *Rgveda*, several types of syntactic construction expressing a coordinative or copulative relationship occur: *dvandva* compounds, copulative asyndeta, elliptic duals, and syntagms constructed with coordinative particles. This article investigates the role of coordinative particles in the first fifty hymns of the *Rgveda*, focusing in particular on the most frequently used particle *ca* and comparing its use to other copulative conjunctions attested in the text, especially where *ca* is used twice (i.e. *ca* ... *ca*) and the particle *utâ*. The article investigates how coordination is expressed between two words and aims to identify differences in the usage of coordinative particles if the words coordinated are theonyms or non-theonyms. By examining how two words coordinated with particles occur elsewhere in other coordinative constructions, the article demonstrates that research into coordinative constructions in Vedic ought to pay special attention to the specific grammatical and linguistic features of theonyms.

# Keywords

Vedic Linguistics; Rgvedic Exegesis; Coordinative Particles in the Rgveda; Particle ca in Vedic

#### Izvleček

V *Rgvedi* je zabeleženih več tipov koordinativnih nominalnih zvez kot so *dvandva* zloženke, asindetične povezave, eliptične dvojine in sintagme, v katerih so besede povezane z vezalnimi členicami. Prispevek raziskuje sintagme s členicami v rgvedskih himnah 1.1–1.50 in se pri tem osredotoča zlasti na najbolj pogosto rabljeno vezalno členico *ca* (»in«) ter primerja njeno rabo z drugimi členicami, ki izražajo koordinacijo, zlasti z rabo dveh členic *ca* (i.e. *ca* ... *ca*) in členico *utá*. Pregled različnih načinov izražanja koordinativnosti med dvema besedama kaže na razlike v rabi členic, ki povezujejo dve (ali več) imen bogov in besedami, ki se ne nanašajo na bogove. Prispevek analizira vse besede iz *Rgvede* 1.1–1.50, ki so povezane z vezalnimi členicami in jih primerja z alternativno izraženimi koordinativnimi zvezami (na primer *dvandva*) in ugotovlja, da mora raziskovanje koordinativnih povezav v vedskem jeziku nameniti pozornost specifičnim lingvističnim značilnostim imen bogov v *Rgvedi*, ki kažejo drugačno rabo členic kot besede, ki se ne nanašajo na bogove.

# Ključne besede

vedska lingvistika; eksegeza Rgvede; členice v Rgvedi; vezalna členica ca v vedskem jeziku

## 1. Introduction

This article investigates the role of coordinative particles in the Rgveda, focusing especially on the particle ca, and compares its usage with other copulative conjunctions such as the particle uta and the usage of two of the particles ca (i.e. ca ... ca). The research is based on the first fifty hymns of the Rgveda from which all the syntagms constructed with copulative conjunctions were identified, analysed and compared with other coordinative nominal constructions attested in the entire text of the Rgveda. The first fifty hymns of the Rgveda, addressing a variety of deities, provide a substantial amount of materials for investigation of coordinative conjunctions. The main focus of this article is the investigation of different functions of the particle ca when situated as a conjunction coordinating two theonyms and two non-theonyms.

In the analysis of the first fifty hymns of the *Rgveda*, several types of syntactic constructions expressing a coordinative or copulative relationship occur such as *dvandva* compounds, asyndeta, elliptic duals, and syntagms constructed with copulative conjunctions. It has been shown elsewhere (Ditrich, 2010, pp. 35–44) that examination of such coordinative constructions ought to incorporate a stylistic analysis of the text, paying special attention to the specific grammatical and linguistic features of theonyms. The preferred coordinative construction for two theonyms is *dvandva* compound, followed by asyndeton, whereas syntagms constructed with copulative conjunctions are less common expression and elliptic duals are the most marginal option. In contrast, coordinative relationship between two non-theonyms is most commonly expressed by asyndeton, followed by coordinative conjunctions, whereas *dvandva* compounds are extremely rare (Ditrich, 2007).

# 2. Coordinative Particles in the Rgveda

Among coordinative particles used in the Rgveda, the most common is the particle ca (1094 attestations), followed by the particle  $ut\acute{a}$  (752 attestations), both usually used in the copulative sense "and" (Lubotsky, 1997, p. 344, p. 510). Coordinative particles are rather seldom used to express coordination between nouns in the Rgveda—they are outnumbered by the usage of asyndeta. In this article only particles used in a coordinative sense are examined, i.e. particles ca,  $ut\acute{a}$  and the rarely attested particles u, u and u. It has been shown that coordinative nominal constructions with the particle u (and less frequently  $ut\acute{a}$ ), comprised of theoryms, seem to be one of the stylistic variants in hymns that address dual deities, alternating with u u070.

Delbrück (1900, pp. 190–192) was the first to comment on the variety of coordinative constructions for two nouns, i.e. asyndeta, *dvandva* compounds and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The research leading to the results in this paper has received funding from the Seventh Framework Programme [FP7/2007-2013] under PIRG02-GA-2007-224432.

syntagms constructed with copulative conjunctions. He thinks that these constructions express the same meaning; asyndeta are, in his opinion, the oldest Indo-European construction whereas the usage of conjunctions is a later development. His hypothesis is based on the development of Sanskrit: in older stages asyndeta are far more frequently used than syntagms with conjunctions whereas in the later language the usage of conjunctions increases. Renou (1955, p. 65) believes that particles may have a special emphatic function —to emphasize the link between the coordinated nouns; however, he provides no evidence to support his claim and, as Gonda (1971, p. 142) later comments, all attempts at reaching a clear understanding of the functions of *ca* and other particles have so far been unsuccessful.

There is a close relationship between syntactic constructions with the particle *ca*, and *dvandva* compounds. As already pointed out by Delbrück (1893, pp. 396–397), Rgvedic *ca* is a subclausal coordinative conjunction, very often coordinating pairs in the same case except for two vocatives. Most scholars explain that two vocatives cannot be connected with the subclausal conjunction *ca* because the constituents in vocatives are absolutives, bearing no integral constituent relationship to the rest of the sentence (Klein, 1981, pp. 73–91; Whitney, 1964, p. 90; Delbrück, 1900, p. 396). The syntactic construction of two theonyms in vocatives conjoined by *ca* does not occur in the *Rgveda*; on the other hand syntagms comprising a vocative and a nominative conjoined by *ca* are very common (V.+N.+*ca*), e.g. *váyav* [V.] *índraś* [N.] *ca* or inverted *índraś* [N.] *ca váyav* [V.]. These constructions, identified also in Old Greek and Avestan and seemingly of Indo-European origin, have been studied by many scholars (Humbach, 1982, pp. 95–102; Klein, 1981, pp. 73–91).

Klein (1981, pp. 85–87) comments that dual theonyms in syntagms V.+N.+ca usually occur elsewhere in the Rgveda alternatively as dvandvas. Jamison (1988, pp. 16–20) further develops this observation and demonstrates that syntagms V.+N.+ca can only be used in the Rgveda when the two nouns that are involved elsewhere, usually in the same hymn, also form a dvandva compound. The two constructions are always linked in discourse; there is a predictable relationship between the order of constituents in the dvandva compound and the case role that each element plays in the syntagm.

As pointed out by Jamison (1988, pp. 16–20), the pair Indra and Vāyu is disproportionately represented in syntagms V.+N.+ca and it is also the only pair that appears in both regular and inverted order (váyav índraś ca or índraś ca váyav). The reason for this, she argues, lies in grammar: the dvandva compound indravāyú is the only example among devatādvandvas of the Rgveda that has only one accent and the first constituent in stem form. With indravāyú operating more like a true morphological compound rather than like the almost chance asyndetic association seen in conventional dvandvas, the transformation into the váyav índraś ca construction may have seemed especially appropriate (Jamison, 1988, p. 20). She claims that all syntagms V.+N.+ca are based on related dvandvas. When one of the two theonyms, coordinated with ca, is in the plural no variant expression in a dvandva compound

occurs; e.g. agniśca ... marutaḥ (RV 5.60.7), Agni and Marutas are never attested in a dvandva compound in the Rgveda.² However, Jamison (1988, pp. 22–30) argues that although this is true on the surface there is an underlying dvandva involving the plural member; she gives for evidence the post-Rgvedic vṛddhi derivations āgnimārutá- and aindrārbhava- that seem to be built to the underlying dvandva compounds. She thinks that there is no difference between coordinative constructions addressing theonyms and non-theonyms: gods are addressed in the same fashion as mortals in the Rgveda as well as in the proto-language (Jamison, 1988, p. 17). This statement seems to be too general, based only on examinations of syntagms V.+N.+ca.

The particle *utá* is the second most frequently used coordinative conjunction in the *Rgveda*. Klein (1978, pp. 1–23) gives an excellent survey of coordinative conjunctions in the *Rgveda* and identifies the main differences between the functions of *utá* and *ca*. He demonstrates that *ca* is mainly used as a subclausal conjunction whereas *utá* has much wider functions: it conjoins subclausal constituents as well as clauses and stanzas. This broader and more general function of the particle *utá* is the reason, as Klein (1978, pp. 1–23; 1981, pp. 77–78) argues, that *utá* can—unlike *ca*—coordinate two vocatives in the *Rgveda*.

Other particles have a wider spectrum of functions and are less frequently used in coordinative sense. It is often difficult to determine their function; it depends on the specific textual circumstances. The particle u is frequently used in the Rgveda (608 attestations) and has several functions, including a coordinative one. Klein (1978, pp. 9–23) convincingly demonstrates that the particle u, when not employed deictically or anaphorically, occupies virtually the same sphere of usage as  $ut\acute{a}$  and that the relationship between u and ca parallels that between  $ut\acute{a}$  and ca. Both u and  $ut\acute{a}$  have numerous attestations in the Rgveda but because of their wider functions they are far less used in coordinative nominal constructions than the particle ca.

# 3. Syntagms Constructed with Coordinative Particles in Rgveda 1.1 –1.50

Several coordinative particles are used in the first fifty hymns of the Rgveda: the most common is the particle ca, followed by the particle uta and the rarely attested particles u, na and  $\bar{a}$ . Here the attestations of all particles used in a coordinative sense that occur in Rgveda 1.1–1.50 are examined in two groups, those coordinating theoryms and those with non-theoryms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The only possible exception to this is *indrāmarutas* (RV 2.29.4.3) which is, as argued by Klein, "an isolated solecism" (Jamison, 1988, p. 22).

# 3.1 Theonyms

In the first fifty hymns of the *Rgveda* examined, six pairs of deities occur: Indra and Vāyu, Mitra and Varuṇa, Uṣas and Nakta, Indra and Varuṇa, Indra and Agni, and Dyaus and Pṛthivī. They are attested in a variety of coordinative constructions, the most common being *dvandva* compounds and asyndeta. The six pairs of deities that occur in *Rgveda* 1.1 –1.50 have their attestations in syntagms with coordinative particles distributed among the ten *mandalas* as follows:

# 3.1.1 Indra and Vāyu

 Table 1: Coordinative particles used in syntagms with Indra and Vāyu

maṇḍala	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	total
ca	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	7
utá	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

# 3.1.2 Mitra and Varuna

Table 2: Coordinative particles used in syntagms with Mitra and Varuṇa

maṇḍala	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	total
ca	2	0	0	0	3	0	2	1	2	1	11
ca ca	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
utá	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1

One construction with the particle *ca* occurs in V. Sg. and N. Sg.: *mitra* ... *váruṇaśca* (5.64.5). Five syntagms have both constituents in N. Sg. (5.40.7, 5.68.2, 6.24.5, 7.66.18), one in A. Sg. (1.2.7), two in D. Sg. (9.100.5, 10.85.17), one in G. Sg. (1.136.2) and one in L. Sg. (9.61.9). Three syntagms (5.64.5, 7.66.18, 1.2.7) occur in

the same hymn also in *dvandva* compound. In one attestation the syntagm constructed with *ca* has one constituent in the dual and the other in the singular (RV 8.25.2 *mitrá* ... *váruṇa* ... *ca*), which is considered by some scholars the most archaic stage of development of *dvandvas*, i.e. an intermediate stage between elliptic duals and *dvandvas*. The order of constituents varies: the order Mitra and Varuṇa is more common (10 attestations) than Varuna and Mitra (3 attestations).

# 3.1.3 Uşas and Nakta

Table 3: Coordinative particles used in syntagms with Usas and Nakta

maṇḍala	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	total
ca	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Only one construction with the particle ca occurs in N. A. Du. (1.73.7  $n\acute{a}kt\bar{a}\ ca$  ...  $u\dot{s}\acute{a}s\bar{a}$ ). It is attested in a hymn devoted to Agni which addresses several deities; the two theoryms do not occur in the same hymn in dvandva compound.

# 3.1.4 Indra and Varuna

**Table 4:** Coordinative particles used in syntagms with Indra and Varuna

maṇḍala	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	total
ca ca	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1

Only one syntagm constructed with two particles *ca* is attested in the *Rgveda*, in A. Sg. (7.83.6 *índraṃ ca ... váruṇaṃ ca*), in a hymn which addresses the pair; the theonyms Indra and Varuṇa occur in the same hymn also in *dvandva* compound.

# 3.1.5 Indra and Agni

**Table 5:** Coordinative particles used in syntagms with Indra and Agni

maṇḍala	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	total
ca	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
ca ca	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	3

One construction with the particle *ca* is attested in V. Sg. and N. Sg. (3.25.4 *ágna índraśca*) in a hymn devoted to Agni; the theonyms Indra and Agni do not occur in the same hymn in *dvandva* compound. One construction with the particle *ca* occurs in A.

Sg. (6.60.12 *índram agním ca*) in a hymn addressing the pair; in this hymn Indra and Agni also occur alternatively in *dvandva* compound. All syntagms constructed with two particles *ca* are in N. Sg. (5.51.4, 10.90.13, 10.173.5 *índraścāgníśca*); they are attested in hymns that do not address the pair and in which the pair does not occur alternatively in *dvandva* compound. The order of constituents is, with one exception (3.25.4), Indra and Agni.

# 3.1.6 Dyaus and Pṛthivī

maṇḍala	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	total
ca	3	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	8
ca ca	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	2	7
utá	21	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	2	6	37
ná	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
á	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	5

Table 6: Coordinative particles used in syntagms with Dyaus and Prthivī

The most frequently used particle in coordinative constructions of Dyaus and Pṛthivī is utá. It is not evenly distributed among the ten maṇḍalas: 21.6% of all attestations of utá are in the family books which comprise 41.7% of all hymns in the Rgveda. It occurs most frequently (56.8% of all attestations) in maṇḍala 1, which comprises 18.6% of the total number of hymns. 21 attestations are in N. Sg. (always in the order: pṛthivī utá dyaúḥ), followed by 14 attestations in A. Sg. (always in the order pṛthivīm utá dyām with one exception, i.e. 3.32.8 pṛthivīm dyām utá) and 1 attestation in Ab. G. Sg. (divás pṛthivyā utá). Syntagms constructed with utá occur only in hymns addressing another deity / deities: in 9 hymns Dyaus and Pṛthivī occur in the same hymn also in dvandva compound, whereas in 28 hymns they do not.

Constructions with the particle ca are attested most frequently in mandala 1; they occur with the constituents in N. Sg. (5 times), in A. Sg. (once) and Ab. G. Sg. (2 times). The order of the constituents does not vary: Dyaus always precedes Pṛthivī, while the particle ca either is placed between the theonyms (4 times) or follows them (4 times). Syntagms constructed with ca occur only in hymns addressing another deity / deities; the pair does not occur in the same hymn in dvandva compound.

Syntagms constructed with two of the particle ca have constituents in N. Sg. (3 times) and in A. Sg. (4 times). The order of constituents is always  $dyau\acute{s}ca$   $prthiv\acute{t}$  ca. Most syntagms are in hymns addressing another deity / deities; the pair does not occur in the same hymn in dvandva compound. However, Dyaus and Prthivī coordinated with two of the particle ca are attested once in a hymn addressing another deity and in the same hymn the pair also occurs in dvandva compound; and once the syntagm

constructed with two of the particle ca occurs in a hymn that addresses Dyaus and Pṛthivī in which the pair is also expressed in dvandva compound.

Syntagms constructed with the particles  $n\acute{a}$  and  $\acute{a}$  are rare: both particles coordinate the theonyms Dyaus and Pṛthivī in Ab. G. Sg. only ( $div\acute{o}$   $n\acute{a}$   $pṛthivy\acute{a}h$ ,  $div\acute{a}$   $\acute{a}$   $pṛthivy\acute{a}h$ ). Mostly the particle  $\acute{a}$  occurs in the family books and  $n\acute{a}$  in the younger  $man\dot{q}alas$  1 and 10; however, the number of attestations is too small to draw any general conclusions about their distribution. All syntagms constructed with these two particles are attested in hymns addressing another deity / deities; the pair Dyaus and Pṛthivī does not occur in these hymns in dvandva compound.

Other theonyms occasionally—though rarely—occur in syntagms connected with coordinative particles. In the first fifty hymns examined there are only four attestations of such syntagms:

- **1.** Ādityas and Indra: once in a syntagm constructed with two of the particle *ca*: in I. Sg. / Pl. (1.20.5 *índreṇa ca ... ādithébhiśca*). These deities also have 7 attestations in asyndetic constructions but none in *dvandva*.
- **2. Ahi and Indra**: once in a syntagm constructed with two of the particle *ca*: in A. Sg. (1.32.13 *indraśca* ... *áhiśca*); one other alternative construction is attested.
- **3. Agni and Mitra (and Varuṇa)**: once in a syntagm constructed with the particle *utá*: in N. Sg. / Du. (1.36.17 *agníḥ* ... *mitrấ utá*). These deities have one attestation in asyndeton but none in *dvandva*.
- **4.** The Vasus, the Rudras and the Adityas: twice in a syntagm constructed with the particle *utá*: in A. Pl. (1.45.1) and in I. Pl. (10.125.1). These deities have also 10 attestations in asyndeton but none in *dvandva*.

From the survey of the syntagms constructed with coordinative particles for the six pairs of deities examined in the *Rgveda*, the following observations can be made:

The most frequently used particle for coordination of theonyms is *ca*: it is used exclusively to express coordination between Uṣas and Nakta, Indra and Varuṇa, Indra and Agni, and also, except for one attestation with *utâ*, to coordinate the pair Indra and Vāyu, and the pair Mitra and Varuṇa. The distribution of the syntagms with coordinative particles for the six pairs of deities among the ten *maṇḍalas* seems generally very similar to the distribution of *dvandva* compounds formed from these theonyms (Ditrich, 2006); however, the number of attestations is too small to draw any general conclusion.

• The construction with *ca* usually occurs in the same hymn as the alternative construction in *dvandva* compound;<sup>3</sup> it indicates that the two

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> All constructions with the particle ca of Indra and Vāyu, Indra and Varuṇa, Indra and Agni, and three of the total six of Mitra and Varuna occur in the same hymns also in dvandva compound.

coordinative constructions are stylistic variants, especially since they occur in the hymns that address the pair which follow specific stylistic patterns which include variations of different coordinative constructions for dual theonyms (i.e. several types of *dvandva* compounds, the elliptic dual, syntagms constructed with copulative conjunctions, and asyndeta) (Ditrich, 2007).

- The only pair of theonyms that shows a different pattern in the usage and distribution of coordinative particles is Dyaus and Pṛthivī. This pair occurs in the largest number of syntagms constructed with coordinative particles, most frequently with the particle  $ut\acute{a}$ . Constructions with  $ut\acute{a}$  are mostly in N. and A. Sg. with the highest frequency in mandala 1; these syntagms usually do not occur in the same hymn as dvandva compounds or elliptic duals. Dyaus and Pṛthivī—unlike other dual theonyms—are coordinated by a variety of particles: one ca, two ca,  $ut\acute{a}$ ,  $n\acute{a}$  and  $\acute{a}$ . Other dual theonyms only occasionally occur in syntagms constructed with  $ut\acute{a}$  or with two of the particle ca.
- Generally, it remains uncertain what the function of the various particles
  coordinating theonyms is, but it seems that one function of syntagms
  comprising dual theonyms is stylistic variation, especially since these
  variants often occur in the same hymn, usually addressing the very same
  pair of deities.

# 3.2 Non-theonyms

Coordinative particles are used in the *Rgveda* also to express coordination between nouns which are not theonyms, as well as — though more rarely — between adjectives, adverbs, verbs and sentences. All the particles coordinating non-theonyms that are attested in *Rgveda* 1.1–1.50 are identified below and all other coordinative constructions between the two examined non-theonyms are drawn from the entire text of the *Rgveda*.

### **3.2.1** Particle *ca*

1. Coordination of nouns (non-theonyms):

1.  $sah \acute{a}srap radhana$ - and  $v \acute{a}ja$ -: 1 attestation in I. Pl. (1.7.4  $v \acute{a}j e \dot{s}u$  ...  $sah \acute{a}srap radhane \dot{s}u$  ca); no alternative coordinative construction is attested in the entire text of the Rgveda.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  The particle  $ut\acute{a}$  coordinates Dyaus and Pṛthivī 37 times but other dual deities only twice.

- 2. *ukthá-* and *stóma-*: 2 attestations: in N. Sg. (1.8.10 *stómaḥ uktháṃ ca*) and in I. Pl (6.24.7); 4 attestations in asyndeton in the entire *Rgveda* (1.5.8, 3.5.2, 3.41.4, 6.23.1).
- 3. *sutá-* and *sakhyá-*: 1 attestation in I. Pl. (1.10.5 *sutéṣu ... sakhyéṣu ca*); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
- 4. *yajñá* and *havís*-: 1 attestations: in N. Sg. (1.12.10 *yajñáṃ havíśca*); 4 attestations in asyndeton in the *Rgveda* (1.24.14, 2.35.12, 4.50.6, 10.20.6).
- 5. *bheṣajá* and *agní*-: 1 attestation in N. Pl. (1.23.20 *bheṣajá agníṃ ca*); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
- 6. *apacyavá* and *upacyavá*-: 1 attestation in A. Sg. (1.28.3 *apacyavám upacyavám ca*); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
- 7. práyas- and máyas-: 1 attestation in N. A. Sg. (1.31.7 máyaḥ ... práyaḥ ca); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
- 8. *tanūkṛt* and *prámati*-: 1 attestation in N. Sg. (1.31.9 *tanūkṛt* ... *prámatiśca* ); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
- 9. *maghávan* and *tanú*-: 1 attestation in A. Pl. (1.31.12 *maghónaḥ* ... *tanváśca*); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
- 10. *míh* and *hrādúni*-: 1 attestation in A. Sg. (1.32.13 *míham ... hrādúniṃ ca*); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
- 11. *amṛta-* and *mártya-*: 1 attestation in A. Sg. (1.35.2 *amṛtaṃ mártyaṃ ca*); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
- 12. arká- and ukthá-: 1 attestation: in I. Pl. (1.47.10 ukthébhiḥ ... arkaíśca); 1 attestation in asyndeton in the entire Rgveda (6.5.5).
- 13. *hṛdrogá* and *harimán*-: 1 attestation in A. Sg. (1.50.11 *hṛdrogám* ... *harimáṇaṃ ca*); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.

# 2. Coordination of adjectives:

- 1. śáma- and śṛṅgín-: 1 attestation in G. Sg. (1.32.15 śámasya ca śṛṅgíṇaḥ); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
- 2. *rudát-* and *jákṣat-*: 1 attestation in A. Pl. (1.33.7 *rudató jákṣataśca*); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.

#### 3. Coordination of adverbs:

- 1. *adyá* and *nūnám*: 1 attestation (1.13.6 *adyá nūnám ca*); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
- 2. doṣá̄ and uṣás-: 1 attestation in A. Pl. / G. Sg. (adverbial use: 1.34.3 doṣā̄ḥ ... uṣásaśca); 5 attestations in adverbial function in asyndeton in the entire Rgveda (1.179.1, 2.8.3, 4.2.98, 7.3.5, 8.22.14).

#### 4. Coordination of verbs:

- 4 attestations of two verbs (X+Y+ca): 1.14.1c, 1.31.17cd, 1.42.9ab, 1.48.3ab;
- 2 attestations of two verbs (X+ca+Y): 1.15.9b, 1.17.6ab.
- 5. Coordination of sentences:
  - 6 attestations of X+*ca*+Y: 1.8.5ab, 1.23.21abc, 1.25.11c, 1.25.19ab, 1.26.8abc, 1.34.12cd;
  - 2 attestations of X+Y+ca: 1.13.1abc, 1.23.20abc.

### 3.2.2 Two of the particle *ca*

- 1. Coordination of nouns (non-theonyms):
  - 1. *brahmán* and *yajñá*-: 1 attestation in A. Sg. (1.10.4 *bráhma ca ... yajñáṃ ca*); 1 attestation with one *ca* in the entire *Rgveda* (6.38.40).
  - 2. *pitṛ* and *mātṛ*-: 3 attestations in A. Sg. (1.24.1 1.24.2, 10.54.3 *pitáraṃ ca ... mātáraṃ ca*); 5 attestations with one *ca*, 1 with *utá*, 15 in asyndeton, 83 in the elliptic dual, 1 in *dvandva* in the entire *Rgveda*.
  - 3. *dyú* and *kṣam*-: 5 attestations in Ab. G. Sg. (1.25.20, 1.37.6, 1.100.15, 5.38.3, 10.49.2, 10.22.6 *diváśca gmáśca*); 1 attestation with one *ca*, 3 in asyndeton in the entire *Rgveda*.
  - 4. *náva* and *navatí*-: 1 attestation in N. Sg. (1. 32.14 *náva ca navatím ca*); 9 attestations with one *ca*, 9 in asyndeton in the entire *Rgveda*.
- 2. Coordination of adverbs:
  - 1.  $d\bar{u}r\dot{a}t$  and  $\bar{a}s\dot{a}t$ : 1 attestation (1.27.3  $d\bar{u}r\dot{a}cc\bar{a}s\dot{a}cca$ ); 1 attestation with  $\dot{a}$  (4.20.1).
- 3. Coordination of sentences:

1 attestation of X+*ca* ... *ca*+Y: 1.35.11cd.

#### 3.2.3 Particle utá

- 1. Coordination of nouns (non-theoryms)
  - 1. yáma- and rātí-: 1 attestation in N. Sg. (1.34.1 yáma utá rātiḥ); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
  - 2. *toká* and *vásu*-: 1 attestation in A. Sg. (1.41.6 *vásu ... tokámutá*); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.
- 2. Coordination of adjectives

púrva- and nútana-: 1 attestation in I. Pl. (1.1.2 púrvebhiḥ ... nútanaiḥ utá); 2 attestations in asyndeton in the entire Rgveda.

#### 3. Coordination of adverbs:

1. *adyá* and *aparám*: 1 attestation (1.36.6 *adyá* ... *utá aparám*); 2 attestations in asyndeton in the entire *Rgveda* (1.184.1, 8.27.14).

#### 4. Coordination of sentences:

6 attestations X+*utá*+Y: 1.10.6cd , 1.11.8cd, 1.23.19ab, 1.32.13cd, 1.34.5b, 1.34.5c; 3 attestations of X+Y+*utá*: 1.17.6ab, 1.32.4ab, 1.39.2ab.

#### 5. Coordinations of stanzas:

at the beginning of the stanza: 1.4.5ab, 1.4.6ab, 1.20.6ab, 1.25.15ab, 1.28.6ab, 1.31.18cd.

#### **3.2.4** Particle *u*

#### 1. Coordination of sentences:

2 attestations of X+u+Y: 1.34.2d, 1.34.6ab.

#### 3.2.5 Particle $\hat{a}$

#### 1. Coordination on nouns:

ánta- and parāká-: 1 attestation in Ab. Sg. (1.30.21 ántādá parākát); no alternative coordinative construction is attested.

From the survey of the particles coordinating non-theoryms that are attested in *Rgveda* 1.1–1.50, the following observations are made:

- The particle *ca* is the most frequently used particle for coordination of non-theonyms in *Rgveda* 1.1–1.50: it has 45 attestations and most frequently coordinates nouns (13 attestations). Syntagms comprising two nouns coordinated with the particle *ca* seem to express a casual relation between the nouns; usually they have only one attestation in the whole *Rgveda* and do not occur in any other coordinative construction (e.g. asyndeton or *dvandva*).
- Only 3 out of a total of 13 pairs of nouns coordinated with *ca* occur also in asyndeton: these nouns have a larger number of attestations in

- asyndeton than in constructions with ca.<sup>5</sup> In these syntagms nouns occur most frequently in N. and A. Sg. and I. Pl.
- The particle *ca* is also used to coordinate adjectives (2 times) and adverbs (2 times); these syntagms have only one attestation in the whole *Rgveda* and do not occur, with one exception, in any other coordinative construction.
- The particle ca also coordinates verbs (6 times) and sentences (8 times); the frequent use of ca here does not support the claim developed by Klein (1978, pp. 1–23) that ca is mainly used as a subclausal conjunction whereas  $ut\acute{a}$  has much wider functions, i.e. it conjoins subclausal constituents as well as clauses and stanzas. In Rgveda 1.1–1.50 the particle ca is used for coordination of sentences as frequently as the particle  $ut\acute{a}$ .
- The particle *utá* is less frequently used for coordination of nouns (2 attestations), adjectives (1 attestation) and adverbs (1 attestation) than for coordination of sentences. It also occurs in its special function as a coordinative particle situated at the beginning of a stanza (6 attestations). Syntagms comprising two nouns coordinated with the particle *utá* seem to express a casual relation between the nouns; usually these nouns occur only once in the coordinative relation and do not have attestations in any other coordinative construction (e.g. asyndeton or *dvandva*). The cases most frequently used in these syntagms—as in those constructed with *ca*—are N. and A. Sg. and I. Pl.<sup>6</sup>
- Two of the particle ca, attested in Rgveda 1.1–1.50, are mainly used for coordination of nouns (4 attestations) and, less frequently, coordination of adverbs (1 attestation) and sentences (1 attestation). These syntagms—unlike those constructed with one ca—have several attestations in the Rgveda and do occur in other coordinative constructions as well: all of them have at least one attestation with one ca, often they occur in asyndeton and, in the case of  $pit\dot{r}$  and  $m\bar{a}t\dot{r}$ -, also in the elliptic dual and dvandva. It seems that syntagms constructed with two ca do not coordinate nouns casually, as do those with one ca or with  $ut\dot{a}$ , but are one of the stylistic variants expressing coordination between nouns and are especially often attested in mandalas 1 and 10. Examination of all syntagms constructed by using two ca from Rgveda

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> E.g. *ukthá*- and *stóma*- (2 attestations with *ca*, 4 attestations in asyndeton), *yajñá*- and *havís*- (1 attestation with *ca*, 4 attestations in asyndeton), *arká*- and *ukthá*- (1 attestation with *ca*, 1 attestation in asyndeton).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The usage of cases in syntagms constructed with coordinative particles and in asyndeta has not been, to my knowledge, investigated; this is an area which requires future research that would contribute to a better understanding of the style of the Rgvedic poetic language.

1.1–1.50 indicates that these constructions are different from the syntagms constructed with other coordinative particles and have a special function which may be the stylistic variation.

# 4. Conclusion

Several coordinative particles are used in the hymns examined: the most common is the particle ca, followed by the particle uta. When dual theonyms occur in constructions with the coordinative particle ca, they are usually attested in the same hymns in dvandva compound as well, thus indicating that the two coordinative constructions are stylistic variants, especially in the hymns that address the pair in question. Dyaus and Pṛthivī is the only pair of deities that is coordinated by a variety of conjunctive particles (one particle ca, two particles ca, particles uta, na and a), displaying the widest variety of stylistic expressions. It remains uncertain what the function of the various particles coordinating theonyms is; however, it seems that one function of syntagms comprising dual theonyms is stylistic variation, especially since these syntagms usually occur together with other coordinative constructions (dvandvas, elliptic duals, asyndeta) in the same hymn.

Syntagms comprising two non-theonyms coordinated with the particle *ca* or *utá* seem to express a casual relation between the nouns; they have usually only one attestation in the entire *Rgveda* and do not occur in any other coordinative construction. Unlike syntagms constructed with one particle *ca*, those constructed with two particles *ca* usually have several attestations in the *Rgveda* and also occur in other coordinative constructions (i.e. in syntagms constructed with one particle *ca*, in asyndeton and sometimes also in the elliptic dual and *dvandva* compound). It seems that the syntagms constructed with two particles *ca* do not coordinate nouns casually, but represent one of the stylistic variants expressing coordination between the nouns.

There is a considerable difference in style between coordinative constructions comprising two theonyms, and those consisting of non-theonyms, as demonstrated by the distribution and usage of coordinative particles in the first fifty hymns of the *Rgveda*. By examining how two words coordinated with particles alternatively occur in other coordinative constructions, the article demonstrates that research into coordinative constructions in Vedic ought to pay special attention to the specific grammatical and linguistic features of theonyms that distinguish them from non-theonyms.

#### **Abbreviations**

A. accusative Ab. Ablative

D. Dative

Du.	Dual
G.	genitive
I.	instrumental
L.	Locative
N.	Nominative
Pl.	Plural
RV	Ŗgveda
Sg.	Singular
V.	vocative

### References

- Delbrück, B. (1893). *Vergleichende Syntax der indogermanischen Sprachen*, Theil 1. Strassburg: Karl J. Trübner.
- Delbrück, B. (1900). *Vergleichende Syntax der indogermanischen Sprachen*, Theil 3. Strassburg: Karl J. Trübner.
- Ditrich, T. (2006). *Dvandva* Compounds and the Chronology of the *Rgveda*. *Crossroads*, 1 (Autumn 2006), 26–35.
- Ditrich, T. (2007). Stylistic Analysis of Coordinative Nominal Constructions for Dual Deities in the *Rgveda*. In *Proceedings of the 13<sup>th</sup> World Sanskrit Conference*, Edinburgh, July 2006. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass. [forthcoming]
- Ditrich, T. (2010). The Variety of Expression for Heaven and Earth in the *Rgveda*. *Crossroads*, 5(1), 35–44.
- Gonda, J. (1971). *Old Indian*, Handbuch der Orientalistik, zweite Abteilung, 1. Band, 1. Abschnitt. Leiden-Köln: E. J. Brill.
- Humbach, H. (1982). Vedic índraśca vāyo ~ Old Avestan mazdāscā ahurāηhō. Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft, 41, 95–102.
- Jamison, S. W. (1988). Vāyav Indraś ca Revisited. *Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft*, 49, 13–59.
- Klein, J. S. (1978). The System of Coordinative Conjunctions in the Rigveda. *Indo-Iranian Journal*, 20, 1–23.
- Klein, J. S. (1981). The Origin of the Rigvedic *váyav índraś ca* Construction. *Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft*, 40, 73–91.
- Lubotsky, A. (1997). *A Rgvedic Word Concordance* (Vol. 1). American Oriental Series 82. New Haven, Connecticut: American Oriental Society.
- Renou, L. (1955). *Études védiques et pāṇinéennes* (Vol. 1). Publications de l'Institut de Civilisation Indienne, série in-8, fasc. 1. Paris: Éditions E. de Boccard.
- Whitney, W. D. (1964). *Sanskrit Grammar*, 2nd ed. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.