

(in Slovene). Delo, Aug 11, 1988, Ljubljana.

[-1] F.I. Dretske: *Knowledge and the Flow of Information*. Basil Blackwell Publisher, Oxford (1981).

[0] T. Pisanski & All: *An Open Letter to the Editorial Board of the Journal Informatica* (in Slovene). *Informatica* 12 (1988), No. 3, 78-79.

[1] R.J. Brachman, F.H. Henig: *The Emergence of Artificial Intelligence Technology*. AT&T Technical Journal 67 (1988), Issue 1, 3-6.

[2] R.J. Brachman: *The Basics of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning*. AT&T Technical Journal 67 (1988), Issue 1, 7-24.

[3] O.J. Flanagan: *The Science of Mind*. Book Reviews and Response (Reviewed by R.K. Lindsay). *Artificial Intelligence* 34 (1988), 385.

[4] D. Ince: *A New Dawn Breaks in Japan as Research Sinks in the West*. Computing (March 17, 1988) 18-19.

[5] R. Fagin, J.Y. Halpern: *Belief, Awareness, and Limited Reasoning*. *Artificial Intelligence* 34 (1988) 39-76.

[6] A.P. Zeleznikar: *Information and Information System* (in Slovene). Proceedings of the Conference on Information Systems, 7-16, Technical Faculty, Maribor, June 22-24, 1988.

[7] A.P. Zeleznikar: *Information qua Information*. Proceedings of MIPRO '88, New Generation Computers, 4/15-30.

[8] T. Terano: *Fuzzy Logic in Man-Machine Systems*. New Generation Computing 5 (1987) 131-132.

[9] S.W. Smoliar: *A Review of A.I. Goldman, Epistemology and Cognition*. *Artificial Intelligence* 34 (1988) 251-267.

[10] A.P. Zeleznikar: *Principles of Information*. *Cybernetica* 31 (1988) 99-122.

[11] A.P. Zeleznikar: *Informational Logic I*. *Informatica* 12 (1988), No. 3, 26-38.

[12] A.P. Zeleznikar: *Informational Logic II*. *Informatica* 12 (1988), No. 4, 3-20.

[13] T. Winograd, F. Flores: *On Understanding Computers and Cognition: A New Foundation for Design*. A response to the reviews. *Artificial Intelligence* 31 (1987) 250-261.

O RECENZIJAH

Razumljivo je, da so recenzije o istem delu lahko nekoliko različne, pač odvisno od recenzentovega natančnega vpogleda v področje, ki ga recenzira, od njegove osebnosti, pa tudi od njegovega trenutnega razpoloženja. Težje pa razumemo bistveno različnost recenzij istega

dela. Kot primer bi nanzal recenzijo prispevka, ki je bil poslan na jugoslovanski mednarodni simpozij (priloga 1) in odgovor urednika ameriške revije (priloga 2), kamor je bil poslan isti prispevek v prevedeni obliki. Sodbo o enakosti vsebin recenzije in odgovora si ob branju ustvarite sami.

(I.R.)

(priloga 1)

D. Ako se rad ne prihvaca, molimo obrazloženje za autora:

Uvod, zaključek in priložene tri slike dajejo vtis nekakšne potegavščine, katere smisel pri najboljši volji nisem mogel dojeti. Mislim da bi vodstvo simpozija moralo odločno protestirati pri avtorjevi delovni organizaciji, oziroma opozoriti to organizacijo, da ji njeni člani rušijo strokovni ugled.

(priloga 2)

I believe that you have an interesting idea for an article here, but I would like to see more details of the language and more description of what it is used for (is it for Computer-Aided Design, Computer-Aided Manufacturing, or what?) There are questions about some of the things contained in it that might be cleared up by a more extensive exposition. For example, you state "single call rule: every subroutine can be called once only." If that were strictly true, it would be more economical to code the subroutines in-line; what I assume you mean is that subroutines are not re-entrant — there can be at most one invocation of the subroutine at any given time.

The artwork is interesting, and I would be happy to publish it together with an expanded article, IF you could bear in mind that the standard format on this side of the ocean is 8.5" x 11" (28 cm x 21.5 cm). Usually the foreign submissions that I receive have margins that can be trimmed; that is not the case on the drawings.

If you care to resubmit the article, or any others, I will be happy to consider them. I don't know whether you are a member of SIGSMALL/PC or not; if not, and you don't get the newsletter, I will say that I have rewritten your previous contributions for the sake of grammar and spelling; I hope that this causes you no distress.

H. K. Hodge
H. K. Hodge
Editor, SIGSMALL/PC Notes

A SHORT COMMENT TO ENGLISH READERS

The upper Enclosure 1 (priloga 1) is a Slovene referee's report to the program committee of a Yugoslav computer conference. This Enclosure has to be compared to Enclosure 2 (priloga 2) which is the editor's of SIGSMALL/PC Notes opinion on the same paper. The English translation of Enclosure 1 is the following:

D. If the paper is not accepted we would like to have an explanation with arguments for the author:

The introduction, conclusion, and the three drawings enclosed give the impression of a kind of fraud, which to my best will, I could not comprehend. I think that the committee of the symposium must resolutely express a protest at the author's working organization or let this organization know that its employees destroy its professional reputation.

(The translator regrets the inconvenient diction of the translation of the Slovene original into English which is typical for the herostratic style of the referee's opinion. By this diction the spirits of the referee's opinion remains true.)

APZ

SE O RECENZIJAH

A. P. Zeleznikar, Iskra Delta

Ker gre v prispevku "O recenzijah", v katerem je priloženo tudi dokazno gradivo, za značilno domačo afero, naj mi bo dovoljeno, da dodam svoje skromno mnenje kar v domaćem jeziku. Takoj na začetku ponavljam vprašanja o histeričnem pamfletiranju, rotenju, zaklinjanju, herostratstvu oziroma o motivativnih konfliktih starejše generacije, ki sem jih načel v [1]. Kako se obraniti teh strastnih in čustvenih (in mestoma že kar sovražnih) izlivov in njihove škodljivosti pri prodiranju novih akterjev oziroma njihovih izvirnih dosežkov na domaćih prizoriščih v okviru izrazite znanstvene subkulturnosti? Ali bomo morali začeti resneje in konkretnje postavljati vprašanja, kdo so ti naši, nam neznani avtoritativni usmerjevalci v znanosti, ki povzročajo zaradi svoje preživele strokovnosti blokade tam, kjer jih domala nihče več ne pričakuje. Kot da smo priča nekemu razvojnemu rasizmu, ki nam omejuje in predpisuje spontan in s svetom usklajen razvoj domače znanstvene misli. Seveda nikakor ne trdim, da so pojavi recenzentskega rasizma prisotni samo na področju računalništva in informatike, zasledimo jih lahko tudi pri fizikih, zlasti v segmentih intenzivnega in filozofske pogojenega znanstvenega razvoja.

Upam seveda, da gre v primeru navedene recenzije res za osamljen pojav, katerega nosilec in izvajalec ima v siršem jugoslovanskem okolju le obrobni (slovensko